<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket Number:</th>
<th>16-EPS-01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Title:</td>
<td>Emissions Performance Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN #:</td>
<td>214338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Title:</td>
<td>City of Riverside SB 1368 Compliance Filing for IPP Repower Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filer:</td>
<td>City of Riverside Public Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization:</td>
<td>Riverside Public Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submitter Role:</td>
<td>Public Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Date:</td>
<td>11/2/2016 8:15:38 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docketed Date:</td>
<td>11/2/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
November 2, 2016

California Energy Commission
EPS Compliance
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-512
Attention: Compliance Filing

Subject: California Energy Commission Compliance Filing for the Intermountain Power Project Repowering Project

Dear Sir or Madam:

The City of Riverside (Riverside) hereby submits the attached Compliance Filing package, seeking California Energy Commission (CEC) approval of the Compliance Filing for the IPP Repowering Project as required by the Senate Bill (SB) 1368. This filing is substantially the same format as the filing by LADWP and other potential California participants. The Intermountain Power Agency (IPA) holds legal title to the Intermountain Power Project (IPP), which includes two 900 MW (net) coal generating units located near Delta, Utah. LADWP is IPA’s Project Manager and Operating Agent for IPP. Riverside, along with other municipal and cooperative entities (Purchasers), purchases energy from IPP.

IPA, Riverside and the other Purchasers are now pursuing the IPP Repowering Project, which is scheduled to replace IPP’s coal generating units with SB 1368 Emission Performance Standards (EPS) compliant Natural Gas Combined Cycle (NGCC) generating unit. The target date to replace the coal units is July 1, 2025, almost two years ahead of the June 15, 2027 expiration date of the current Power Sales Contracts between IPA and the Purchasers. However, the ability to meet this earlier date is contingent upon several factors, including permitting, material procurement and final concurrence of all 35 participants; therefore, the 2025 commercial operation date may be delayed due to circumstances beyond Riverside and other Purchasers’ control.

This project is a critical step towards achieving Riverside’s goals of reducing its Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions and integrating additional renewable resources into its generation portfolio. This repowering will result in Riverside’s complete divestiture of all coal based fuel in its portfolio of generation resources. If this Compliance Filing is not approved by the CEC, the IPP Repowering Project will not proceed, and the existing IPP coal generating units will continue to generate energy until June 15, 2027 (and potentially beyond).
The IPP Repowering Project is uniquely complex because of the number and geographical diversity of the Purchasers and the multiple contracts governing the scope and schedule of the Project. The goal is to build NGCC generating units that can meet the changing demands of utilities in both California and Utah and the changing generation requirements necessary for the successful integration of renewable variable energy resources.

**Background**

IPA, a political subdivision of the State of Utah, began construction of IPP in October 1981, with commercial operation of Unit 1 commencing in June, 1986 and of Unit 2 in May, 1987. Each Purchaser’s share of IPP’s generation was established by a Power Sales Contract, as entered into between IPA and the Purchasers. LADWP buys the largest share of IPP’s generation. The Purchasers include 23 Utah municipalities, six Rural Electric Cooperatives, and six California municipalities as follows:

**UTAH MUNICIPAL PURCHASERS:**
- Beaver
- Bountiful
- Enterprise
- Ephraim
- Fairview
- Fillmore
- Heber
- Holden
- Hurricane
- Hyrum
- Kanosh
- Kaysville
- Lehi
- Logan
- Meadow
- Monroe
- Morgan
- Mt. Pleasant
- Murray
- Oak City
- Parowan
- Price
- Spring City

**UTAH COOPERATIVE PURCHASERS:**
- Bridger Valley REA
- Dixie-Escalante REA
- Flowell Electric Assoc.
- Garkane Power Assoc.
- Moon Lake Elec. Assoc.
- Mt. Wheeler Power, Inc.

**CALIFORNIA PURCHASERS:**
- Anaheim
- Burbank
- Glendale
- LADWP
- Pasadena
- Riverside
Although the Power Sales Contracts will expire on June 15, 2027, those contracts require IPA to offer the Purchasers the right to continue participating in an IPP repowering beyond that date by entering into the Renewal Power Sales Contracts and the Agreement for Sale of Renewal Excess Power (Renewal Contracts).

After entering into the Renewal Contracts, all California Purchasers, except LADWP, have the right to terminate the contracts or to reduce their Generation Entitlement Share no later than November 1, 2019.

**Description of the IPP Repowering Project**

Given IPA’s obligation to offer the Purchasers a right to participate in the IPP Repowering Project, IPA, Riverside and the other Purchasers are now pursuing entering into the Renewal Contracts, which would allow for energy procurement from the future Project. IPA, with LADWP as Project Manager, is thus undertaking a natural gas repowering, defined in the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract as the construction and installation of two NGCC power blocks, each with a design capacity of 600 MW.

Based on the generation power blocks currently available on the market, there are three (3) options for this generation output and generation type, as summarized in Attachment A.

Purchasers who choose to enter into the Renewal Contracts must obtain all Regulatory Contract Approvals, defined in the Renewal Power Sales Contracts as “all governmental regulatory approvals, consents and authorizations required or necessary” for the Purchaser to execute, perform under and be bound by the Renewal Power Sales Contract. If any Regulatory Contract Approval is denied, including one by the CEC, the Renewal Power Sales Contract for that Purchaser will be void.

**Compliance Filing**

Pursuant to 20 CCR § 2900 et seq., of the California Code of Regulations, adopted by the CEC to implement Senate Bill 1368, Riverside hereby submits the attached Compliance Filing. In submitting this filing, Riverside respectfully requests that the CEC determine that the IPP Repowering Project pursuant to the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract is in compliance with the EPS regulations promulgated by the CEC.

On June 12, 2015, Riverside provided notice to the CEC of its intent to deliberate at its June 16th, 2015 City Council meeting on a covered procurement or the Renewal Contracts, which provide for the procurement of electricity from the IPP Repowering Project until 2077, consistent with 20 CCR § 2908.
On June 16, 2015, Riverside City Council, at a noticed public meeting consistent with the requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act ("Brown Act" Cal. Govt. Code § 54950 et seq.) approved and authorized the execution and delivery of the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract, and authorized Riverside’s participation in the IPP Repowering Project for up to 5% or approximately 60 MW.

On March 16, 2016, the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract became effective.

The CEC Compliance Filing is shown as Attachment A, and Attachment B is the Attestation required by 20 CCR § 2909.

If the CEC has any questions or requests additional information regarding this coal divestiture and repowering with NGCC units, please contact Riverside Public Utilities, Reiko Kerr at 951-826-5914.

Sincerely,

Reiko A. Kerr
Assistant General Manager, Resources
Riverside Public Utilities

Attachments:

A. Description of IPP Repowering Project
B. Attestation
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
EMISSION PERFORMANCE STANDARD COMPLIANCE FILING

DESCRIPTION OF IPP REPOWERING PROJECT

Name of Facility: Intermountain Power Project

Location of Facility: 850 W Brush Wellman Road, Delta Utah 84624

Proposed Technology/Fuel: Natural Gas-Fired Combined Cycle Generating Facility

Planned Commercial Operation Date: July 1, 2025*  
* The ability to meet this date is contingent upon several factors, including permitting, material procurement and final concurrence of all participants. The commercial operation date may be delayed due to circumstances beyond Riverside’s and other Purchasers’ control.

Generation Configuration Options:

Since the Siemens and the Mitsubishi configurations exceed 600 MW each, they will be derated to 600 MW in order to meet the limitations defined by the project of a total maximum 1200 MW output. Duct firing is required for the GE units in order to reach a rated output of 600 MW at site. Preliminary Rated Capacity and CO₂ emission data were received from each respective vendor for the IPP Repowering Project at site conditions of: 51 °F, 60% RH, and an elevation of 4760 ft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prime Mover</th>
<th>2+1 Combined Cycle</th>
<th>2+1 Combined Cycle</th>
<th>2+1 Combined Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>Siemens</td>
<td>Mitsubishi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>7F.04</td>
<td>SCC6-5000F</td>
<td>M501GAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Capacity (MW), at IPP Site</td>
<td>600 each, 1200 total, with duct firing</td>
<td>616 each, 1232 total</td>
<td>714 each, 1428 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity after Derate</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>600 each, 1200 total</td>
<td>600 each, 1200 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Used</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS Compliant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Operating Profile</td>
<td>See Figure 3</td>
<td>See Figure 3</td>
<td>See Figure 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected energy output (MWh)</td>
<td>See Figure 3</td>
<td>See Figure 3</td>
<td>See Figure 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected fuel use profile</td>
<td>See Figure 4</td>
<td>See Figure 5</td>
<td>See Figure 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated CO₂ emissions for site conditions, (lbs/MWh)</td>
<td>744, with duct firing</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated CO₂ emissions after derate</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 - Generation Configuration Options.

Power Purchase Contract Terms

Name of Counter Party: Intermountain Power Agency (IPA)
Length of Contract: 52 years
Duration: July 1, 2025 – June 15, 2077

Product: Energy (MWh)

Capacity for Project: 1200 MW

Capacity for Participants: Below in Figure 2, is the current generation entitlement for each Participant of the current Power Sales Contracts. The final percentage share for each Participant for the Renewal Power Sales Contract will be determined based on many factors, including prior participation rates, number of final participants, and available percentages without commitment, among others.

Expected Deliverables: Please refer to Figure 2

Must Take Provisions: Please refer to Figure 2

Dispatch Provisions: It is assumed that LADWP will continue its responsibilities as the Operating Agent for the repowered IPP units, and will continue to be responsible for the dispatch of the IPP units based on Participant and system demand.

Unit Contingency: N/A

---

1 The Project size per the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract is limited to 1200 MW. The Generation Scenarios listed above are based on the available generation sizes from the 3 respective vendors.

2 This is based on the assumption that Participant’s shares will be equal to its current Generation Entitlement Share in the existing Power Sales Contracts, and Excess Power Sales Contracts; however, Riverside’s City Council has already set a participation limit of no more than 5% or 60MW in the Repowering Project, and therefore Riverside’s future share in the project will be less than the number in this table.
**Expected Operating Profiles:**

A simulation of the load profile performed by LADWP staff is below in Figure 3 utilizing the GE configuration. The Siemens and Mitsubishi options will follow similar profiles as the heat rates and other characteristics are comparable, with higher plant energy outputs. The load profile was used to derive the average estimated energy output per year as shown below:

**Energy Output (MWh): 6,635,768**

The average annual capacity factor for all manufacturers is 63%.

![Figure 3 - Average Monthly Block Dispatch](image-url)
**Expected Fuel Use Profile:**

Below is the preliminary fuel use data received from each respective vendor, estimated for the IPP site conditions.

---

**GE - Estimated Combined Cycle Data for IPP Repowering**
All data estimated for site conditions, with duct firing, cooling towers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2x1 7F.04</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Temperature °F</td>
<td>51 51 51 51 51 51 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct Firing</td>
<td>On On Off Off Off Off Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>100% 90% 85% 80% 70% 60% 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Plant Output MW</td>
<td>600 540 508 480 420 360 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Input (LHV) MMBTU/h</td>
<td>3,669 3,214 2,981 2,828 2,501 2,192 1,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 Emissions lbs/MWh</td>
<td>780 759 748 751 759 776 811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4 - GE Fuel Use Profile**

---

**Siemens - Estimated Combined Cycle Data for IPP Repowering**
All data estimated for site conditions, no duct firing, cooling towers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2x1 SCC6-5000F</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Temperature °F</td>
<td>51 51 51 51 51 51 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Plant Output MW</td>
<td>616 563 511 461 411 361 310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Input (LHV) MMBTU/h</td>
<td>3,619 3,342 3,093 2,864 2,646 2,430 2,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 Emissions lbs/MWh</td>
<td>759 767 782 803 832 870 918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5 - Siemens Fuel Use Profile (received from vendors without derate)**

---

**Mitsubishi - Estimated Combined Cycle Data for IPP Repowering**
All data estimated for site conditions, no duct firing, cooling towers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2x 1 M501GAC</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Temperature °F</td>
<td>105 105 95 95 47 47 12 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>100% 55% 100% 55% 100% 55% 100% 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Plant Output MW</td>
<td>595 351 616 360 714 401 765 427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Input (LHV) MMBTU/h</td>
<td>3,480 2,276 3,606 2,348 4,150 2,552 4,492 2,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 Emissions lbs/MWh</td>
<td>768 850 770 854 764 836 772 834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6 - Mitsubishi Fuel Use Profile (received from vendors without derate)**

---
Data from Existing Plant – Apex Generating Station

Below in Figure 7 is data extracted from LADWP’s Apex Generating Station located in Clark County, Nevada for the calendar year of 2015. The plant consists of a GE MS7000FA 527 MW 2x1 Combined Cycle generating station. The energy output for the plant in 2015 was 2,635,293 MWh, with a resultant capacity factor of 57%.

![APEX Average Hourly Block Dispatch 2015 (w/ Duct firing)](image)

**Figure 7 - Apex 2015 Load Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>100%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Plant Output</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 Emissions</td>
<td>lbs/MWh</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>1,031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8 - Apex 2015 Fuel Use Profile**
ATTACHMENT B

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
EMISSION PERFORMANCE STANDARD COMPLIANCE FILING
COMPLIANCE FILING ATTESTATION

I, the official named below, certify under penalty of perjury, the following:

1. I am an agent of the City of Riverside, authorized by its governing board to sign this attestation on its behalf;

2. The City of Riverside has reviewed and approved noticed public meetings for both the covered procurement (on June 15, 2015) and the Compliance Filing (on November 2, 2016) to which this attestation is attached;

3. Based on City of Riverside's knowledge, information, and belief, the Compliance Filing does not contain a material misstatement or omission of fact;

4. Based on City of Riverside's knowledge, information, or belief, the covered procurement complies with Title 20, Division 2, Chapter 11, Article 1 of the California Code of Regulations; and

5. The covered procurement contains the contractual terms or conditions specifying that the contract or commitment shall be void and all energy deliveries shall be terminated no later than the effective date of any CEC decision pursuant to 20 CCR § 2910 that the covered procurement fails to comply with 20 CCR § 2900 et seq.

City of Riverside

By: Reiko A. Kerr
Assistant General Manager, Resources
Riverside Public Utilities

Dated: 11/2/2016