

**From:** Mark Williams [<mailto:mark@lightingright.com>]

**Sent:** Friday, October 23, 2015 11:32 AM

**To:** Cross, Catherine@Energy; Awolowo, Ollie@Energy; McDonnell, Kathleen@Energy; Parrow, Donna@Energy; Brousseau, Amie@Energy; Chandler, Tanya@Energy; [martha.guzman-aceves@gov.ca.gov](mailto:martha.guzman-aceves@gov.ca.gov)

**Subject:** Energy Reduction Goal Achievement

Please know that the current Title 24 requirements for energy efficiency are counter productive to achieving goals because those requirements are so very onerous that they cause complete inaction.

Energy efficiency saves money for customers, but not under T24. It costs too much; facility owners and managers simply stop doing anything that triggers T24.

Due entirely to T24, I closed my lighting contracting business at the end of 2014 (New Light Energy Design). Due entirely to T24, I cried when I laid off 15 installers and 7 admin staff. It was awful, but I could not carry the overhead hoping some facility somewhere would OK a T24 compliant project.

I had been the number 1 energy efficiency lighting contractor in SF. Now I only consult part time on how to avoid triggering T24.

Please roll back the restrictive measure requirements of T24, or we will have lots more of the same - 'No energy efficiency upgrades happening'. It's a compromise for sure, but part of something is better than all of nothing.

Most Sincerely,

Mark Williams  
Lighting Right, llc  
ph 415-370-2221  
[Mark@LightingRight.com](mailto:Mark@LightingRight.com)

Lighting Upgrades and Energy Savings made Simple  
Li #1004659, Insured, Bonded, Experienced

Energy Efficiency Fanatics | [www.LightingRight.com](http://www.LightingRight.com)