## Docket # 15-BSTD-01

2016 Building Standards Update

# ALLOWING SUFFICIENT LIGHTING AND WATTAGE FOR THE NON-VISUAL OR BIOLOGIC PART OF THE VISUAL SYSTEM

August 8, 2015

Greetings

Maybe I missed it, and I am sorry if I did, but I have not seen anything about allowing more light and wattage for the nonvisual or biologic part of the visual system. It is my understanding that even if extra wattage is only used for the first 30 - 45 minutes of the morning at work or school, that wattage is included in LPD or comparison to existing wattage, just like the other lights, which are on all day long. What is the sense of saving every watt, if student performance or worker productivity goes down?

If you have not already seen this recent European report, please check it out, because it shows in several applications that increasing light levels and wattage can improve productivity, learning, wellbeing significantly, which dwarfs the higher electric bills. Europe is way ahead of the United States regarding this, and one reason is that most areas do not have energy codes, which just get in the way. Even without energy codes, Europe is usually quite efficient. For example, this report shows the benefits of 2000 lux (200 fc) in industrial applications. To achieve that light level, Title 24 probably does not allow that much wattage, which is a shame. www.lightingeurope.org/uploads/files/Quantified\_Benefits\_of\_Human\_Centric\_Lighting\_April\_20 15.pdf

If you have a hard time opening it, save it a pdf and then open the pdf.

The Human Centric Lighting Society or certain members on their own may be willing to help. You could compare the depth and breath with anybody else. http://humancentriclighting.org/

For example, a good number of people got concerned after reading this article. http://luxreview.com/article/2015/07/the-jury-s-still-out-on-human-centric-lighting-says-globalstandards-body

We went to the CIE sources and did not find any problem.

Basically the long used photopic lumen should be eliminated or at least its influence should be greatly reduced. A good example is this slide, which Dieter Lang from Osram in Germany presented at the Pacific Energy Center on May 21, 2014.

(Europe uses commas as we use periods for decimal points)



### Melanopic Photometric Data

#### DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e. V.

## Examples for melanopic illumination levels at a given photopic illumination level of 1000 lx

melanopische photometric quantity:

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathrm{mel}} = \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{mel}} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{\mathrm{e,\,mel}}$$

DIN

(e.g. illuminantion level, lumen output)

$$X_{\rm mel} = \frac{K_{\rm mel}}{K_{\rm m}} \cdot a_{\rm mel\,v} \cdot X_{\rm V} = m_{\rm Vmel} \cdot X_{\rm V}$$

illumination level Φ photopic V(λ)	Melanopic daylight-equivalent illumination level $\varPhi_{mel,D65}$	ratio melanopic/photopic illumination levels m <sub>v.mel.D65</sub> = Φ <sub>mel.D65</sub> / Φ	melanopic power(mW) / lun (phot.)	nen
1000 lx	496 lx	0,496	0,657	
1000 lx	683 lx	0,683	0,906	
1000 lx	404 lx	0,404	0,535	
1000 lx	1000 lx	1,000	1,326	
1000 lx	267 lx	0,267	0,354	
1000 lx	428 lx	0,428	0,567	
1000 lx	787 lx	0,787	1,044	
1000 lx	800 lx	0,800	1,062	
1000 lx	957 lx	0,957	1,269	
	<i>level</i> Φ <i>photopic V(λ)</i> 1000 lx 1000 lx 1000 lx 1000 lx 1000 lx 1000 lx 1000 lx 1000 lx	illumination         daylight-equivalent $\Phi$ daylight-equivalent $\Phi$ $\Phi$ $photopic V(\lambda)$ $\Phi_{mel,D65}$ 1000 lx         496 lx           1000 lx         683 lx           1000 lx         404 lx           1000 lx         1000 lx           1000 lx         267 lx           1000 lx         787 lx           1000 lx         800 lx	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	illumination         daylight-equivalent         ratio         melanopic $\Phi$ illumination level         melanopic/photopic         power(mW) / lum $\Phi$ $\Phi_{mel,D65}$ $m_{v,mel,D65} = \Phi_{mel,D65} / \Phi$ (phot.)           1000 lx         496 lx         0,496         0,657           1000 lx         683 lx         0,683         0,906           1000 lx         404 lx         0,404         0,535           1000 lx         1000 lx         1,000         1,326           1000 lx         267 lx         0,267         0,354           1000 lx         428 lx         0,428         0,567           1000 lx         800 lx         0,787         1,044           1000 lx         800 lx         0,800         1,062

Dieter Lang | OSRAM

If the CEC is short sighted and only wants to focus on energy saving, it will be a big picture loser.

There will be probably additional significant breakthroughs in lighting while the 2017 is in effect, and Title 24 should not block them.

You can email or call me at 10 AM or later Pacific time during daylight savings time, which is 7 AM or later here in Hawaii. Thanks for your consideration.

Stan Walerczyk

Stan Walerczyk, HCLP, CLEP Principal of Lighting Wizards Past Chair of Human Centric Lighting Society http://lightingwizards.com/ http://humancentriclighting.org/ stan@lightingwizards.com 808-344-9685