Memorandum

To:

Patrick Kemp

Assistant Secretary for Administration and Finance

California Natural Resources Agency

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311

Sacramento, CA 95814

From:

California Energy Commission

1516 Ninth Street

Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

DOCKETED

15-CALG-01

January 12, 2014

California Energy Commission

TN # 75620

APR 13 2015

Subject: STANDARD FORM 399 FOR CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE RULEMAKING PROCEEDING

Robert Oglesby

Executive Director

Attached for your review and signature is a Department of Finance Standard Form 399, Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement. The form is being submitted in support of a rulemaking proceeding for the California Green Building Standards Code, Title 24, Part 11. This rulemaking will update existing voluntary energy efficiency standards for newly constructed buildings, and additions and alterations to existing buildings. We are submitting this form to you for the "Agency Secretary" signature (see page 4 of Std. 399), which will need to be provided to the Office of Administrative Law to initiate the public notice for the rulemaking.

If you have any questions regarding the content or the processing of this form, please contact Adrian Ownby, Energy Commission Specialist, at 916-651-3008 or Maziar Shirakh, Senior Mechanical Engineer, at 916-654-3839.

Robert P. Oglesby Executive Director

Attachment

cc: Adrian Ownby, Maziar Shirakh

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

| DEPARTMENT NAME | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| California Energy Commission | CONTACT PERSON Adrian Ownby | adrian.ownby@energy.ca | TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-651-3008 |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Revisions to the California Green Buil | Daniel. | | NOTICE FILE NUMBER |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP | Constitution of the Consti | | |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indice a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness | cate whether this regulation: e. Imposes report f. Imposes prescr g. Impacts individ h. None of the ab | ting requirements iptive instead of performance duals pove (Explain below): | ara voluntany |
| If any how in Itamie | | ency provisions of the CALGreen Code | are voluntary. |
| | is checked, complete the Fisc sion | plete this Economic Impact Statement. cal Impact Statement as appropriate. nomic impact of this regulation (which includes the | ne fiscal impact) is: |
| | ct is over \$50 million, agencies are req ment Code Section 11346.3(c)] | quired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u> | Assessment |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impact | ed: unknown | | |
| | | | |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include no | nprofits): potentially all types | of businesses could be impacted | |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include no Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business | 777 | of businesses could be impacted | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business | es: unknown | of businesses could be impacted | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be or | es: unknown created: unknown e | | definable impact. |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business. 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be described by the small businesses. Explain: The energy efficiency provides the small businesses. | es: unknown created: unknown e | liminated: unknown | definable impact. |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business. 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be on Explain: The energy efficiency provides. 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: | es: unknown reated: unknown e sions of the CALGreen Code Statewide Local or regional (List areas): | diminated: unknown e are voluntary and therefore have no | definable impact. |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses. 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be on the Explain: The energy efficiency provides. 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown. | es: unknown reated: unknown esions of the CALGreen Code Statewide Local or regional (List areas): wn and eliminated: unk | diminated: unknown e are voluntary and therefore have no | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business. 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be on Explain: The energy efficiency provious. 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacts. | es: unknown reated: unknown esions of the CALGreen Code Statewide Local or regional (List areas): npacted: The energy efficience ct. | e are voluntary and therefore have no | 7.7 |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small business. 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be on Explain: The energy efficiency provides. 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacts. 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of Califor | es: unknown reated: unknown esions of the CALGreen Code Statewide Local or regional (List areas): npacted: The energy efficience ct. | e are voluntary and therefore have no another the second s | 7.7 |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| В. | ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assur | mptions in the r | ulemaking record. | <u> </u> |
|----|--|--------------------|---|--|
| 1. | What are the total statewide dollar costs that business | ses and individu | als may incur to comply with this regulat | ion over its lifetime? \$ n/a |
| | a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ n/a | | Annual ongoing costs: \$ n/a | Years; n/a |
| | b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$n/a | | Annual ongoing costs: \$ n/a | Years: n/a |
| | c. Initial costs for an individual: \$n/a | | Annual ongoing costs: \$ n/a | Years: n/a |
| | d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: T | he energy e | fficiency provisions of the CALG | reen Code are voluntary and |
| | therefore have no definable impact. | | | |
| 23 | | x = 107 = 20 | Newsyldaustal Court | westing (vertice) (V) Decidential |
| 2. | . If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of | total costs for e | each industry: Nonresidential Const | ruction (unknown %), Residential |
| | Construction (unknown %) | | 34 AIOF 33 W | 1 1945 - 467 |
| 3. | . If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, ent Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keep | | | |
| 4. | Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? | YES 🗙 | NO | |
| | If | YES, enter the | annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ <u>n/a</u> | 1 |
| | | | Number of units: n/a | |
| 5. | Are there comparable Federal regulations? | YES 🔀 | NO | - |
| | Explain the need for State regulation given the exister | nce or absence | of Federal regulations: Federal regula | itions do not apply to state, |
| | local and private sector construction in C | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individ | duals that may b | be due to State - Federal differences: \$ | |
| c. | ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar val | ue of benefits is | not specifically required by rulemaking l | aw, but encouraged. |
| 1. | Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which health and welfare of California residents, worker safe | th may include a | among others, the e's environment: Individuals and bu | usinesses may benefit from the |
| | reduction in energy costs. Businesses the | at provide e | nergy efficiency products and se | ervices may experience an increase |
| | in business. All state and local governme | ent agencies | and their tenants may benefit. | |
| 2. | Are the benefits the result of: X specific statutory re | equirements, or | goals developed by the agency bas | sed on broad statutory authority? |
| | Explain: The Energy Commission has author | rity granted | by statute to adopt statewide b | uilding energy efficiency standards |
| | | | | unaning energy emelency standards. |
| 3. | . What are the total statewide benefits from this regula | tion over its life | time? \$ n/a | _ |
| 4. | . Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently | doing busines | s within the State of California that would | result from this regulation: California |
| | businesses producing energy efficiency p | oroducts/ted | chnologies that meet or exceed | the proposed CALGreen Code will |
| | likely expand their sales of those product | ts/technolog | pies due to the voluntary implen | nentation of the CAL Green Code |
| | | | | |
| D | ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include co specifically required by rulemaking law, but encoura | | assumptions in the rulemaking record. Es | stimation of the dollar value of benefits is not |
| 1. | . List alternatives considered and describe them below | . If no alternativ | ves were considered, explain why not: Th | ne energy efficiency provisions of |
| | the CALGreen Code are voluntary and th | erefore have | e no definable impact. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| | | LOW WILLIAM TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| . Summarize the | total statewide co | osts and benefi | ts from this regu | ation and each a | Iternative considered: | | 1 1 30 |
| Regulation: | Benefit: \$ | n/a | Cost: \$ n/a | 1 | | | |
| Alternative 1: | Benefit: \$ | | Cost: \$ | | _ | | |
| Alternative 2: | Benefit: \$ | | Cost: \$ | | | | |
| 3. Briefly discuss a | | | relevant to a com | parison | | | |
| of estimated co | osts and benefits | s for this regula | ation or alternati | ves: | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | v requires agence andates the use of | | | | | | |
| | edures. Were pe | | | | | ES NO | |
| Explain: Perfo | ormance Stan | dards are a | fundamenta | part of the p | roposed energy | efficiency provis | sions of the CALGreen Coo |
| | | | The state of the s | | | | E THE THINK I |
| | | -0.67 | | | | | |
| . MAJOR REGUI | LATIONS Includ | de calculations | and assumption | is in the rulemak | ring record. | | |
| | | | The state of the s | | A) boards, offices a ode section 57005). | 트립티지기 (William St. 11) 플린스 6.40 디션 (11) (11) (11) | |
| . Will the estimat | ed costs of this re | egulation to Ca | lifornia business | enterprises exce | ed \$10 million? | ES NO | |
| | | | If | YES, complete If NO, skip | | | |
| 2. Briefly describe | each alternative, | , or combinatio | n of alternatives, | for which a cost- | effectiveness analysis | was performed: | |
| Alternative 1: | | | | Willeland | Programme and the | | the court |
| Alternative 2: | | | | | | | |
| (Attach addition | nal pages for other | r alternatives) | | | | | |
| | | | | | di dina kamana | 24-1-12-11 TE | |
| | | | | | cost and overall cost- | | |
| | Total Cost \$ | | | | s ratio: \$ | | |
| Alternative 1: T | | | | | s ratio: \$ | | |
| Alternative 2: 1 | | | 1): | Cost-effectivenes | | e and award of the second | _ |
| exceeding \$50 | on subject to OA million in any 12 regulation is esti | -month period | between the dat | e the major regu | lation is estimated to b | nd individuals locate be filed with the Sec | ed in or doing business in Califorr retary of State through 12 months |
| YES [| ⊠ NO | | | | | | |
| | are required to su ode Section 11346 | | | | t (SRIA) as specified in of Reasons. | | |
| . Briefly describe | the following: | | | | | | |
| The increase or | r decrease of inve | estment in the S | state: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 100 |
| The incentive for | or innovation in p | products, mate | rials or processes | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | 3 | | | |
| The benefits of | the regulations. | including, but | not limited to, be | nefits to the hea | th, safety, and welfare | of California | Tyle A vic. |
| | | | | | any other benefits ider | | /: |
| | | | | | | | |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| | AL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicates the sear and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | rate appropriate boxes 1 | through 6 and attach calculations and assumpti | ons of fiscal impact for the | ? |
|--------------|--|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------|
| | dditional expenditures in the current State Fisc Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Calif | | rsable by the State. (Approximate) ections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). | | |
| \$ | | | | | |
| | a. Funding provided in | | | | |
| | | | , Statutes of | | |
| | b. Funding will be requested in the Governor' | s Budget Act of | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | al Year which are NOT re | | | |
| \$ - Che | ck reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable a | nd provide the appropriat | e information: | | |
| | a. Implements the Federal mandate contained | | | | |
| | b. Implements the court mandate set forth by | the | | Court. | |
| | Case of: | | vs | | |
| | c. Implements a mandate of the people of thi | | | | |
| | Date of Election: | | 31 | | |
| | d. Issued only in response to a specific reques | | | | |
| | Local entity(s) affected: | | | | |
| | 37 | | | | |
| | e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue | e, etc. from: | | | |
| | Authorized by Section: | | of the | Code; | |
| | f. Provides for savings to each affected unit o | f local government which | will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to | each; | |
| | g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty | for a new crime or infrac | tion contained in | | |
| 3. A | Annual Savings. (approximate) | | | | |
| \$ | | | | . 16 | 1001 |
| 4. N | No additional costs or savings. This regulation ma | kes only technical, non-su | bstantive or clarifying changes to current law regul | ations. | |
| <u></u> 5. N | No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not a | ffect any local entity or pr | ogram. | | |
| × 6, 0 | Other. Explain The energy efficiency pro | ovisions of the CALC | Green Code are voluntary and therefor | e have no definable | |
| | impact. | | | | |
| | | | | | PAGE 4 |

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD, 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| P. EISCAL EFFECT ON STATE COVERNMENT Indicate convenients have 1 through 4 and attack | PROBLEM 1990 - 1 |
|--|---|
| B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach co year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | iculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will: | |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources. | |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the | ar |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program. | |
| ✓ 4. Other. Explain The energy efficiency provisions of the CALGreen Code are vo | luntary and therefore have no definable |
| impact. | 27.10 |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 th impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | nrough 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| | |
| 2 No feed in section of the section does not offer any federally founded State assessment as a section of the s | er |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program | 1. |
| 🗵 4. Other. Explain The energy efficiency provisions of the CALGreen Code are vo | luntary and therefore have no definable |
| impact. | |
| | Taxon Taxon |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE | 4/6/15 |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instruction | ons in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands |
| the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an | Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the |
| highest ranking official in the organization. AGENCY SECRETARY | DATE |
| | 11/1/14 |
| A 19 | 7/11/8 |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require complete | |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER | DATE |
| Na Comment of the Com | |

| | | * | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |