

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME California Energy Commission	CONTACT PERSON Adrian Ownby	EMAIL ADDRESS adrian.ownby@energy.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-651-3008
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Revisions to the California Building Energy Efficiency Standards (the Standards)			NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. Impacts business and/or employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e. Imposes reporting requirements |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. Impacts small businesses | <input type="checkbox"/> f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c. Impacts jobs or occupations | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> g. Impacts individuals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> d. Impacts California competitiveness | <input type="checkbox"/> h. None of the above (Explain below): |

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED**15-BSTD-01****TN # 75445****MAR 13 2015***If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.**If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.*

2. The California Energy Commission estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)

- ☐ Below \$10 million
- ☐ Between \$10 and \$25 million
- ☐ Between \$25 and \$50 million
- ☒ Over \$50 million *[If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]*

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: unknownDescribe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): all types of businesses with a physical presence are potentially impactedEnter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 80%4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: unknown eliminated: unknownExplain: see attachment5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: ☒ Statewide☐ Local or regional (List areas): _____6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown and eliminated: 0Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: Companies that provide energy efficient products and services will benefit from the increased demand for those products and services; see attachment

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?

☐ YES☒ NO

If YES, explain briefly: _____

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**B. ESTIMATED COSTS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 1,034 milliona. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 33,650 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 Years: 15-30b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 33,650 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 Years: 15-30c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ 2,452 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 Years: 30d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Increased costs will results from improved building envelopes (wall, roofs, windows), HVAC equipment and testing, improved lighting and water heating technology requirements2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Nonresidential Construction (63%), ResidentialConstruction (37%)

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$ _____

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? ☒ YES ☐ NOIf YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ 2,258Number of units: 132,4005. Are there comparable Federal regulations? ☐ YES ☒ NOExplain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Federal regulations do not apply to state, local and private sector construction in California.

Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ _____

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS *Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: Individuals and businesses will benefit from the reduction in energy costs. Businesses that provide energy efficiency products and services may experience an increase in business. All state and local government agencies and their tenants will benefit.2. Are the benefits the result of: ☒ specific statutory requirements, or ☐ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?Explain: The Energy Commission has authority granted by statute to adopt statewide building energy efficiency standards.3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 4,016 million4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: California businesses producing energy efficiency products/technologies that meet or exceed the proposed Standards will likely expand their sales of those products/technologies due to the implementation of these proposed Standards.**D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: See attached.

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

Regulation: Benefit: \$ 4,016 million Cost: \$ 1,034 million

Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ _____ Cost: \$ _____

Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ _____ Cost: \$ _____

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:

The Standards are fundamentally performance based (with the exception of limited mandatory provisions). See attached.

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?

☒ YES☐ NOExplain: Performance Standards are a fundamental part of the proposed Standards.**E. MAJOR REGULATIONS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.****California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.***

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises
- exceed \$10 million**
- ?
- ☐
- YES
- ☐
- NO

*If YES, complete E2. and E3**If NO, skip to E4*

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

Alternative 1: _____

Alternative 2: _____

(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

Regulation: Total Cost \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?

☐ YES☒ NO*If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.*

5. Briefly describe the following:

The increase or decrease of investment in the State: _____

The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: _____

The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: _____

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

- ☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ _____

- ☐ a. Funding provided in _____
Budget Act of _____ or Chapter _____, Statutes of _____

- ☐ b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of _____
Fiscal Year: _____

- ☒ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ 39.1 million*Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:*

- ☐ a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in _____
- ☐ b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the _____ Court.

Case of: _____ vs. _____

- ☐ c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. _____
Date of Election: _____

- ☐ d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).

Local entity(s) affected: _____

- ☐ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: _____
Authorized by Section: _____ of the _____ Code;

- ☒ f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;

- ☐ g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in _____

- ☒ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)

\$ 5.4 million

- ☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

- ☐ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

- ☐ 6. Other. Explain _____

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*☒ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)\$ 19.5 million*It is anticipated that State agencies will:*☒ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the _____ Fiscal Year☒ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)\$ 2.7 million☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.☐ 4. Other. Explain _____**C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ _____

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ _____

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.☒ 4. Other. Explain The proposed regulations impact state-financed buildings but are not expected to directly impact federal funding of state programs except in limited circumstances; see attachment.

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE



DATE

3/13/15*The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.*


AGENCY SECRETARY



DATE

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER



DATE

3/13/15