

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** Oakridge [oakridge@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 17, 2013 11:46 AM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** Oll Rater discussion

**Categories:** Ready to Docket

California Energy Commission

**DOCKETED**  
**12-HERS-1**

TN 72353

NOV. 18 2013

QA program

D. I don't believe that extra schooling will help keep hers raters better educated. I think being in the field and doing the testing and staying in touch with the latest tools.

F. I think a third party QA company may not be the best idea. In this industry there are several big companies that leverage that big company third party option which puts us little guys out and we cannot compete in those areas. I think the 1% audit is perfect and should be done by the providership to assure that the hers Rater is responsible

HERS disciplinary action

A. Current action in code is not written. What is allowed is a outlaw way of discriminating by posting HERS raters on a black list to public as guilty before having any due process to what situation had accrued such as myself. I left the state for a few years and did not get up to date on new 2008 standards with CHEERS and when I went to do a duct test for a contractor, I was black listed. Once I found about this I tried contacting CHEERS for reasoning and found a dead end road as they were decertified 2 weeks later. They gave me chance to explain how it was an honest mistake, and that knowing now I should of investigated online to make sure I was good to go. So I believe there is no current written process. I believe they should put something in place to be more apparent. In my case I got certified by CBPCA and have been in great standing for more than 3 years, after explaining myself to them they understood. However calcerts shunned me after I took all classes and invested over 4k in training at there schools, they said they didn't want to hear my story and that I was on a black list on cec website. So without any process I was shown guilty on your website because a company who was decertified two weeks later said I was impersonating a HERS Rater. There should not be a black list without having on facts. I think it is actually not ethically or legal.

C. No I believe if another provider is understanding in what ever situation or problem arose. Each provider has his choice however no provider I understand wants a problem child.

E. I do believe a oversight review board maybe consisting of one person from each providership and a few from the energy commission would be a good combination.

HERS Rater companies

A. I believe hers Rater companies should be in good standing and each rater as an individual should be liable however when a company is employing multiple hers raters and has a leverage of saying if you do not pass then you will not have a job. Then a hers Rater company should be liable.

E. I believe that most of my friends who do this have there wife's or girlfriends enter there data after the day is over. I think if the hers Rater is responsible then it is there responsibility to ensure the documents sent out are correct. So, no I do not believe a data entry person should have to have training.

I hope I have given you some information that can be used. If you have any questions please do not hesitate let me know. Thanks. Chris stark.

Sent from my iPad