

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** Energy - Prop39  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 23, 2013 3:29 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** FW: Proposition 39 Comment 13-CCEJA-1 Comments on Prop 39

**Categories:** Ready to Docket

California Energy Commission

**DOCKETED**  
**13-CCEJA-01**

TN 72158

OCT. 23 2013

Thank you for your comments. They have been forward to the Docket for consideration.

---

**From:** Ralph Patterson [<mailto:priestgulch@earthlink.net>]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 18, 2013 10:28 PM  
**To:** Energy - Prop39  
**Subject:** Proposition 39 Comment

To Whom It May Concern:

In reviewing the proposed guidelines for Prop. 39 funding it is apparent that water savings is not a high priority. I beg to differ with that concept. Water usage and rates have sky-rocketed in the past few years and has caused a significant increase in operational costs for K-12 school districts. I would certainly advocate that water savings be included within the possible projects under the guidelines of Prop. 39 funding. Energy management typically includes electricity, gas AND, water. There are a number of programs available, at a cost, to help conserve water and thus reduce energy cost and water consumption for K-12 districts. I would hope that the Energy Commission would consider this concern and include water savings within the scope of Prop. 39 funding opportunities.

Ralph Patterson  
Energy Manager  
Claremont Unified School District