

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** Ralph Patterson [priestgulch@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 19, 2013 10:12 AM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** Prop 39 guidelines

**Categories:** Ready to Docket

California Energy Commission

**DOCKETED**  
**13-CCEJA-01**

TN 72127

OCT. 21 2013

To whom It May Concern:

In reviewing the proposed guidelines of Prop 39 I notice there is very little emphasis on water savings or conservation. School districts expend considerable operational costs on water utilities; as a matter-of-fact water is the second most costly utility. As an energy manager I think water conservation should be included in the priorities under the Prop. 39 funding formulas and should be a high priority. There are a number of programs/projects that can help districts reduce the amount of water used and thus reduce operational costs; Prop 39 funds could assist district in implementing these programs/projects. As an energy manager I would request that water conservation be included within the scope of Prop 39 and put as a high priority within the planning phase as well as the possible project phase of the proposition.

Ralph Patterson  
Energy Manager  
Claremont Unified School District