

From: [Gould, Angela@Energy](mailto:Gould,Angela@Energy)
To: [Rachel Gold](mailto:Rachel.Gold);
cc: [Chisholm, Emily@Energy](mailto:Chisholm,Emily@Energy); [Zocchetti, Kate@Energy](mailto:Zocchetti,Kate@Energy);
[Herrera, Gabe@Energy](mailto:Herrera,Gabe@Energy);
Subject: RE: Question about revised POU Regs
Date: Tuesday, April 23, 2013 12:42:36 PM

Rachel,

Our rationale for all revisions, as well as our responses to comments received, will be included in the Final Statement of Reasons (FSOR) that we will submit to the Office of Administrative Law after the final regulations are adopted at the Energy Commission.

We received comment from the California Municipal Utilities Association (posted here: http://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/pou_rulemaking/documents/comments/45-day/), representing the majority of the POU's, on the 10-year+ contract requirement for excess procurement. The Energy Commission will provide rationale for the change to this requirement in the FSOR.

Angie Gould

RPS Program

California Energy Commission

916-654-4881

angela.gould@energy.ca.gov

From: cvg.rachel@gmail.com [<mailto:cvg.rachel@gmail.com>] **On Behalf Of** Rachel Gold
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 3:52 PM
To: Gould, Angela@Energy
Subject: Question about revised POU Regs

Hi Angie -

I am making my way through the revisions to the POU Regs and was pleased to see a number of changes we recommended. I am wondering about the removal of the 10 year contract requirement. Can you walk me through that rationale and will there be a revised statement or reasons issued?