California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
13-RPS-01
TN 71655
JUL 18 2013

From: Laura Wisland
To: Gould, Angela@Energy;
cc: Zocchetti, Kate@Energy;
Subject: latest revisions to RPS rules
Date: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 4:34:31 PM

Kate and Angie,

I noticed that the latest RPS draft rule revisions contain two major changes. The first would require the POUs to procure renewables in the third compliance period in a manner consistent to what the CPUC has adopted. I'm really pleased to see this change because I think it's really important for the rules to be the same, and the difference in procurement between the stair-step approach and the linear trajectory is actually quite large.

That said, I am sure you will not be surprised to learn that I strongly object to the proposed edit that would allow for short-term banking. Since the statement of reasons was not updated, it's hard for me to understand where the edit comes from, given the statutory language is quite clear on this. Would you be able to provide me with some insight? I plan to talk to the Commissioners as well, but thought I'd talk to you first.

Are you available for a quick phone call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Laura