

500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1800 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: 916-930-2500 Fax: 916-930-2501 www.lockelord.com

John A. McKinsey Direct Telephone: 916-930-2527 Direct Fax: 916-720-0443 jmckinsey@lockelord.com

July 18, 2013

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED 00-AFC-14C

TN 71653

JUL 18 2013

Via E-Mail and Hand Delivery

California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: El Segundo Power Plant Project (00-AFC-14C)

Applicant's Letter dated July 17, 2013

to South Coast Air Quality Management District

Dear Sir/Madam:

On behalf of El Segundo Power Plant Project, enclosed please find for docketing Applicant's letter dated July 17, 2013, to South Coast Air Quality Management District.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Very truly yours,

John A. McKinsey

JAM:dh Enclosure July 17, 2013



1801 J Street Sacramento, CA 95811 Tel: (916) 444-6666 Fax: (916) 444-8373

Ann Arbor, MI Tel: (734) 761-6666 Fax: (734) 761-6755

Kenneth L. Coats AQ Engineer II South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 E. Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Subject: El Segundo Power Facility Modification Project – SCAQMD Permit

Application

Dear Mr. Coats:

The following are responses to requests for additional clarifying information contained in the June 25, 2013 email from you to Tom Andrews regarding the March 2013 permit application for the proposed El Segundo Power Facility Modification Project.

Request: Please fill in the following tables for the GE7FA and the Trent 60. Some of this information may need to come from the manufacturer. I need this information to calculate the lb/net MWh for GHGs.

Response: Responses are provided below.

GE7FA Baseload	45% Load (Cold Low)	100% Load (Cold Peak with duct burners)
Base load operation (hours per year)	Note 1	Note 1
CTG power output, gross (MW) ³	100	222
ST power output, gross (MW) ³	65	112
Plant Auxiliary Load, (MW)	6	8
Plant Output, net (MW) ⁴	159	325
CCGS heat rate, net (Btu/kw-h, LHV)	7,480	6,754
Fuel input (MMBtu/hr, HHV) ⁵	1,319	2,436
Fuel input (MMBtu/hr, LHV) ⁶	1,190	2,197
Total fuel usage, per year (mmscf)	Note 2	Note 2

¹See Table D-2 of the permit application for annual operating hour assumptions used as basis for emission calculations.

²See Table G-3 of the permit application for annual fuel usage assumptions used for GHG emission calculations.

³From Permit Application Table B-1.

⁴From Petition to Amend Table 1-2A

⁵Sum of CTG input and duct burner input from Permit Application Table B-1

 $^{^{6}}$ HHV/LHV = 1.108

GE7FA Fast-Start	Totals Over 30 Minute Period ¹
Startup fuel usage (MMBtu, LHV)	728
Average CTG heat rate (Btu/kw-hour)	7,072
Net power output (kw-hour) ²	103,000

¹The values in this table correspond to the conditions that form the basis for emission calculations in the permit application. Fuel consumption and power production during the ramp-up to full power (approximately 11 minutes) were added to fuel consumption and power production at unit capacity during the rest of the 30 minute period (approximately 19 minutes).

²Email Tom Andrews to Ken Coats (6/12/13)

GE7FA Traditional Start	Totals Over 60 Minute Period ¹
Start-up fuel usage (MMBtu, LHV)	1,563
CTG heat rate (Btu/kw-hour)	7,041
Net power output (kw-hour) ²	222,000

¹The values in this table correspond to the conditions that form the basis for emission calculations in the permit application. Fuel consumption and power production during the ramp-up to full power (approximately 20 minutes) were added to fuel consumption and power production at unit capacity during the rest of the 60 minute period (approximately 40 minutes).

²Email Tom Andrews to Ken Coats (6/12/13)

GE7FA Shutdown	Totals Over 30 Minute Period ¹
Shutdown fuel usage (MMBtu, LHV)	765
CCGS heat rate (Btu/kw-hour)	7,496
Net power output (kw-hour) ²	102,000

¹The values in this table correspond to the conditions that form the basis for emission calculations in the permit application. Fuel consumption and power production during the ramp-down from full power (approximately 14 minutes) were added to fuel consumption and power production at unit capacity during the rest of the 30 minute period (approximately 16 minutes).

²Email Tom Andrews to Ken Coats (6/12/13)

Trent 60 Baseload	55% Load (Hot Low)	100% Load (Mild Base Cooler)
Base load operation (hours per year)	Note 1	Note 1
CTG power output, gross (MW) ³	23	57
Plant Auxiliary Load, (MW)	1	2
Plant Output, net (MW) ⁴	22	55
SCGS heat rate, net (Btu/kw-h, LHV)	11,842	8,321
Fuel input (MMBtu/hr, HHV)	292	516
Fuel input (MMBtu/hr, LHV) ⁵	264	466
Total fuel usage, per year (mmscf)	Note 2	Note 2

¹See Table D-2 of the permit application for annual operating hours used as basis for emission calculations.

²See Table G-3 of the permit application for annual fuel usage assumptions used for GHG emission calculations.

³From Permit Application Table B-2.

⁴From Petition to Amend Table 1-2A

 $^{^{5}}$ HHV/LHV = 1.108

Trent 60 Start-Up	Totals Over 30 Minute Period ¹
Start-up fuel usage (MMBtu, LHV)	181
CTG heat rate (Btu/kw-hour)	9,504
Net power output (kw-hour) ²	18,700

¹The values in this table correspond to the conditions that form the basis for emission calculations in the permit application. Fuel consumption and power production during the ramp-up to full power (approximately 10 minutes) were added to fuel consumption and power production at unit capacity during the rest of the 30 minute period (approximately 20 minutes).

²Email Tom Andrews to Ken Coats (6/12/13)

Trent 60 Shutdown	Totals Over 20 Minute Period ¹
Shutdown fuel usage (MMBtu, LHV)	91
CTG heat rate (Btu/kw-hour)	18,299
Net power output (kw-hour) ²	4,670

¹The values in this table correspond to the conditions that form the basis for emission calculations in the permit application. Fuel consumption and power production during the ramp-down from full power (approximately 15 minutes) were added to fuel consumption and power production at unit capacity during the rest of the 20 minute period (approximately 5 minutes).

²Email Tom Andrews to Ken Coats (6/12/13)

El Segundo Power appreciates the efforts that the District has made in its review of the ESPFM application.

Sincerely,

Tom Andrews

cc: Craig Hoffman, CEC Project Manager

George Piantka, NRG

Ken Riesz, NRG

Steve Odabashian, NRG