California Energy Commission

DOCKETED 08-AFC-8A

TN # 70316

APR. 15 2013

April 12, 2013

Mr. Hunter Kunkel San Joaquin Valley Division U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2065 Sacramento, CA 95825-1846

Re: Response to USFWS Comments on the Biological Assessment for the Hydrogen Energy California Project in Kern County, California.

Dear Mr. Kunkel:

This letter provides responses to comments that you provided to HECA's biological consultant, Steve Leach, on March 27, 2013. The comments addressed specific items in the Biological Assessment (BA) for the Hydrogen Energy California (HECA) Integrated Gasification Combined-Cycle Polygeneration Project in western Kern County, California that was submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on March 1, 2013. HECA and the United States Department of Energy (DOE) have reviewed these comments and addressed them as described below.

#### Comment 1

The BA makes the determination that the project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, the Buena Vista Lake ornate shrew. However, the species is presumed to be present, creating potential for mortality of individuals.

Based on the low likelihood of encountering a Buena Vista Lake ornate shrew, as well as the implementation of the proposed Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation measures, HECA and DOE believes that there is no potential for mortality of individual shrews.

The last paragraph on Page 6-1 of the BA is revised as follows:

The proposed action may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the Buena Vista Lake shrew. No Buena Vista Lake shrews were observed in the Action Area during 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012 surveys; however, this species is presumed to be present because Buena Vista Lake shrews have been previously documented in the greater biological region. Potential effects could include temporary loss of habitat during construction, and mortality of individuals caused by construction activities. The avoidance and conservation measures BIO 4, BIO 7, BIO 8, BIO 15, and BIO 16 described in Section 2.3 would avoid potential

J:\HECA - SCS\Submittals\CEC Submittals\CEC Submitt

mortality of Buena Vista Lake ornate shrews. substantially reduce the potential for direct effects on the Buena Vista Lake shrews. Also, habitat compensation, as described by conservation measure BIO 18, will provide additional benefits for long-term survival and recovery of the Buena Vista Lake shrew.

#### **Comment 2**

The BA states that HECA will compensate for the permanent and temporary loss of habitats by acquiring mitigation credits from the Kern Water Bank. Will these credits be purchased prior to initiation of project disturbance?

HECA will purchase mitigation credits prior to initiation of project disturbance.

The description for Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measure BIO-18 on the bottom of Page 2-16 of the BA is revised as follows:

HECA will compensate for the permanent and temporary loss of habitats potentially used by federally and state-listed species by acquiring credits from the USFWS-approved Kern Water Bank Authority mitigation bank. <u>Mitigation credits will be acquired prior to the start of ground disturbing activities.</u>

#### **Comment 3**

The BA states that plants will be avoided to the greatest extent possible. If federally-listed plant species cannot be avoided, USFWS recommends re-initiation of formal consultation to include federally-listed plant species.

No impacts to federally-listed plant species (including San Joaquin woolly-threads and California jewelflower) are anticipated to occur as a result of the proposed action based on available occurrence data.

The proposed Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measure BIO-2 at the top of Page 2-12 of the BA is revised to include the following text:

If any federally-listed plant species cannot be avoided, DOE will reinitiate formal consultation with USFWS.

#### **Comment 4**

The BA states pre-construction surveys will be conducted in affected areas that have potentially suitable habitat for blunt-nosed leopard lizard, San Joaquin kit fox, giant kangaroo rats, and Tipton's kangaroo rats. Please clarify the areas that will be surveyed by habitat or existing land use type as identified in the BA.

All habitat identified in Table 6 of the BA as "Natural/Ruderal" would be surveyed during preconstruction surveys for blunt-nosed leopard lizard, San Joaquin kit fox, giant kangaroo rats, and Tipton's kangaroo rats.

The description of Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measure BIO-4 (page 2-13) is revised to include the following text:

Affected areas containing potentially suitable habitat that will be surveyed are those habitats defined as "Natural/Ruderal" in Table 6, which are depicted in Figure 7 as the "2012 Survey Area" for blunt-nosed leopard lizard.

The description of Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measures BIO-5 (page 2-13), and BIO-15 (page 2-15) is revised to include the following text:

Affected areas containing potentially suitable habitat described in this measure are those habitats defined as "Natural/Ruderal" in Table 6, which are depicted in Figure 7 as the "2012 Survey Area" for blunt-nosed leopard lizard.

The description of the Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measure BIO-16 (pages 2-16) is revised to include the following text:

Ground disturbance monitoring will be conducted in portions of the action area with habitats defined as "Natural/Ruderal" in Table 6 and depicted in Figure 7 as the "2012 Survey Area" for blunt-nosed leopard lizard.

#### **Comment 5**

The BA states that small mammal trapping and relocation will be performed by a qualified biologist(s) who is approved by the California Energy Commission (CEC) and who holds the necessary permits. The USFWS recommends the biologists(s) also be USFWS-approved. The USFWS would also like more details on the criteria and/or qualifications used by the CEC in their approval process for biologists.

The text of Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measure BIO-16 (page 2-16) is modified as follows:

Construction activities in areas with habitat value for listed species will be monitored by a qualified biologist(s) while the top 18 inches of soil are initially disturbed. *The qualified biologist will be approved by the CEC and USFWS as specified in Measure BIO-17*. The biologist(s) will watch for any special-status animals and will have the authority to stop work if a listed wildlife species is encountered in the construction area. If authorized to remove and/or relocate the species, biologists will relocate the animal to the nearest safe location. If the species cannot be legally relocated, work at that location will be shut down and all personnel will be required to leave the area. The approved biologist will watch

the wildlife in question from a distance until the individual has left the area. The results of all construction monitoring will be documented, and submitted to the CEC, USFWS, and CDFG (see Mitigation Measure BIO-17).

The text of Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measures BIO-17(page 2-16) is modified as follows:

During construction at the HECA Project Site, a CEC-approved *qualified* biologist *approved by the CEC and the USFWS* will examine active work areas every day prior to the onset of activities to ensure that no special-status species are in the area, and that all wildlife barriers are still in place. Biologists will inform the construction crews when areas are clear, and report significant observations of wildlife to the agencies, as required in the BRMIMP.

<u>CEC-approved biologists must meet the following minimum criteria:</u>

- 1. <u>Hold a bachelor's degree in biological sciences, zoology, botany, ecology, or a closely related field</u>
- 2. <u>Have at least three years of experience in field biology or current certification of a nationally recognized biological society, such as The Ecological Society of America or The Wildlife Society</u>
- 3. <u>Have at least one year of field experience with biological resources found</u> in or near the Project area
- 4. <u>Meet any additional USFWS requirements for approved biological</u> monitor.

In lieu of requirements 1-3 above, the biologist's resume shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the CEC, in consultation with CDFW and USFWS, that the proposed biological monitor has the appropriate training and background to effectively implement the requirements specified in the applicable Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation measures.

#### **Comment 6**

Please reference the most up to date version of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Standardized Recommendations for Protection of the Endangered San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior to or During Ground Disturbance (USFWS 2011).

Reference to the 1999 guidelines are replaced with the 2011 guidelines, which are cited as:

USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), 2011. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Standardized Recommendations for Protection of the Endangered San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior to or During Ground Disturbance. URL:

http://www.fws.gov/sacramento/es/Survey-Protocols-Guidelines/Documents/kitfox standard rec 2011.pdf

#### **Comment 7**

The USFWS recommends the removal of all reference to the draft Elk Hills Habitat Conservation Plan within the BA.

The OEHI Project will be implemented in accordance with the terms and conditions of the 1995 Biological Opinion for the EHOF. References to the draft Elk Hills Habitat Conservation Plan are provided in the BA as background for this Section 7 consultation but OEHI would continue to rely on the terms and conditions of the existing 1995 Biological Opinion. Future submittals to the USFWS regarding the proposed action will not reference the draft Elk Hills Habitat Conservation Plan.

#### **Comment 8**

The BA states that small mammal trapping and relocation will be conducted for 5 consecutive nights. Where will small mammal individuals be relocated to?

Small mammals would be relocated to the nearest suitable habitat. The text of Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensation Measure BIO-15 is revised as follows:

Small mammal trapping and relocation will be conducted for 5 consecutive nights, or until no animals are caught on 2 consecutive nights per area. The small mammal trapping surveys would occur within the construction work areas in potentially suitable habitat (alkali desert scrub, pasture, annual grassland, and barren) that contains evidence of small mammals. Traps will be set according to "sign" (burrows, trails, scat, etc.) and/or in areas of high habitat quality. Small mammal trapping and relocation will be performed by a qualified biologist(s) approved by the CEC with the necessary permits. To minimize mortality associated with relocation, trapped individuals would be relocated to the nearest suitable habitat where property access is available. The results of the small mammal trapping and area clearance will be documented, and submitted to the CEC, USFWS, and CDFG (see Mitigation Measure BIO-17).

Please let us know if you concur with the revisions to the BA described above in response to your comments. The revisions presented in this letter replace the referenced sections in the BA. Unless requested by USFWS, DOE and HECA do not plan to submit a revised version of the BA.

HECA and DOE appreciate the USFWS' timely review of the Biological Assessment. We look forward to working with you to complete the formal consultation based on the responses to your

comments presented in this letter. Should you have any questions or additional concerns, please contact Steve Leach at (510) 874-3205 or steve.leach@urs.com.

Sincerely,

**URS CORPORATION** 

a Stakes

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Dale Shileikis Project Manager Fred Pozzuto NEPA Compliance Officer

cc:

Paul Detwiler, Department of Energy Marisa Mascaro, HECA Project Manager Thomas Leeman, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Steve Leach, URS



### BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

# AMENDED APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT

Docket No. 08-AFC-08A PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 3/4/13)

#### **SERVICE LIST:**

#### **APPLICANT**

SCS Energy, LLC Marisa Mascaro 30 Monument Square, Suite 235 Concord, MA 01742 mmascaro@scsenergyllc.com

Tiffany Rau 2629 Manhattan Avenue, PMB# 187 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 trau@heca.com

Hydrogen Energy California, LLC George Landman Director of Finance and Regulatory Affairs 500 Sansome Street, Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94111 glandman@heca.com

#### CONSULTANT FOR APPLICANT

URS Corporation
Dale Shileikis, Vice President
Energy Services Manager
Major Environmental Programs
One Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104-4538
dale\_shileikis@urscorp.com

#### **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Michael J. Carroll
Marc T. Campopiano
Latham & Watkins, LLP
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Fl.
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925
michael.carroll@lw.com
marc.campopiano@lw.com

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

Department of Conservation
Office of Governmental and
Environmental Relations
(Department of Oil, Gas &
Geothermal Resources)
Marni Weber
801 K Street, MS 2402
Sacramento, CA 95814-3530
marni.weber@conservation.ca.gov

#### **INTERVENORS**

California Unions for Reliable Energy Thomas A. Enslow Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Association of Irritated Residents Tom Frantz 30100 Orange Street Shafter, CA 93263 \*tom.frantz49@gmail.com

Kern-Kaweah Chapter of the Sierra Club Andrea Issod Matthew Vespa 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 andrea.issod@sierraclub.org matt.vespa@sierraclub.org

#### **INTERVENORS (Cont'd)**

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) Timothy O'Connor, Esq. 123 Mission Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 toconnor@edf.org

Natural Resources Defense Council George Peridas 111 Sutter Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl. San Francisco, CA 94104 gperidas@nrdc.org

Kern County Farm Bureau, Inc. Benjamin McFarland 801 South Mt. Vernon Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93307 bmcfarland@kerncfb.com

HECA Neighbors c/o Chris Romanini P.O. Box 786 Buttonwillow, CA 93206 roman93311@aol.com

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF**

Robert Worl Project Manager robert.worl.energy.ca.gov

John Heiser Associate Project Manager john.heiser@energy.ca.gov

Lisa DeCarlo Staff Counsel lisa.decarlo@energy.ca.gov

#### <u>ENERGY COMMISSION –</u> PUBLIC ADVISER

Blake Roberts Assistant Public Adviser publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

#### COMMISSION DOCKET UNIT

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT Attn: Docket No. 08-AFC-08A 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.ca.gov

## OTHER ENERGY COMMISSION PARTICIPANTS (LISTED FOR CONVENIENCE ONLY):

After docketing, the Docket Unit will provide a copy to the persons listed below. Do not send copies of documents to these persons unless specifically directed to do so.

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Presiding Member

ANDREW McALLISTER
Commissioner and Associate Member

Raoul Renaud Hearing Adviser

Galen Lemei Adviser to Presiding Member

Jennifer Nelson Adviser to Presiding Member

\*Hazel Miranda Adviser to Associate Member

David Hungerford Adviser to Associate Member

Patrick Saxton Adviser to Associate Member

Eileen Allen Commissioners' Technical Adviser for Facility Siting

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, <u>Dale Shileikis</u>, declare that on <u>April 15</u>, 2013, I served and filed copies of the attached <u>Response to USFWS</u> <u>Comments on the Biological Assessment</u>, dated <u>April 12</u>, 2013. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service, which I copied from the web page for this project at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/hydrogen\_energy/.

The document has been sent to the other persons on the Service List above in the following manner:

(Check one)
-------------

(Check	one)	
For service to all other parties and filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:		
<u>X</u>	I e-mailed the document to all e-mail addresses on the Service List above and personally delivered it of deposited it in the US mail with first class postage to those persons noted above as "hard copy required" OR	
	Instead of e-mailing the document, I personally delivered it or deposited it in the US mail with first class postage to all of the persons on the Service List for whom a mailing address is given.	
	e under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and nover the age of 18 years.	