Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Charlene Wassell [solarchar@cox.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2012 2:12 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System

Cc: JDale@ci.santee.ca.us; JMinto@ci.santee.ca.us; JRyan@ci.santee.ca.us;

RVoepel@ci.santee.ca.us; RMcNelis@ci.santee.ca.us; dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov; Senator.Kehoe@sen.ca.gov; susan.davis@mail.house.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov;

senator@feinstein.senate.gov; assemblymember.jones@assembly.ca.gov

Subject: Quail Brush 11-AFC-03 comments - please post for public review

Categories: Ready to Docket

Please post my comments in CEC Docket 11-AFC-03, the Quail Brush Power Generation Siting Case, for public review.

I oppose the Quail Brush power plant for many reasons including:

- 1. 100 foot high smoke stacks spewing more than 200 tons of toxins and pollutants yearly to nearby schools, parks, and residents.
- 2. Mission Trails Park visitors, Santee residents, and other nearby residents will suffer from noise pollution.
- 3. Mission Trails Park visitors, Santee residents, and others will suffer from visual blight.
- 4. A gas-fed plant being built in a highly fire prone area near schools, parks, and residents promotes potential disaster.
- 5. Historical 3 7% reduction in property values for nearby residents of power plants in an already depressed housing market.
- 6. We should be pursuing truly renewable energy resources and technologies such as solar, wind, and grid power storage.

The proposed location and gas-fired generation technology is an extremely poor choice for San Diego, and only serves the greed of corporations while threatening the health and welfare of San Diego County citizens.

Sincerely yours,

Charlene Wassell Santee, California California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
11-AFC-3

TN # 67990 OCT 23 2012