California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
11-AFC-3

TN # 67230

SEP 20 2012

From: Justin Faber [mailto:faber619@live.com] Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 12:27 PM

To: Solorio, Eric@Energy

Cc: ca52dhima@mail.house.gov; anthonyyoung@sandiego.gov; carldemaio@sandiego.gov; jerrysanders@sandiego.gov; kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov; martiemerald@sandiego.gov; sherrilightner@sandiego.gov; toddgloria@sandiego.gov; davidalvarez@sandiego.gov; loriezapf@sandiego.gov; jdale@ci.santee.ca.us; jminto@ci.santee.ca.us; jryan@ci.santee.ca.us; rvoepel@ci.santee.ca.us; rmcnelis@ci.santee.ca.us; dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov; senator.kehoe@sen.ca.gov; susan.davis@mail.house.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator@feinstein.senate.gov; assemblymember.jones@assembly.ca.gov; gail.ramer@asm.ca.gov **Subject:** CEC record - Docket #11 - AFC - 03 Quail Brush Power Generation Siting Case

TO: Eric Solorio, California Energy Commission <u>esolorio@energy.state.ca.us</u> SUBJECT: CEC Record - Docket #11 - AFC-03 Quail Brush Generation Siting Case - Opposed

We reject any entity to site a power plant in the East Elliott area north of Hwy. 52 for the following reasons:

We will be adversely impacted by fire danger, air pollution, noise, decreased property values, and visual blight. The QBGP siting threatens the health, recreation, natural beauty, quiet, and quality of life of all San Diego County residents who use Mission Trails Regional Park (MTRP) *and* those who live, work, and go to school in the adjacent communities.

The proposed QBGP is not compatible with many of the City of San Diego's laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards (LORS):

- 1) The East Elliott Community Plan appropriately and correctly sets aside the area as *Park, Open Space, and Recreation*.
- 2) The Municipal Code designates the site as single-family residential (RS-1-8).
- 3) The City's Multi-Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Subarea Plan is not congruent with industrial zoning.
- 4) The Mission Trails Design District identifies the area for natural habitat preservation and trail expansion. It is an important wildlife corridor.

As California state and local taxpayers, we object to any further spending of taxpayer dollars in pursuit of a project that violates these consequential local land use provisions.

MTRP is one of the most popular parks in San Diego County. Its unparalleled scenic views, camping, fishing, Native American historical sites, equestrian area, hiking/biking trails, and the San Diego River watershed would be within yards of the conflicting natural gas power plant.

I urge the CEC to implement the NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE while considering conservation and energy storage. Sincerely,

Justin Faber San Diego, California