

From: rllcth@aol.com [mailto:rllcth@aol.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 7:02 AM

To: Solorio, Eric@Energy

Cc: anthonyyoung@sandiego.gov; CarlDeMaio@sandiego.gov; JerrySanders@sandiego.gov; kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov; martiemerald@sandiego.gov; SherriLightner@sandiego.gov; toddgloria@sandiego.gov; davidalvarez@sandiego.gov; loriezapf@sandiego.gov; JDale@ci.santee.ca.us; JMinto@ci.santee.ca.us; JRyan@ci.santee.ca.us; RVoepel@ci.santee.ca.us; RMcNelis@ci.santee.ca.us

Subject: POWER PLANT

TO: Eric Solorio, California Energy Commission esolorio@energy.state.ca.us

SUBJECT: CEC Record - Docket #11 - AFC-03 Quail Brush Generation Siting Case - Opposed

We reject any entity to site a power plant in the East Elliott area north of Hwy. 52 for the following reasons:

We will be adversely impacted by fire danger, air pollution, noise, decreased property values, and visual blight. The QBGP siting threatens the health, recreation, natural beauty, quiet, and quality of life of all San Diego County residents who use Mission Trails Regional Park (MTRP) and those who live, work, and go to school in the adjacent communities.

The proposed QBGP is not compatible with many of the City of San Diego's laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards (LORS):

- 1) The East Elliott Community Plan appropriately and correctly sets aside the area as Park, Open Space, and Recreation.
- 2) The Municipal Code designates the site as single-family residential (RS-1-8).
- 3) The City's Multi-Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Subarea Plan is not congruent with industrial zoning.
- 4) The Mission Trails Design District identifies the area for natural habitat preservation and trail expansion. It is an important wildlife corridor.

As a California state and local taxpayer, I object to any further spending of taxpayer dollars in pursuit of a project that violates these consequential local land use provisions. MTRP is one of the most popular parks in San Diego County. Its unparalleled scenic views, camping, fishing, Native American historical sites, equestrian area, hiking/biking trails, and the San Diego River watershed would be within yards of the conflicting natural gas power plant.

There is also years of collected evidence pointing out that having a powerplant like the one proposed will have significant health implications for all residents living near it. That includes my 4-year old child. I have no desire to have him be a case study for children living near power plants and who now has any range of cancers or respiratory illnesses.

I urge the CEC to implement the NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE while considering conservation and energy storage. We have alternatives. We just need to be willing to go the extra mile to implement them to preserve the safety of our environment and the health of our children.

Sincerely,
Becky Lane
San Diego (Tierrasanta)