

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Ed Tan [edgard_tan@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 10:43 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Cc: edgard_tan@hotmail.com
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED

09-RENEW EO-1

TN # 66571

AUG 07 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Thank you,
Edgard Tan

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Ed Gala [egala@socal.rr.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 8:12 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Ed Gala
714-709-1523

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Mary Effron [maryeffron@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 6:36 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Mary Effron
Joshua Tree, CA

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Bill Morgan [bmorg596@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 5:41 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities.
I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Sincerely,

William C. Morgan, Jr. DDS
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Russell Drake [russ33@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 4:46 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: FW: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of June 25, 2012

Note: email just sent my P.O. mail address is incorrect. It should P. O. Box 1213, not "1212."
Thank you.

Russell M. Drake

From: russ33@msn.com
To: docket@energy.ca.gov
CC: alert@mbconservation.org
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of June 25, 2012
Date: Mon, 6 Aug 2012 16:38:27 -0700

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the

August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Russell M. Drake
P. O. Box 1212
Yucca Valley, CA 92286
(760) 365-2995

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Russell Drake [russ33@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 4:38 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Cc: alert@mbconservation.org
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of June 25, 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Russell M. Drake
P. O. Box 1212
Yucca Valley, CA 92286
(760) 365-2995

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Jane Humphries [jhsprite@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 4:30 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities.

That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission.

That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Sincerely,
Jane Humphries
Yucca Valley CA
760-365-7593

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Beryl Holck [berylgus@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 4:03 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Beryl J. Holck
Pioneertown, Ca Resident

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Debra Gala [debragala@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 2:04 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Thank you for your time,
Debra Gala

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: lauren pizzuto [lauren.dena@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 1:25 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative scenerios of july 25, 2012

Categories: Ready to Docket

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Sincerely yours,
Lauren McAvoy

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: cconway [c-conway@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 1:20 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for

thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Caroline Conway
Joshua Tree, CA

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: lynannefelts@aol.com
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 1:19 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenerios of July 25, 2012

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Sincerely yours,
LynAnne Felts

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: MARK JUNGE [jungeart@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 12:13 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012

Categories: Ready to Docket

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Mark Junge

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Linda Gommel [lvstorelg@lucernevalley.net]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 11:42 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Importance: High

Categories: Ready to Docket

Re: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, **Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01**

I protest the shortening of the comment period and the elimination of public meetings of stakeholders regarding the five scenarios siting wind and solar development in our High Desert, and particularly in Lucerne Valley.

A significant portion of Lucerne Valley has been designated as a Development Focus Area in all five alternative scenarios. This violates the San Bernardino County Master Plan. It is an inappropriate use of a rural, residential, and recreational area of the desert. We strongly protest this invasion of our homes.

The comment and input period MUST be extended beyond August 8.

You must NOT INVADE our desert in this way!!

Linda Gommel
Lucerne Valley Market/Hardware
32946 Hwy 18; P.O. Box 749
Lucerne Valley, CA 92356
760 2487311
Fax 760 2486324
Email: lvstore@sisp.net

Read The Patriot -- It's Right. It's Free.
<http://patriotpost.us/subscribe.php>

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Claudia Sall [sallwildlands@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 9:44 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Categories: Ready to Docket

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. This inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were disappointing. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Claudia Sall
54919 Skyline Ranch Rd
Pioneertown, CA

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Anthony Angelotti [tonyangelotti@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 7:17 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Cc: Anthony Angelotti
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Categories: Ready to Docket

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped backcountry surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

Thank you for your time.

Regards,

Anthony Angelotti

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: katmoser@verizon.net
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 7:05 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012
Attachments: untitled-1.jpg; butterflies3_hyper+btn.gif

Categories: Ready to Docket

SUBJECT: DRECP Alternative Scenarios of July 25, 2012, Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the July 25, 2012, renewable energy development alternatives of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP).

I am writing regarding the Morongo Basin and its surrounding communities, where there is a long heritage of commitment and action to protect desert lands. This area is a unique collection of high-desert small towns and communities nestled amongst conserved lands: Joshua Tree National Park, Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. In addition, these communities have pitched in their own money to acquire lands identified for protection, purchasing private lands and gifting them to Joshua Tree National Park.

Threats to undo this conservation are a serious concern for me, because this geography is a foundational element of the area's quality of life and economic base. The area's economy is significantly based on tourists who come to see the desert AS IT IS NOW. In recent years, the spotlight has been shone on the high desert as a place for development of renewable energy projects. While I support that effort in general, I believe that the siting of such development in locations like the Morongo Basin and its surrounding area would be disastrous to the local lifestyle and to the tourism-based economy.

Concerned citizens successfully opposed the ill-sited Green Path North transmission line proposed by LADWP to be built in undeveloped backcountry and along the viewshed of these high-desert communities. That inappropriate project could have been the door that opened the floodgates to more inappropriate energy development and transmission. That citizen effort led me to become a proactive participant in renewable energy siting decisions, first with the RETI process, then the Solar PEIS and now the DRECP.

The July 25 published scenarios of the DRECP were surprising. I am very disturbed and oppose the scenarios siting solar and wind development in the Morongo Basin on BLM lands next to Joshua Tree National Park and in undeveloped back country surrounding Big Morongo Canyon Preserve, Pioneertown Mountains Preserve, and Bighorn Mountain Wilderness. This siting and the addition of variance lands for more development is just inappropriate and inconsistent with the area's quality of life, its citizens' values, the tourism-based economy, and the San Bernardino County Master Plan.

The DRECP process should involve some outreach to affected communities. There were no workshops or meetings held in the Morongo Basin area, and its citizens received no correspondence or communication of any kind. The alternative maps have changed with little or no explanation, and the iterations of these alternatives have not allowed ample time for thoughtful comment. Considering the long-term effect of the plan, the comment period should be extended beyond the August 8 deadline.

I urge you to consider a scenario that does not develop renewable energy in the high-desert, tourism-based communities. I encourage adoption of Alternative 1 after first deleting the variance lands or adoption of Alternative 2.

I beg of you, to help us preserve the views and wild animal life for which my family moved from Los Angeles County to make this beautiful desert our home.

Karen Anne Thomas Moser
Joshua Tree, CA

[FREE Animations for your email - by IncredMail!](#)

Click Here!