Michael J. Carroll Marc T. Campopiano LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 2000 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 (714) 540-1235 California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
08-AFC-8A
TN # 66162
JUL 10 2012

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:) Docket No. 08-AFC-8A
REVISED APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT ("HECA")	RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS 1-3 FROM ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS (AIR) DATED JUNE 29, 2012

On June 30, 2012, intervener Association of Irritated Residents (AIR) docketed and served data requests in the above-referenced matter. Data requests 1-3 pertain to Applicant's two alternative proposals for transporting raw materials to, and finished products from, the Project site – rail option and truck option. AIR requested that Applicant provide responses to these questions prior to the Informational Hearing and Site Visit scheduled for July 12, 2012. As requested by AIR, Applicant hereby responds to data requests 1-3. Applicant will provide objections and/or responses to the remaining data requests according to the standard regulatory schedule.

Data Request 1:

This first question or data request is about "eminent domain" and is addressed to both HECA and the CEC. Assuming HECA decides they need to build a railroad spur through surrounding farmland and assuming local farmers may not wish to have this railroad spur disrupt their farming operations, then which government entity, if any, will exercise the option of eminent domain and condemn the farmland so that it can be sold to HECA? If there are multiple options, please indicate. We also request an answer to this question before the local Tupman meeting on July 12 since local residents will be present who need to have this information.

Response:

As a private entity, HECA does not have the power of eminent domain. Thus, there are no plans to acquire any property or rights of way needed for the Project by eminent domain.

Data Request 2:

If eminent domain procedures are initiated for the railroad spur, do these procedures have to be completed before the CEC gives final approval for HECA?

Response:

Please see response to data request 1 above.

Data Request 3:

During the workshop on June 20, a question was raised about whether HECA would receive approval with an option to use either a railroad spur for local railroad delivery of coal or use trucking of coal from the depot in the town of Wasco. Isn't it true that this option must be decided before any final CEC approval of the project? The two options are vastly different in their effects on local residents. If this is left as an open question indefinitely, please explain how that would be legal under CEQA regulations. Please clarify when these transportation options must be finalized.

Response:

As indicated at the workshop on June 20, 2012, HECA has requested that the CEC staff review both transportation options. The reason for this request is that it is not yet clear which of the two options will be preferred from practical and commercial perspectives. If at some point during the certification proceedings, it becomes clear which of the two options will be pursued, Applicant will notify the CEC staff that continued review of the other option is no longer necessary. It may be, however, that Applicant requests that a final certification be issued which includes both options. As long as both options, including their potential environmental impacts, are fully analyzed, CEQA requirements would be satisfied. There is existing CEC precedent for such an approach. For example, the Palmdale Hybrid Power Project was recently certified with two alternative transmission line routes.

DATED: July 9, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael Carroll

Michael J. Carroll LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Counsel to Applicant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 08-AFC-08A
)	
AMENDED APPLICATION FOR)	PROOF OF SERVICE
CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN)	
ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT)	(June 4, 2012)
)	
)	

APPLICANT

Marisa Mascaro

SCS Energy LLC 30 Monument Square, Suite 235 Concord, MA 01742 mmascaro@scsenergyllc.com

Tiffany Rau

2629 Manhattan Avenue, PMB# 187 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Trau@heca.com

George Landman

Director of Finance and Regulatory Affairs Hydrogen Energy California, LLC 500 Sansome Street, Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94111 glandman@heca.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT

Dale Shileikis

Vice President
Energy Services Manager
Major Environmental Programs
URS Corporation
One Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104-4538
dale shileikis@urscorp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO

e-recipient@caiso.com

Marni Weber

Department of Conservation
Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations
(Department of Oil, Gas & Geothermal Resources)
801 K Street MS 2402
Sacramento, CA 95814-3530
Marni.Weber@conservation.ca.gov

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy
Thomas A. Enslow
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95814
tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Frantz

Association of Irritated Residents 30100 Orange Street Shafter, CA 93263 tfrantz@bak.rr.com

Andrea Issod Matthew Vespa

Kern-Kaweah Chapter Of the Sierra Club 85 Second St, Second Floor San Francisco, California 94105 andrea.issod@sierraclub.org matt.vespa@sierraclub.org

Timothy O'Connor, Esq.

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) 1107 Ninth St., Suite 540 Sacramento, CA 95814 toconnor@edf.org

George Peridas

Natural Resources Defense Council 111 Sutter Street, 20th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94104 gperidas@nrdc.org

ENERGY COMMISSION – DECISION MAKERS

Karen Douglas

Commissioner and Presiding Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 karen.douglas@energy.ca.gov

Andrew McAllister

Commissioner and Associate Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 andrew.mcallister@energy.ca.gov

Raoul Renaud

Hearing Advisor
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
raoul.renaud@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei

Advisor to Presiding Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 galen.lemei@energy.ca.gov

David Hungerford

Advisor to Associate Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 david.hungerford@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION – STAFF

Robert Worl

Project Manager CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 robert.worl@energy.ca.gov

Lisa De Carlo

Staff Counsel
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
lisa.decarlo@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen

Commissioner's Technical Advisor For Facility Siting CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 eileen.allen@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC ADVISOR

Jennifer Jennings

Public Adviser's Office CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION E-mail preferred publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Paul Kihm, declare that on July 9, 2012, I served and filed copies of the attached:

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS 1-3 FROM ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS (AIR) DATED JUNE 29, 2012

to all parties identified on the Proof of Service List above in the following manner:

California Energy Commission Docket Unit

Transmission via electronic mail to:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: DOCKET NO. 08-AFC-08A 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, California 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

For Service to All Other Parties

Transmission via electronic mail to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 9, 2012, at Costa Mesa, California.

/S/ Paul Kihm
Paul Kihm