IEPR Lead Commissioner Workshop Electricity Infrastructure Issues in California

South Coast AQMD Air Quality-Related Energy Policy Affecting Electricity Infrastructure

DOCKETED
12-IEP-1C

TN # 65978 JUN 27 2012




Barry R. Wallerstein, D.Env.
Executive Officer

Los Angeles June 22, 2012

South Coast Air Quality Management District




- Local air pollution control agency in Southern
 California (non-desert portions of LA, Riverside & San Bernardino counties and all of Orange county)
- Population of 17 million (about half of State's population)
- Worst air quality in the nation (Ozone & PM 2.5)
- Receives and processes about 10,000 permit applications annually
- Regulates over 27,000 stationary sources





Ozone Exposures* 8-Hour NAAQS = 75 ppb






^{*} Population-weighted incremental exposure to ozone above the 8-Hour NAAQS (> 75 ppb), based on 2008-2010 design values

PM2.5 Exposure* Annual Average NAAQS = 15 μg/m3





^{*} Population-weighted incremental exposure to PM2.5 above the NAAQS annual standard, based on 2007-2009 data

Mobile Sources Cause 80% of Remaining Air Pollution in South Coast











Other 20%







Top NOx Source Categories (2023) (2012 AQMP Draft Inventory)





⁺ Draft 2012 AQMP as of May 4, 2012 (preliminary estimates)

^{*} Oceangoing vessels = 33.8 tons/day

^{**}RECLAIM: 320 largest stationary sources, including all refineries and power plants

Nitrogen Oxides Emissions in 2023

with Adopted Standards



and Additional Needed Emission Reductions (tons per day)





SCAB Ozone Attainment Preliminary Basin NOX Reductions Needed



| Year | Federal Standard (PPB) | Percent Reduction of NOX Emissions from 2023 Base Year | Carrying Capacity (tpd) |
|------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 2023 | 80 | 65 | 115 |
| 2032 | 75 | 75 | 80 |

The Relationship





Energy Use


Air Quality Health

Multiple Issues, Separate Solutions






















Integrated Solution





AQMD Energy-Related Policy: Key elements



- Zero- and near-zero emission technologies
- Demand-side management programs (energy efficiency, conservation, load shifting)
- Distributed renewable generation and energy storage
- Continued need for fossil fuel plants
- Community mitigation
- Public education

AQMD Energy-Related Policy: *Key actions*



- Feasibility studies of zero- and near-zero emission technologies, costs, impacts
- Working groups standardized charging installation, rate structure
- Develop and demonstration of biogas and other clean energy sources from biomass
- Participate w/ CEC, PUC & partner to promote energy efficiency through local actions
- Tracking and reporting

Regulatory Structure Prior to Electricity Deregulation



- Public Utilities Commission (PUC)
 - Regulated entire system of Generation, Transmission, Distribution and set energy prices
 - Prices for energy for IOUs (SCE, PG&E and SDG&E)
 based on cost of running power plants
- California Energy Commission (CEC)
 - License power plants (> 50 MWs) and perform "Needs Analysis"
 - Forecast energy needs
- IOUs provided 77% and Municipalities 23% of power

Regulatory Structure After Electricity Deregulation




- Public Utilities Commission (PUC)
 - Three IOUs divested their generating plants and sold them to five private energy companies (AES/Williams, Dynegy/NRG, Reliant, Duke and Mirant)
 - Continues to regulate retail rates for IOUs, but prices mostly determined by wholesale prices
- California Energy Commission (CEC)
 - License power plants (> 50 MWs) w/o "Needs Analysis"
 - Prepares IEPR
- Utilities sign PPAs with private energy companies
- Private energy companies provide 42%, IOUs 31% and Municipalities/governments 27% of power

Emission Offset Shortage / PM10 ERC Supply & Cost 2000 – 2012*



Supply decreased by 57% since 2000;

Cost increased by 2,621% since 2000



Status of Power Plants in SCAQMD Since Early 2000



| Power Plants | MW |
|---|--------|
| Permitted & in Operation (NG-Fired) | 7,745 |
| Permitted Not Operational (NG-Fired) | 2,815 |
| Permitted Not Operational (Cogen) | 87 |
| Pending Final Permits (Cogen & Solar) | 620 |
| Total Addition | 11,267 |
| Shutdowns | 4,539 |
| Generating Units Subject to Termination of OTC | 7,646 |
| Denied or Cancelled Projects due to lack of Offsets | 2,399 |

SCAQMD Staff Observations / Concerns



- Electricity Deregulation has transferred power generation from a small group of utilities to a small group of energy companies
- State of California may have inadequate energy planning, (e.g. Huntington Beach Units 3 & 4)
- Availability and cost of emission offsets are of significant concern that impacts economic growth in SCAQMD



Take-away: Clean Energy Future



- Public investments in energy infrastructure must focus on projects that achieve maximum co-benefits, including:
 - -reductions in criteria pollutants, air toxics, and greenhouse gases
 - energy security and energy diversity
 - energy cost certainty, especially for sectors key to SoCal economy