

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Balderston [thebeachhse@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:17 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

<b>DOCKET</b>	
<b>09-RENEW EO-1</b>	
<b>DATE</b>	<b>MAY 29 2012</b>
<b>RECD.</b>	<b>MAY 29 2012</b>

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Balderston  
415 Begonia Ave  
Corona Del Mar, CA 92625-2840  
(949) 673-7087

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Allan Prival [bluesky.esq@gte.net]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 10:39 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allan Prival  
2921 10th St  
Santa Monica, CA 90405-5749

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Allene Reynolds [allenesart@aol.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 6:59 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Allene Reynolds  
11716 Telechron Ave  
Whittier, CA 90605-4040  
(562) 944-5661

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brittany Campbell [candychick@me.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 27, 2012 2:32 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brittany Campbell  
1773 S Garth Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90035-4303

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Lamb [me@wolfdogg.org]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 1:39 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Lamb  
PO Box 290453  
Phelan, CA 92329-0453

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Mccarthy [anbr@sonic.net]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 7:11 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Mccarthy  
1956 Piner Road, #113  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2268

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chris Fluke [dackmaddy107@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 3:35 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Fluke  
10610 Red Dog Rd  
Nevada City, CA 95959-8857

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chuck Greenwood [chuckandsuegreenwood@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 7:10 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chuck Greenwood  
PO Box 242  
Bangor, CA 95914-0242

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Caroline Hope [hopece@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Friday, May 25, 2012 8:44 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 25, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Caroline Hope  
853 W Hacienda Ave  
Campbell, CA 95008-6400  
(863) 414-5499

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Dawson [ddawson766@msn.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 27, 2012 12:22 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diane Dawson  
999 Old San Jose Rd  
Spc 17  
Soquel, CA 95073-9793

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D Rowden [kisdbr@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 27, 2012 8:44 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. D Rowden  
1030 Girard Rd Apt 304a  
San Francisco, CA 94129-1033  
(415) 561-7906

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Anderson [ekanderson9@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Friday, May 25, 2012 6:40 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 25, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emily Anderson  
224 Douglass St  
San Francisco, CA 94114-2491

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eric Ramstrom [sramstrom@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 5:36 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eric Ramstrom  
2451 Castlewood Dr  
Redding, CA 96002-5125  
(530) 221-3650

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jess Graffell [boxerguy@roadrunner.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 27, 2012 6:03 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jess Graffell  
37444 Ironwood Dr  
Yucaipa, CA 92399-7025

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jessica Krakow [scolex264@mypacks.net]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 6:45 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jessica Krakow  
62 Joost Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94131-3239

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jacquelin Perry [cjpaagre@aol.com]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 8:49 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jacquelin Perry  
6661 Michaeljohn Dr  
La Jolla, CA 92037-6240  
(858) 459-2714

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jacquelyn Sorby [dsorby@att.net]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 3:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jacquelyn Sorby  
4362 Yacht Harbor Dr  
Stockton, CA 95204-1126  
(209) 948-3456

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jill Wiechman [jillwiechman@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 6:57 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Wiechman  
1318 Alessandro Dr  
Newbury Park, CA 91320-3513

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Kim Zeller [jenniferkzeller@aol.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 6:06 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Kim Zeller  
624 14th St  
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-4839

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kelly Finn [anglepadoo@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 7:10 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kelly Finn  
20870 Maben Rd  
Grass Valley, CA 95949-7802  
(530) 559-4847

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leila Jackson [leilalee\_79@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 4:35 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leila Jackson  
9921 Robbins Dr Apt 4  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1619

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**  
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Luis Mena [julio1912@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 7:03 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Luis Mena  
38665 Adcock Dr  
Fremont, CA 94536-4366

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lori Slusher [slusherwolf@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 27, 2012 3:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Slusher  
PO Box 341  
Pine Valley, CA 91962-0341

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michelle Hardwick [rockylove010101@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 3:35 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Hardwick  
574 Arden Dr  
Encinitas, CA 92024-4501

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Molly Mendez [teachermollyg@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 6:58 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Molly Mendez  
85 Bergamot Ct  
Oakley, CA 94561-2492

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maureen Oleyar [pillmanbooklady@comcast.net]

Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 7:08 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I certainly support renewable energy but not at the cost of our desert wildlife. I firmly believe that the projects can be compatible with concerns for the wildlife. The easiest way isn't always the best and we need to embrace creative ways to accomplish a satisfactory outcome for all.

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maureen Oleyar  
1408 S Mary Ave  
Sunnyvale, CA 94087-4040  
(408) 733-4815

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marcela Vasquez [vasquez.marcela1@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 10:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 30, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Marcela Vasquez  
11113 Culver Blvd  
Culver City, CA 90230-4047

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Noah Hall [noahahall@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Friday, May 25, 2012 7:54 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 25, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Noah Hall  
742 E 3rd St  
Long Beach, CA 90802-3301

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Phyllis Avilla [gato55purple@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Friday, May 25, 2012 6:40 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 25, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phyllis Avilla  
PO Box 943  
Red Bluff, CA 96080-0943

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pamela Childs [pchilds@mtsac.edu]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 3:06 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Childs  
1306 Vista Grande  
Fullerton, CA 92835-2832  
(714) 990-2611

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pat Davis [pddp@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 9:59 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Davis  
5314 Baza Ave  
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-1805

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Marlatt [patriciamarlatt@me.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 7:15 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Marlatt  
3863 Fredonia Dr  
Los Angeles, CA 90068-1211  
(323) 874-2818

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Blackmoore [robertblackmoore@me.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 10:38 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Blackmoore  
8707 Wight Way  
Kelseyville, CA 95451-9229

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ron Clark [96cavalier@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 11:32 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Clark  
24163 Birch Ter  
Willits, CA 95490-9505

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rachel Hervey [rakalmarie@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 7:13 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

We need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you .

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rachel Hervey  
9215 Tassajara Cr Road  
Santa Margarita, CA 93453-9759  
(805) 438-4460

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Duffy [backcountryduffy@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 27, 2012 1:24 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharon Duffy  
35240 Peralta Dr  
Warner Springs, CA 92086-9718  
(760) 782-9945

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Mattern [matternsharon@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2012 4:05 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 29, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Mattern  
73166 Bill Tilden Ln  
Palm Desert, CA 92260-5923  
(760) 346-2202

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sophie Miranda [sem95833@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 27, 2012 12:20 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sophie Miranda  
8510 Thornbury Dr  
Antelope, CA 95843-5553

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sara Shaw [sarashaw20@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 27, 2012 8:37 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 27, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Shaw  
1095 Rio Vista Dr  
Pacifica, CA 94044-4127

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandra Wawrytko [swawryt1@san.rr.com]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 3:36 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sandra Wawrytko  
10869 Wallingford Rd  
San Diego, CA 92126-2560

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wilson Forbes [wtforbes@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 11:16 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wilson Forbes  
3246 Meadow Creek Ln  
Escondido, CA 92027-6213  
(760) 294-5301

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Yesenia Covarrubias [lovewilltearapart0604@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Saturday, May 26, 2012 1:06 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 26, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Yesenia Covarrubias  
536 W 3rd St  
San Pedro, CA 90731-2506