

## You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alan Castner [plantaekingdom@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, May 21, 2012 11:50 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

<b>DOCKET</b>	
<b>09-RENEW EO-1</b>	
DATE	<u>MAY 21 2012</u>
RECD.	<u>MAY 21 2012</u>

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Castner  
1270 61st St # B  
Emeryville, CA 94608-2133

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of bette auble [seasalt8@yahoo.co.uk]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss bette auble  
13120 Pleasant Vista Ln  
Auburn, CA 95603-4131

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bahar Badi'Asallahm [baharmony@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 10:22 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I deeply hope & pray we remember the future of prosperity and wellbeing belongs to individuals and nations that value life all-inclusively and act with a sense of urgency and responsibility, by awareness and the mighty wisdom of God and truth, the lifeforce.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bahar Badi'Asallahm  
850 Superba Ave  
Venice, CA 90291-3871

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brenda Reese [reeseb@x-gateway.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 9:49 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Reese  
130 Shelley Ave  
Campbell, CA 95008-7000  
(408) 976-7406

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Claire Flewitt [claireflewitt@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claire Flewitt  
975 Soto Dr  
San Lorenzo, CA 94580-1554

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Lane [carollane@mindspring.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 5:29 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Lane  
1260 Pear Dr  
Concord, CA 94518-3731  
(925) 831-0716

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**  
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Denise Dipasquale [sunnytuscanyc@aol.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 10:36 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Dipasquale  
325 Hopkins Ave  
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-4804  
(310) 973-3162

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Denise Goldmann [ddgoldmann@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:29 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Denise Goldmann  
5512 Armitos Ave Apt 42  
Goleta, CA 93117-3545

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Luff [david.luff@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 9:51 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Luff  
390 F  
Ventura, CA 93003-3003  
(805) 642-5335

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Desiree Mitchell [sfsonshine@aol.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Desiree Mitchell  
444 Post St  
San Francisco, CA 94102-1502

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dianne Monroe [dart51@aol.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 9:20 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Monroe  
1333 Enos Ave  
Sebastopol, CA 95472-4457

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gail Bedinger [ghbedinger@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, May 18, 2012 7:58 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

May 18, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks.

Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Bedinger  
1175 Vintage Dr  
Rio Vista, CA 94571-5100  
(310) 375-6345

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Heidi Bowman [hlbowman1@aol.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 1:27 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Bowman  
22766 Brandywine Dr  
Calabasas, CA 91302-5735

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Baker [bakers99@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Baker  
202 Vista Del Monte  
Los Gatos, CA 95030-6317  
(409) 354-4850

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Beaubien [jonbobe@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 3:19 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Beaubien  
7260 1/2 Fountain Ave Apt 2  
West Hollywood, CA 90046-5772

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jim Hibbs [hibbsj48@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Hibbs  
PO Box 892  
Cotati, CA 94931-0892

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Juliet Pearson [julietjohns@mac.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 1:25 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Juliet Pearson  
18734 Bambi Ct  
Grass Valley, CA 95949-8921

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jorgen Ramstead [jramstead@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:04 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jorgen Ramstead  
2421 Valley View Dr  
Los Angeles, CA 90026-2019

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Rueff [jimrueff@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:41 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in alternative energy sources to help buffer us from the dwindling and ever more expensive fossil fuels and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact views in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Rueff  
16842 Mount Whitney St  
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-3022  
(714) 321-2555

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janice Vrmeer [katevrmeer@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 1:27 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janice Vrmeer  
1386 Owen Ct  
Penryn, CA 95663-9662

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kent Foster [kentfoster77@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kent Foster  
1107 N Beverly Glen Blvd  
Los Angeles, CA 90077-3121

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karl Koessel [karl.koessel@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 8:43 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Karl Koessel  
PO Box 257  
Blue Lake, CA 95525-0257

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristy test Chew [k\_chew@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:11 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristy test Chew  
1516 9th St  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5504

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lyn Javier [lsbireal@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:40 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lyn Javier  
PO Box 215  
Bridgeville, CA 95526-0215

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Thompson [angsandm@aol.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 10:01 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Thompson  
22365 Morales Ct  
Castro Valley, CA 94546-7213  
(510) 397-2757

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Galbraith [mjgalbraith@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:20 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Galbraith  
5085 Tisdale Way  
San Jose, CA 95130-2243  
(408) 340-3648

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of M Gunner [onespirits@cox.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 1:44 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Gunner  
PO Box 605  
La Mesa, CA 91944-0605

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mia Kavantjas [finkysol@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mia Kavantjas  
15 Plaza Demira  
Novato, CA 94947-4011  
(415) 897-8553

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Montequé Pope-Le Beau [montequépopé@ymail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Montequé Pope-Le Beau  
6678 Drexel Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90048-4209

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of O. Bisogno Scotti [scotti@fotografia-la.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. O. Bisogno Scotti  
711 S Olive St Apt 508  
Los Angeles, CA 90014-2640

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Peggy Loe [peggyloe@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, May 18, 2012 7:58 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

May 18, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks.

Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Peggy Loe  
13516 Tufts Ct  
Magalia, CA 95954-9540

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Sinacore [velvetpaw11@ca.rr.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 2:44 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Sinacore  
9441 Reverie Rd  
Tujunga, CA 91042-3023  
(000) 000-0000

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pisticia Smudge [coedsoftball@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Pisticia Smudge  
58965 Third Avenue  
Carlsbad, CA 92011

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rebecca Hoeschler [rshoeschler@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:41 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Hoeschler  
328 E Imperial Ave Apt 5  
El Segundo, CA 90245-2472

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rosalie Salvato [rsalvato@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 9:12 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosalie Salvato  
356 W Elm Ave  
Burbank, CA 91506-3318

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rick Shreve [forestrecon@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:40 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Shreve  
501 9th St  
Arcata, CA 95521-6235

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sherri Gillespie [keepersmga@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:13 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherri Gillespie  
101 Leila Ct  
Los Gatos, CA 95032-2436

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Graff [steve.graff@verizon.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 8:54 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Graff  
1948 Malcolm Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-4732

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sue Pierson [sepierson@sonic.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 12:13 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Pierson  
1537 Leonard Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405-4520

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandy Williams [revsparky1@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 9:51 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Williams  
530 S Stewart Dr  
Covina, CA 91723-3167

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vada Pinto [mftvadas@att.net]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 9:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vada Pinto  
660 Woodland Ave  
San Leandro, CA 94577-2835

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wynn Mcgrenera [macconis@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 21, 2012 11:08 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wynn Mcgrenera  
63275 Argyle Rd  
King City, CA 93930-9662