

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Antonia & Andrew Chianis [tonyaandandreas@charter.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:59 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

DOCKET	
09-RENEW EO-1	
DATE	MAY 19 2012
RECD.	MAY 21 2012

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Antonia & Andrew Chianis
PO Box 836
State Hwy 189
Blue Jay, CA 92317-0836

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alan Hagen [czy4brds@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:59 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I personally believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change, to enhance our national security, and to reduce our dependance on oil. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm sensitive wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism. We personally spend time each winter in Joshua Tree, and love it for its remoteness, quiet, and awesome night skies. It would be a shame and detrimental to the quality of a mind-renewing outdoor experience if it was soiled by ill placed but beneficial projects like wind and solar farms.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks that we hold so dear. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle that we enjoy every winter in Joshua Tree. These scenarios would also negatively impact the magnificent views in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Hagen
PO Box 145
Wildomar, CA 92595-0145

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alex Liao [chiyangl@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:18 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex Liao
225 Ladera St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5400

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anna Linx [catseekshome@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:00 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Linx
1927 Harbor Blvd
754
Costa Mesa, CA 92627-7600

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Adrienne Mackey [arm1221@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:55 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Adrienne Mackey
5501 Blossom Acres Dr
San Jose, CA 95124-6037

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Amber Mariscal [amberreneemariscal@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:51 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amber Mariscal
14606 Palm Ave
Hacienda Heights, CA 91745-1936

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Aggie Martinez [martinezaggie@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:24 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Aggie Martinez
6942 Cerritos Ave
Cypress, CA 90630-4903

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Marie Murphy [sgartist@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:10 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Marie Murphy
1735 39th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122-4035

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Sullivan [pansyannie@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:46 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Sullivan
11275 Manzanita Rd
Lakeside, CA 92040-1023

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alex Vizcaino [vizcaino1939@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:50 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex Vizcaino
1505 N Irwin St
Hanford, CA 93230-2934

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alex Woolery [minus.a@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:31 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex Woolery
25 San Pablo Ave
San Rafael, CA 94903-4137
(805) 704-4217

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Boortz [brian@brianboortz.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:17 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Boortz
15750 Winchester Blvd Ste 201
Los Gatos, CA 95030-3327

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barry Gould [barryg4@comcast.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:01 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Barry Gould
1405 Cobblecreek St
Manteca, CA 95336-5115

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Hardwick [barbatthebeach@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:56 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Hardwick
222 Calle De Arboles
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6604

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bill Newell [billnewell@myastound.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:30 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Newell
1117 Fay St
Redwood City, CA 94061-2240
(650) 743-7618

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Oman [barbaraoman@att.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:33 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Oman
PO Box 222357
Carmel, CA 93922-2357

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carla Berra [mi_soy_cute@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:26 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Berra
1075 Via Tornasol
Aptos, CA 95003-5636

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Couch [qcouch@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:23 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Couch
8751 Dallas St
La Mesa, CA 91942-3202

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Couch [qcouch@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:19 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Couch
8751 Dallas St
La Mesa, CA 91942-3202

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Corrine Cushing [corcushing@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:59 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Corrine Cushing
1443 Barry Ave Apt 303
Los Angeles, CA 90025-2377
(310) 312-0999

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cecilia Frazier [cecilia.frazier@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:12 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cecilia Frazier
23508 Deer Spring Ln
Diamond Bar, CA 91765-2331

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Caleb Hansen [calebhansen84@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:44 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Caleb Hansen
5408 Rock Creek Ct
Concord, CA 94521-5015

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christine Hartman [christinesthaimassage@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:37 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Hartman
25962 Via Del Rey
San Juan Capo, CA 92675-4446
(805) 415-6315

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Catherine Loudis [crloudis@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:24 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Loudis
219 Butterfield Rd
San Anselmo, CA 94960-1242

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Callie Machan [callie@cmachan.freeserve.co.uk]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:37 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Callie Machan
11 Little Birches
Kent, CA 90004

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chris Rice [chrysryce@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:17 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Rice
11738 Goshen Ave Apt 9
Los Angeles, CA 90049-6114

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christine Salido [csalido@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:21 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Salido
323 W Hillside Blvd
San Mateo, CA 94403-4219

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christina Schierling [sabrennecs@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:47 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christina Schierling
111 Beachview Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-3007

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of **Ciro Tambe** [alexambay@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:08 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. **Ciro Tambe**
330 N Crescent Dr
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-4846

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cara Triscari [csieber526@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:46 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cara Triscari
28432 Calle Pinon
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675-5803

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christine Walters [mcwsurfin@charter.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:05 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Christine Walters
704 A St
Crescent City, CA 95531-3631

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carolyn Watkinson [carolynsrealm@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:29 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Watkinson
1760 Liberty St
Atwater, CA 95301-3224
(209) 357-2788

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Williams [craigwms@alum.mit.edu]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:49 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Williams
5015 Jardin
Laguna Woods, CA 92637-1818
(949) 770-2709

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dennis Beall [chu2kar@comcast.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:59 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Beall
59 Silvia Dr
Cazadero, CA 95421-9690

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donald Burg [silveradodb@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:05 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Burg
17 Old Wood Rd
Pomona, CA 91766-4823
(909) 629-2955

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diana Cubeta [cubeta@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:41 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diana Cubeta
11 Niguel Pointe Dr
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-5154
(949) 495-6041

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debbie Egan [girlie1300-activist@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:54 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Debbie Egan
1161 Jordan Ln
Oakley, CA 94561-6007

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and
Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diana Goldstein
[dievenary@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:59 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Goldstein
8 Charlton Ct
San Francisco, CA 94123-4225
(415) 921-9165

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Jackson [rumjungleroad@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:59 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Jackson
633 S Prospect Ave Unit 202
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-4424
(310) 993-3378

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Danielle Jones [d.dreamsanctuary@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:35 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Danielle Jones
PO Box 271
Piedra, CA 93649-0271

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Doug Lewis [dougalewis@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:21 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Doug Lewis
7869 Barberry Ave
Yucca Valley, CA 92284-4183

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donna Meyers [donnameyers7@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:31 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Meyers
437 Obispo Ave
Long Beach, CA 90814-1502

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Denys J & Mrs E. Betsy Michaud [windlace@att.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:56 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Denys J & Mrs E. Betsy Michaud
3811 Briarwood St
Napa, CA 94558-2214
(707) 258-9310

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Darrell Robinson [robinsond_@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:31 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darrell Robinson
701 Zion St
Nevada City, CA 95959-2920

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Roth [xylw6gm@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:46 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Roth
8561 N Rowell Ave
Fresno, CA 93720-1920

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diana Van Ormer [dvanormer@san.rr.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:20 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Van Ormer
16394 Avenida Venusto Unit A
San Diego, CA 92128-6251
(858) 673-1052

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dale Wright [lmramona@cox.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:28 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dale Wright
17134 Woodson View Ln
Ramona, CA 92065-6813

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elisabeth Armendarez [elisabethneef@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:25 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elisabeth Armendarez
801 Canyon View Dr
Laguna Beach, CA 92651-2610

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Feingold [emily.feingold@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:45 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emily Feingold
4017 Lusk St
Oakland, CA 94608-3723

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eileen Gross [eileengross@care2.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:15 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Gross
144a Scott St
San Francisco, CA 94117-3223

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eileen Gross [eileengross@care2.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:15 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Gross
144a Scott St
San Francisco, CA 94117-3223

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ellyn Sutton [ellynsutton@att.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:07 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellyn Sutton
PO Box 940884
Simi Valley, CA 93094-0884

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gus Dizerega [gdizerega@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:04 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gus Dizerega
PO Box 432
Sebastopol, CA 95473-0432
(707) 829-5127

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gregory Dorais [analyticalagnostic@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:28 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Dorais
2418 Willow Tree Ln
Martinez, CA 94553-4338

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ginnie Gregg
[ginnie.michael@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:45 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I VOLUNTEER AT A LOCAL WILDLIFE REHAB & ED CENTER & AM BLOWN AWAY BY THE MAGNIFICENT FERRUGINOUS HAWKS, PRAIRIE & RED TAILED HAWKS, TURKEY VULTURES, HORNED, BARN & BURROWING OWLS.

Why can't these gigantic wind turbines be located where they are needed, in L.A.? San Fernando has lots of wind, & the folks there might realize the costs of their increasing populations by having to look at up at these metal monsters. Dirty coal gasification won't go down either. And San Onofre & Diablo Cyn . are another way of spelling c a n c e r.

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ginnie Gregg
22800 Retreat Pl
Tehachapi, CA 93561-7941

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of George F. Klipfel II, CLS, MT(ASCP) [gklipfel@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:03 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. George F. Klipfel II, CLS, MT(ASCP)
67380 Garbino Rd
Cathedral City, CA 92234-8405

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and
Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gena Pennington
[genapenning@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:34 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

RENEWABLE ENERGY SHOULDN'T HARM ANY PUBLIC LAND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gena Pennington
4905 S Quarry Rd
Bayside, CA 95524-9303

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gladys Warr [gjwarr2011@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:30 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gladys Warr
4498 Windflower Ct
Concord, CA 94518-1931

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Herminio Flores, Ph. D. [hhfloresmulero@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:44 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Herminio Flores, Ph. D.
3141 La Selva St Apt 3
San Mateo, CA 94403-2172
(650) 759-0986

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Hadi Jorabchi [hjrider77@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:42 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hadi Jorabchi
17525 Burbank Blvd
Encino, CA 91316-1719

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helena Liber [hklib2004@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:27 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helena Liber
1247 E 12th St
Oakland, CA 94606-4324
(510) 532-7296

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Junko Card [junebugnanna@clearwire.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:12 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Junko Card
241 S Orange Ave
Exeter, CA 93221-1538

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Carey [rosencarey@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:15 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Carey
53380 Avenida Carranza
La Quinta, CA 92253-3448

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Foley [jamesfoleyca@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:21 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Foley
7800 Topanga Canyon Blvd
West Hills, CA 91304-5553

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Hales [juliehales@att.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:54 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Hales
21251 Kahlert St
Castro Valley, CA 94546-6213
(510) 538-3978

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Head [jenh72003@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:47 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Jennifer Head
12 Verde
Irvine, CA 92612-2615
(949) 854-1114

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jace Iversen [jji59coole@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:16 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jace Iversen
836 S Eliseo Dr
Greenbrae, CA 94904-2160

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jerry Magness [jmagwheel@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:45 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Magness
5735 N Pershing Ave
San Bernardino, CA 92407-2723
(909) 882-6820

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Mande. [jjjj1936@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:29 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Mande.
3786 Alabama St
San Diego, CA 92104-3387
(619) 491-0333

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jonathan Pusey [jpusey@earthlink.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:12 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national and state parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, the Mojave National Preserve, and Anza-Borrego Desert State Park. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national and state parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national and state parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national and state parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment. California's desert national and state parks generate millions of dollars annually for the communities within and around them. Renewable energy projects will bring jobs to California, but most will be temporary--filled by professionals from outside California who will leave the state when these projects are complete. What will they leave behind?

Most permanent jobs in desert communities are service oriented, dependent upon recreational tourism. If we develop the desert sensibly, focusing on areas that have been disturbed while protecting the healthy ecosystems, desert communities will continue to generate--and pay taxes on--millions of dollars annually. If we destroy the very reasons for desert tourism, these communities will vanish and everyone in California will suffer because of it.

Please join me in opposing development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. Not only is it the right thing to do for the environment, it's the right thing to do for California.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Pusey
285 N Colorado Pl # A
Long Beach, CA 90803-5428

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph Ramirez [josramz6@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:13 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Ramirez
90 E Lewis St
Ventura, CA 93001-1553

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Redmond [jennifer.red@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:16 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

I live in an urbanized area and one of the best places to escape for a little solitude is Mojave National Preserve. All of the planned scenarios, but especially 6, are too close to the park and not only have the potential to affect wildlife corridors, but will visually impact the area. The park was set aside for a reason and building up to its boundaries ignores the spirit for its protection.

As an archaeologist, I'm also concerned about large scale construction in previously undisturbed areas. All I ask is that a serious effort to work closely with Native American tribes, archaeologists, and local historical societies to identify any known or previously unknown cultural resources takes place before a definite location is chosen so we don't have a repeat of the situation with the Genesis project.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Redmond
3138 Java Ct
West Sacramento, CA 95691-5880

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Rhodes [janetrhodes1@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:25 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Rhodes
69859 Van Gogh Rd
Cathedral City, CA 92234-8935
(760) 699-5750

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julian Siminski [julian.siminski@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:36 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Julian Siminski
11684 Ventura Blvd # 902
Studio City, CA 91604-2699

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Smith [jrsmith7@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:54 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Jennifer Smith
22631 Pch, #535
Malibu, CA 90265-5036

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jenalea Smith [smithjenalea@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:43 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jenalea Smith
1180 W 28th St
Los Angeles, CA 90007-2336

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jen Willis [sbtoothfairy@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:51 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jen Willis
PO Box 201
Summerland, CA 93067-0201
(213) 736-8585

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karen Scheuermann [karens2020@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:39 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Scheuermann
17455 Big Bear Ln
Cottonwood, CA 96022-9627

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kim Boyd [kmelody1@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:08 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kim Boyd
1660 Willow Avenue
Tahoe City, CA 96145

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristen Conner [sheepy_bah@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:13 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristen Conner
1516 Yuba Ave
San Pablo, CA 94806-4058

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keith Deitz [tastykakes27@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:02 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Deitz
2613 Abbot Kinney Blvd
Venice, CA 90291-4732

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kimbre Holinger [kimbre@roadrunner.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:25 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kimbre Holinger
PO Box 131174
Carlsbad, CA 92013-1174

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Lee [kriyalight@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:04 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Kathy Lee
973 Grove Pl
Costa Mesa, CA 92627-4001

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristina Lim [kristinaklim@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:20 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristina Lim
2680 Shasta Rd
Berkeley, CA 94708-1922

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Madary [thatgirlkpm@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:27 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Madary
1069 Elmhurst Dr
Corona, CA 92880-1230

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Catherine Murty [sphyrna52@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:50 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Murty
2261 Market St
San Francisco, CA 94114-1600

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenna Smoot [kennacade@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:37 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kenna Smoot
5434 Zelzah Ave
Apt 120
Encino, CA 91316-2291

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Steele [k.david.steele@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:05 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Steele
3556 Lake Forest Dr
Redding, CA 96003-1845

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Katherine Wagner [katalinski@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:29 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Wagner
4427 Murietta Ave Apt 17
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-3465

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lynn Alley [lynnalley@earthlink.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:13 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Quite frankly, I see no reason why every rooftop in our state shouldn't have solar panels installed. Then there would be no need for further despoiling our natural resources!!

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Alley
7147 Lantana Ter
Carlsbad, CA 92011-4707

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laurie Buckley [ladylordbuckley1@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:30 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Buckley
10823 Kling St
North Hollywood, CA 91602-1488

[Reply](#) [Reply All](#) [Forward](#) [Chat](#)

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeacti...

To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)

Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:41 AM

Retention Policy: Enforced: Inbox 90 Day PermDelete (3 Months) Expires: 8/17/2012

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Divenere [ldinteriors@me.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:41 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Divenere
849 S Broadway Apt 710
Los Angeles, CA 90014-3260

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Hardy [michelleoomy@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:02 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Hardy
1808 V St
Sacramento, CA 95818-1615

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Hardy [michelleoomy@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:59 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Hardy
1808 V St
Sacramento, CA 95818-1615

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Hardy [michelleoomy@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:02 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Hardy
1808 V St
Sacramento, CA 95818-1615

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Jaster [llfjaster@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:19 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Jaster
31836 Potsdam St
Hayward, CA 94544-8122
(510) 972-0341

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lindsay Knights [lindsayknights@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:51 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lindsay Knights
PO Box 7447
Santa Cruz, CA 95061-7447

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lauren Lenhart [laurielenhart@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:30 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

I implore you to give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be seriously harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are extremely critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is a sound economic investment as well!

Thank you for your time and for giving my letter your serious consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lauren Lenhart
16000 Winterbrook Rd
Los Gatos, CA 95032-4827

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lori Paul, Rvt [gaboon@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:05 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

As a wildlife biologist concerned about protecting fragile deserts in California, I am appalled at the continued misperception of desert parkland, both state and federal, as "wastelands" that only exist until we find something "better" to do with them, whether that is off-road recreation that destroys habitat, mining, landfills or... presently... massive boondoggle energy projects masquerading as "green power," such as SDG&E's Sunrise Powerlink and the plethora of solar panel arrays and wind turbine "farms" that threaten to cover substantial portions of this state's pristine desert backcountry.

Wind turbines located on desert vistas are a visual blight as well as noise generators. Worse, wind turbine towers are located in areas where they kill incredible numbers of migrating birds, raptor species (eagles and other birds of prey) and bats in unsustainable numbers. As yet, no regulations require that wind turbines be designed and required to operate such that they do not kill thousands to millions of birds and bats.

Solar arrays, such as those planned for Borrego Valley that is surrounded by Anza-Borrego Desert State Park, along with other so-called "green" solar power projects, will blight the pristine desert viewshed; contribute to overdraft of aquifers (needed to keep thousands of panels clean of desert dust); destroy desert plants and animal habitat during construction and by shading the desert surface; and lure thousands (perhaps millions) of birds to their deaths because overflying birds mistake the reflection of the panels in the desert for water, resulting in fatal collisions.

Powerful energy companies have misrepresented the level of destruction and intrusion caused by allegedly "environmentally safe and renewable" energy projects that are being fast tracked through the regulatory process in this state. Utilities like SDG&E are thrilled by these projects, because they make their profits transporting electricity from far deserts to urban areas where the electricity is sold to consumers. Building multiple 500kV tower transmission line across the deserts and mountain parks, with the accompanying maintenance roads, noise, and fire hazard these power lines represent, will be environmentally destructive. Several devastating California fires, including the catastrophic Witch Creek fire in San Diego County, were ignited by downed power lines.

I staunchly support authentic "green" power; however, that is not what is being promoted in our state and national desert parklands and adjacent wild lands. What we really need is local, distributed, robust solar and wind power projects located close to the communities that require the power.

As sunny as our California deserts might be, those regions are actually not optimal for production of solar energy due to extremely high summer temperatures and the need to constantly clean thousands of reflective panels using excessive water resources. Power production closer to coastal cities where abundant sunlight can reach solar arrays located on every warehouse, shopping mall and parking structure roof top; on every new subdivision's homes; on retrofitted residences, and providing shade for parking in every university and grocery store parking lot... THAT is what is needed to generate green power. However, you can bet that the big energy companies will trump up reasons why they do NOT support a network of economical, local green power projects.

Power companies are powerful and influential. They place shareholder profits over their role as utilities that should be supporting the most economical and environmentally sustainable power generation. It is really quite clever how Semptra, for example, has turned the legal mandate for green power into justification for massive, profit-generating solar and wind power projects and transmission lines strung across our fragile deserts and mountains where there are few residents and defenders to oppose them.

The following is a form letter; however, it expresses my concerns accurately. Please have the courage to site renewable energy project where they make the most sense, NOT where they will make the most money for energy companies like Semptra's SDG&E. See through the techno-babble and slick campaigns to what is really needed... Local, distributed, robust solar, wind and geothermal power generation. Make electricity where it is needed, not where it will destroy our irreplaceable deserts. Dare to place common sense and good science above commercial interests in this important plan for California's energy future. Do the right thing for California's energy future, for the desert parklands, and for wildlife.

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as

those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment and should take priority over the profits of big energy companies like Semptra and SCE.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Paul, Rvt
153 Jaxine Dr
Altadena, CA 91001-3817

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Rojas [wacker.rojas@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:28 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laura Rojas
Linden Terrace
Carlsbad, CA 92011

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lenore Sheridan [lenores93@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:21 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lenore Sheridan
631 Hermitage St
San Jose, CA 95134-1308
(408) 433-9677

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Shrewsbury [vengyp8@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:24 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Shrewsbury
2477 Glyndon Ave
Venice, CA 90291-5004
(310) 301-8385

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lori Slusher [slusherwolf@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:28 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Slusher
PO Box 341
Pine Valley, CA 91962-0341

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Madalene Alaimo [dolilimo@cox.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:05 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Madalene Alaimo
926 Harbison Canyon Rd
El Cajon, CA 92019-1417

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mandy Bratt [mandybratt2002@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:00 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mandy Bratt
7967 Hillmont Dr
Oakland, CA 94605-3520

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marilyn Brumder [mbrumder@earthlink.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:38 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilyn Brumder
399 California Ter
Pasadena, CA 91105-2463

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maureen Cairns [msara664@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:57 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Cairns
4048 Tujunga Ave Apt K
Studio City, CA 91604-3076

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melinda Calvert [mena19473@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:46 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melinda Calvert
29641 S Western Ave Unit 317
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275-1349

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Muriel Coudurier [murielcoudurier@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:59 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Muriel Coudurier
1121 E Montecito St
Santa Barbara, CA 93103-2651

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melissa Davis [mmdavis10@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:57 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Davis
833 Front St
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-4515

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of M. Delatte [delatte@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:23 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. M. Delatte
220 Quincy Ave
Long Beach, CA 90803-1659

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mynka Draper [mynka9@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:54 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mynka Draper
6041 Eucalyptus Ln
Los Angeles, CA 90042-1243
(323) 243-0436

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marjorie Dunham [mdunham1@socal.rr.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:30 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marjorie Dunham
10081 Montecito Plz
Garden Grove, CA 92840-1556

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maret Ekner [maret.e@bredband.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:29 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maret Ekner
Odins V. 22
San Bernardino, CA 92402

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marcia Flannery [marciaflannery@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:42 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marcia Flannery
363 40th St
Oakland, CA 94609-2608
(510) 923-1293

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melissa Martinez [melmel68@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 3:55 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration!

Sincerely,

Miss Melissa Martinez
12460 Gilmore Ave Apt 2
Los Angeles, CA 90066-6468

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Mccarty [marytmacc@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:49 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Mccarty
1219 Lincoln Ave
Alameda, CA 94501-2321

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Schaefer [mrtmouse@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:02 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Schaefer
19320 Melinda Cir
Saratoga, CA 95070-3319
(408) 253-9832

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marlene Sheridan [marlenesheridan@roadrunner.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:45 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlene Sheridan
212 E Fallbrook St Apt 23
Fallbrook, CA 92028-3378

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Spak [pegspak@sonic.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:47 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Spak
381 Santa Margarita Ave
Menlo Park, CA 94025-2739

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Stevens-Ovecoglu [marystevens05@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:21 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Stop killing eagles on the Palm Springs wind projects. We're the ones with the brains. There must be something you can do to avoid this tragic clash of man and animals.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Stevens-Ovecoglu
41798 Via El Greco
Temecula, CA 92592-6420

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mushtaq Syed [mushtaqsabihaaalia@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:21 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mushtaq Syed
1870 Catherine St
Santa Clara, CA 95050-4630

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Tanz [tnzdaman@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:59 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Tanz
619 N 4th St # A
San Jose, CA 95112-5124

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Trujillo [shakinghandswithgod@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:35 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Trujillo
411 N Atlantic Blvd
Alhambra, CA 91801-2228

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Martin Volz [mav923@gmx.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:00 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Volz
6640 Camino Del Rey
Bonsall, CA 92003-4601

[Reply](#) [Reply All](#) [Forward](#) [Chat](#)

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeacti...

To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)

Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:53 PM

Retention Policy: Enforced: Inbox 90 Day PermDelete (3 Months) Expires: 8/17/2012

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of nancy grossi [nancyg444@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:09 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. nancy grossi
5625 Ventura Canyon Ave
Van Nuys, CA 91401-4531

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nellie Lacy [nmlacy@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:20 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nellie Lacy
PO Box 3144
Big Bear City, CA 92314-3144

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nicole Paul-Almand [spottedsparrow26@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:41 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nicole Paul-Almand
1805 G St
Eureka, CA 95501-2944

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Sykes [nsykes1@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:00 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Sykes
26723 Pamela Dr
Canyon Country, CA 91351-4805

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Otter Krause [mamaottandturtle@earthlink.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:51 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Otter Krause
534 Paradise Blvd
Hayward, CA 94541-1438

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Phyllis Foster [psfinla@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:26 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phyllis Foster
1347 N Vista St Apt 209
Los Angeles, CA 90046-4876

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Greenberg [timeguy@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:37 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Greenberg
921 W Sierra Ave
Cotati, CA 94931-4272

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paula Hartgraves [paulajeff@comcast.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:19 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Hartgraves
5050 Hacienda Dr Apt 1937
Dublin, CA 94568-7959

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Kusaba [pat33cake@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:21 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Kusaba
18419 Burin Ave
Redondo Beach, CA 90278-4621

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Phaedra Moreno-Davis [ardra1000@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:19 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phaedra Moreno-Davis
1404 N Tustin Ave Unit X4
Santa Ana, CA 92705-8659

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randy Biehler [rwoola@sonic.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:30 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Biehler
PO Box 131
Tomales, CA 94971-0131

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ryan Brower [rbrower@alumni.flagler.edu]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:47 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ryan Brower
1237 N Vulcan Ave
Encinitas, CA 92024-1643

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richelle Ching [rchinglaw@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:25 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Richelle Ching
PO Box 392
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-0392

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Engelhard [churburgers@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:42 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Engelhard
357 16th Pl
Costa Mesa, CA 92627-3203

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Howard [xcelinvestments@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:34 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Howard
855 Chinotto Circle
Corona, CA 92881

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randi Johnson [randijohnson76@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:43 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Randi Johnson
1075 Henry Ridge Mtwy
Topanga, CA 90290-4138

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of R Marks [dobro4me@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:27 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. R Marks
991edenbury
San Jose, CA 95136-1411

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rian Mcmurtry [whalejudge@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 8:11 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rian Mcmurtry
624 C St
Davis, CA 95616-3712

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ray Morris [rmorris@bak.rr.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:43 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Morris
7319 Pembroke Ave
Bakersfield, CA 93308-3702
(661) 393-5008

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ronald Peterson [rcp95240@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:47 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Peterson
3640 Wintun Dr Apt A
Carmichael, CA 95608-3340
(916) 568-9754

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Powell [wileybad@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:34 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment. It's investing in ourselves.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Powell
15672 Jefferson St
Midway City, CA 92655-1609
(714) 893-4942

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Russell Ridge [theridges@telescience.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:28 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Please do the right thing and protect our environment and its native plants and animals. They don't have paid lobbyists working for them.

The Ridges, CA

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russell Ridge
260 Drakes View Dr
Inverness, CA 94937-9708

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Schwager [rspublic@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:27 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Schwager
1149 Edgemound Dr
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2140

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rebecca Shirley [cloudanc@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:41 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Shirley
583 Green Ridge Dr
Daly City, CA 94014-3702

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Saunders [rsaund3980@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:56 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Saunders
3448 Solari Way
Sacramento, CA 95821-4160

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robin Vosburg [ravosburg@att.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:13 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Vosburg
2400 Goldenrod St
8-115
Bakersfield, CA 93308-1757
(661) 393-8168

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rhonda Weir [rrw47@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:38 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda Weir
18819 Towne Ave
Carson, CA 90746-2162

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Scott Anderson [scotrnga@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:20 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Anderson
3624 Felton St
San Diego, CA 92104-3811

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandy Commons [sandygatta@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:00 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Commons
2703 Corabel Ln Apt 215
Sacramento, CA 95821-5254

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sol Fdez [wampis@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:45 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sol Fdez
125 Rincon Street
Ventura, CA 93001

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Suzanne Fouche [safouche@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 1:36 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Fouche
707 W California Way
Woodside, CA 94062-4034

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Samantha Garlejo [sjgarlejo@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 4:08 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Samantha Garlejo
9944 Shoup Ave
Chatsworth, CA 91311-2740

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shota Hanai [lordofthetimpani@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:08 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shota Hanai
16431 Taylor Ct
Torrance, CA 90504-1917

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Samantha Laffie [dslaffie@comcast.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:14 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Samantha Laffie
2709 Wellingham Dr
Livermore, CA 94551-1745

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephanie Lehr [slehr001@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:57 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Lehr
919 Bayside Dr Apt A1
Newport Beach, CA 92660-7407
(949) 675-4616

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Martin [spmarti@attglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:29 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Martin
2042 Dacian St
Walnut, CA 91789-3413

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shirley Ozenberger [shirleyozenberger@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:15 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Ozenberger
2317 Alva Ave
El Cerrito, CA 94530-1539

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sean Ray [sr333667@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:42 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sean Ray
1234 N Wetherly Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90069-1816

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Robinson [tutuszy@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:29 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Robinson
PO Box 100 PMB 147
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546-0100
(760) 914-2275

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stacey Rohrbaugh [buteosr@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 9:32 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stacey Rohrbaugh
15900 Ridgeview Rd
Willits, CA 95490-8722

[Reply](#) [Reply All](#) [Forward](#) [Chat](#)

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeacti...

To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)

Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:34 PM

Retention Policy: Enforced: Inbox 90 Day PermDelete (3 Months) Expires: 8/17/2012

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Suzanne Wilson [suzi@jwilsondesign.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:40 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Suzanne Wilson
71 Hollins Dr
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-1804
(831) 421-0928

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Suzanne Wilson [suzi@jwilsondesign.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:40 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Suzanne Wilson
71 Hollins Dr
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-1804
(831) 421-0928

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandy Zelasko [slzphoto@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:34 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Zelasko
15864 Severino Ln
Valley Center, CA 92082-7635

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tudy Garrett [tudyg@vom.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:34 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tudy Garrett
937 Cecelia Dr
Glen Ellen, CA 95442-9646

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tiffany Goldwater [tiffanygoldwater@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 7:47 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tiffany Goldwater
30 Palatine Apt 322
Irvine, CA 92612-8874

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Timothy Maurer [timrmaurer@earthlink.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:58 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Maurer
8528 E Canyon Vista Dr
Anaheim, CA 92808-1619

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of T. Mccranie [bluet3@cox.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:36 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

We need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harm

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. T. Mccranie
19381 De Vry Dr
Irvine, CA 92603-3535

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tom Moritz [tom.moritz@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:16 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

We must invest in renewable energy as a response to the worst impacts of climate change and to strengthen national security. But poorly planned wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. Such projects should be planned in ways that don't jeopardize our national lands.

Please protect our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they would be harmful to desert species like the desert tortoises, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagles. These alternatives would also negatively impact viewsheds.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological corridors, such as the bighorn sheep corridor between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our public lands is a fiduciary obligation for government and also makes good sense economically.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Moritz
1968 1/2 S Shenandoah St
Los Angeles, CA 90034-1208
(310) 963-0199

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Terry Peterson [t_a_oeterson_2000@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:54 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Peterson
667 Thorn St
Imperial Beach, CA 91932-2035
(619) 271-3238

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Terry Robinson [terryrobinson1@verizon.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:04 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Robinson
16281 Galaxy Dr
Westminster, CA 92683-7705
(714) 227-1874

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vance Arquilla [vancetango@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 2:08 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vance Arquilla
4121 Mildred Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066-5721

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vickie Davis [vickiedavis48@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:56 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vickie Davis
3543 Oro Bangor Hwy
Oroville, CA 95966-7157

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vivian Dowell [angel-on-duty@juno.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 11:57 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vivian Dowell
47765 Dancing Butterfly
La Quinta, CA 92253-6360

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Valerie Ralston [varalston@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 10:13 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Valerie Ralston
PO Box 1143
Mi Wuk Village, CA 95346-1143

[Reply](#) [Reply All](#) [Forward](#) [Chat](#)

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeacti...

To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)

Saturday, May 19, 2012 12:53 AM

Retention Policy: Enforced: Inbox 90 Day PermDelete (3 Months) Expires: 8/17/2012

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Warren Wright [wwwwright@earthlink.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 6:09 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Warren Wright
1011 Stanford Ave
Oakland, CA 94608-2317

You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Will Yeager [gardenbeekeeper@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2012 5:54 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 19, 2012

Mr. David Harlow
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Will Yeager
2508 Stoner Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90064-2822