## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

			_	Г
U	U	N		

11-AFC-3

DATE MAY 08 2012
RECD. MAY 08 2012

	)
In the Matter of:	) Docket No. 11-AFC-03
	)
Application for Certification	) May 8, 2012
For the Quail Brush Generating Project	)
	)
	)

Staff Response to Intervenors' Motion to Extend Data Requests to September 16, 2012

STEPHEN ADAMS
Senior Staff Counsel
1516 Ninth Street, MS 14
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone (916) 651-9943
Facsimile: (916) 654-3843
stephen.adams@energy.ca.gov

On May 1, 2012, Intervenor Kevin Brewster filed on his own behalf and on behalf of Intervenors Rosalind Varghese and Rudy Reyes and Intervenor-Applicant Phil Conner a request to extend the period for submitting data requests from May, 14, 2012 to September 16, 2012 ("Intervenors' Motion"). In response to receipt of this motion, Hearing Adviser Raoul Renaud asked parties to this proceeding on May 3, 2012 to respond to the motion by 3 p.m. on May 8, 2012. This document contains staff's response in opposition to the extension as proposed by the intervenors.

# 1. <u>Staff believes a shorter extension of the deadline for data requests is warranted and should be approved by the Committee.</u>

The applicant's representatives stated at an April 30, 2012 status conference that a revised project description would be submitted during the first or second week of June, but also stated that the submittal's timing could slip by a week or two. The changes in project design that are under consideration by the applicant would potentially require staff and other parties to reexamine certain project impacts anew. These areas may include, for example, the project's visual impacts, air quality, and drainage. Other project impacts may be largely unaffected by the design changes that may be submitted in June.

Staff believes it will need a minimum of four weeks after receiving the amended project description to study the revised project and formulate data requests based on the new design. Given that the applicant's latest estimates indicate a revised project description might not be filed until the latter part of June, staff believes it is appropriate to extend the time period for data requests to approximately August 1, 2012. Staff also reserves the right to file a motion for another extension in discovery if the project amendment is not filed within the time frame most recently suggested by the applicant.

# 2. <u>Staff does not believe the Committee should limit the topics for data requests during an extended period.</u>

Staff notes that applicant's May 8, 2012 response to Intervenors' Motion requests that the scope of data requests after May 14, 2012 be limited to certain topics. Staff believes such limits should not be placed on future data requests because staff and other parties have not had an opportunity to examine the proposed topics to determine whether they include all outstanding data requests. In addition, the topic listed by applicant as "project changes and related analysis" might be too vague to provide the parties practical guidance. Staff certainly intends to focus its data requests in coming weeks on areas where information has not yet been provided and areas relevant to project changes, but does not believe it is appropriate to define the scope of data requests in advance of what might be a substantial change in key features of the proposed project.

## 3. Staff does not believe an extension to September 16 is warranted at this time.

Intervenors' Motion lists two reasons for the requested extension to September 16: to provide additional time for public education and public fact-finding about the project, and to provide intervenors with an opportunity to respond with their own data requests after reviewing the applicant's responses to staff's data requests. Staff believes an extension of the data request opportunity to August 1 or a similar period would address both of these concerns without pushing the formal discovery phase of this proceeding out longer than necessary. If any parties,

including staff, believe that an additional round of data requests in August or September is important to obtain relevant data relating to the upcoming project changes, a motion for a further extension could be filed prior to that time, and the Committee will then have the benefit of knowing more specifically what data concerns remain at that time.

Date: May 8, 2012	Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_/ S /

STEPHEN ADAMS Senior Staff Counsel California Energy Commission



## BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

# APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 11-AFC-03 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 5/7/2012)

#### **APPLICANT**

Cogentrix Energy, LLC
C. Richard "Rick" Neff, Vice President
Environmental, Health & Safety
9405 Arrowpoint Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28273
rickneff@cogentrix.com

Cogentrix Energy, LLC
John Collins
Lori Ziebart
9405 Arrowpoint Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28273
johncollins@cogentrix.com
loriziebart@cogentrix.com

#### APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Connie Farmer
Sr. Environmental Project Manager
143 Union Boulevard, Suite 1010
Lakewood, CO 80228
connie.farmer@tetratech.com

Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Barry McDonald
VP Solar Energy Development
17885 Von Karmen Avenue, Ste. 500
Irvine, CA 92614-6213
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>barry.mcdonald@tetratech.com</u>

## **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Bingham McCutchen LLP
Ella Foley Gannon
Camarin Madigan
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>ella.gannon@bingham.com</u>
<u>camarin.madigan@bingham.com</u>

#### **INTERVENORS**

Roslind Varghese 9360 Leticia Drive Santee, CA 92071 roslindv@gmail.com

Rudy Reyes 8527 Graves Avenue, #120 Santee, CA 92071 rreyes2777@hotmail.com

Dorian S. Houser 7951 Shantung Drive Santee, CA 92071 dhouser@cox.net

Kevin Brewster 8505 Mesa Heights Road Santee, CA 92071 Izpup@yahoo.com

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

City of Santee
Department of Development Services
Melanie Kush
Director of Planning
10601 Magnolia Avenue, Bldg. 4
Santee, CA 92071
mkush@ci.santee.ca.us

Morris E. Dye Development Services Dept. City of San Diego 1222 First Avenue, MS 501 San Diego, CA 92101 mdye@sandiego.gov

\* Mindy Fogg
Land Use Environmental Planner
Advance Planning
County of San Diego
Department of Planning & Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123
e-mail service preferred
Mindy.Fogg@sdcounty.ca.gov

## <u>ENERGY COMMISSION –</u> DECISIONMAKERS

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and
Presiding Member
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
\*Karen.Douglas@energy.ca.gov

Karen.Dougias@energy.ca.gc

CARLA PETERMAN
Commissioner and
Associate Member
\*Carla.Peterman@energy.ca.gov

Raoul Renaud Hearing Adviser <u>e-mail service preferred</u> \*Raoul.Renaud@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei
Presiding Member's Advisor
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
\*Galen.Lemei@energy.ca.gov

Jim Bartridge
Associate Member's Advisor
\*Jim.Bartridge@energy.ca.gov

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF**

Eric Solorio
Project Manager
\*Eric.Solorio@energy.ca.gov

Stephen Adams
Staff Counsel

<u>e-mail service preferred</u>

\*Stephen.Adams@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen Commissioners' Technical Adviser for Facility Siting <u>e-mail service preferred</u> \*Eileen.Allen@energy.ca.gov

## <u>ENERGY COMMISSION –</u> PUBLIC ADVISER

Jennifer Jennings

Public Adviser's Office
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
\*PublicAdviser@energy.ca.gov

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, Janice Titgen, declare that on May 8, 2012, I served and filed a copy of the Staff Response to Intervenors' Motion to Extend Data Requests to September 16, 2012, dated May 8, 2012. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at:

http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/guailbrush/index.html.

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

## (Check all that Apply)

For service	to all	other	parties:
-------------	--------	-------	----------

For ser	vice to all other parties:
Χ	Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;
	Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses <b>NOT</b> marked "e-mail preferred."
AND	
For filir	ng with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:
Χ	by sending an electronic copy to the e-mail address below (preferred method); OR
	by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:
	CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-3 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4

Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.ca.gov

#### OR, if filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:

Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:

> California Energy Commission Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel 1516 Ninth Street MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 michael.levy@energy.ca.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

s/	Janice	Titgen		