

Response to AIR Data Request 1: The requested information can be found in the following sections, tables and appendices of the AFC Amendment:

- Section 5.1.2.4
- Table 5.1-22
- Section 5.1.3
- Appendix A – OEHI SEL.
- Appendix E-6
- Appendix E-12.

AIR Data Request 2 - “NO_x emissions from the CTG/HRSG will be lower....” What was the earlier figure? How much lower? Will there be NO_x emissions from the fertilizer plant? Will total NO_x emissions be lower when the fertilizer plant and related operations are included? Will the use of coal as 75% of the fuel for the life of the project instead of the first two years only, increase or decrease criteria air pollutants such as NO_x in Kern County over the life of the project. Any changes in transportation of the fuel should be included in the analysis. How much transportation will be needed for the fertilizer plant including deliveries of the finished product? How do emissions change if the rail spur to the project is not built?

Response to AIR Data Request 2: The requested information is contained in the AFC Amendment. Total Project emissions can be found in Table 5.1-14. Emissions associated with transportation under Alternative 1 (rail) are presented in Sections 5.1.2.3 and 5.1.2.4, and Appendix E-5. Emissions associated with transportation under Alternative 2 (trucks) are presented in Section 5.1.3 and Appendix E-12.

AIR Data Request 3 – Please justify why coal is the choice as the majority fuel for the life of the project. Kern County does not produce coal but we have plenty of oil and natural gas. Is there a reason why the project does not attempt carbon capture with natural gas as the main fuel?

Response to AIR Data Request 3: The actual fuel for the Project is hydrogen. The power block and integrated low-carbon nitrogen-based product manufacturing complex use hydrogen as their fuel and feedstock, respectively. The Project is designed to create hydrogen from a feedstock blend consisting of 75 percent western sub-bituminous coal and 25 percent California petcoke by a chemical process that involves almost no atmospheric emissions.

Despite the presence of the local gas and oil industry, California currently imports approximately 50% of its oil and 90% of its natural gas needs each year. Coal is a plentiful, domestic feedstock exhibiting stable supply. Historically, coal has been less expensive per unit of energy produced than oil or natural gas. In spite of recent environmental regulation and advances in technology favoring natural gas use, coal is still priced lower than natural gas in California. In addition, coal

prices are more stable historically, and therefore more predictable for investors and lenders. Securing a domestically-available long-term, stable, feedstock will enable the Project to provide dependable low-carbon hydrogen-generated electricity to help meet future electrical power needs and to support a reliable power grid that is an essential component to meeting California's GHG reduction goals for 2020 and beyond.

AIR Data Request 4 – . Will the urea (fertilizer) produced be expected to raise or lower the price of this type of fertilizer for local farmers? Does HECA expect to receive carbon credits in some form from the production of this fertilizer?

Response to AIR Data Request 4: The Project will contain an integrated manufacturing complex that will produce approximately 1 million tons per year of low-carbon nitrogen-based products, including urea, urea ammonium nitrate (UAN), and anhydrous ammonia, to be used in agricultural, transportation, and industrial applications. Currently, the vast majority of all California nitrogen-based fertilizer feedstocks are imported into the state. Due to these transportation costs, California nitrogen-based fertilizers are priced 20-30% higher than those in other regions in the country. The Project is currently negotiating with several fertilizer wholesalers who will distribute to the southern California region. As the Project is not directly negotiating with retail suppliers, end-user consumer prices are unknown at this time. However, the local presence of a nitrogen-based fertilizer producer is likely to benefit area consumers through increased competition and the lowering of transportation costs.

The Project is monitoring the regulatory process regarding the implementation of AB32, the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. Although it is evident that the products and power produced by the Project have a lower carbon footprint than similar products traditionally produced from the combustion of fossil fuels, it is unclear at the present time whether carbon credits or other incentives for GHG reductions will be available to the Project. The Project will continue to monitor the implementation of AB32 and related programs, but as of this time, the Project has no expectation of receiving carbon credits from the production of fertilizer.

AIR Data Request 6 [no #5 was provided] – Under Meteorological and Background Data it is stated that NO₂ data will be from the Shafter, Walker Street station. Please justify why this is the correct station to use and not the Arvin, Bear Mtn station. Please include the hills and mountains around HECA and around Arvin in the justification. Please note clearly how Shafter has the lowest levels of NO₂ emissions and Arvin has the highest levels in Kern County. Explain why it is ok to choose the Kern County monitoring station with the lowest levels instead of the highest levels of NO₂ for background levels when the requirement is to be conservative in all assumptions in order to present the worst probable case instead of the best?

Response to AIR Data Request 6: The information requested can be found in the description of the background monitor selection provided in Section 5.1.1.2 of the AFC Amendment. Further justification is provided in Appendix E-7 of the

AFC Amendment.

AIR Data Request 7 – AIR notes that the plan is to continue the proposal to use a brackish water supply for process water needs. What is HECA’s definition of brackish water in mg/L of dissolved salts. What is the level of salts in the proposed brackish water? Is there a guarantee that water below a certain level of salts will not be used by HECA for process water?

Response to AIR Data Request 7: The information requested can be found in Section 5.14.1.6 of the AFC Amendment.

DATED: April 26, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Marc Campopiano

Marc Campopiano
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Counsel to Applicant

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY RESOURCES
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 08-AFC-08
)	
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION)	PROOF OF SERVICE
FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY)	
CALIFORNIA PROJECT BY HYDROGEN)	(March 20, 2012)
ENERGY CALIFORNIA LLC)	
)	
)	
_____)	

APPLICANT

Marisa Mascaro
SCS Energy LLC
30 Monument Square, Suite 235
Concord, MA 01742
mmascaro@scsenergyllc.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT

Dale Shileikis
Vice President
Energy Services Manager
Major Environmental Programs
URS Corporation
One Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104-4538
dale_shileikis@urscorp.com

George Landman
197 Woburn St.
Lexington, MA 02420
Glandman@yahoo.com

HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT
CEC Docket No. 08-AFC-08

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO

e-recipient@caiso.com

e-mail preferred

Marni Weber

Department of Conservation
Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations
(Department of Oil, Gas & Geothermal Resources)

801 K Street MS 2402

Sacramento, CA 95814-3530

Marni.Weber@conservation.ca.gov

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy

Thomas A. Enslow

Marc D. Joseph

Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo

520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350

Sacramento, CA 95814

tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Frantz

Association of Irrigated Residents

30100 Orange Street

Shafter, CA 93263

tfrantz@bak.rr.com

Babak Naficy

Law Offices of Babak Naficy

Kern-Kaweah Chapter of the Sierra Club

1504 Marsh Street

San Luis Obispo, California 93401

babaknaficy@sbcglobal.net

Timothy O'Connor, Esq.

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF)

1107 Ninth St., Suite 540

Sacramento, CA 95814

toconnor@edf.org

George Peridas

Natural Resources Defense Council

111 Sutter Street, 20th Fl.

San Francisco, CA 94104

gperidas@nrdc.org

HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT
CEC Docket No. 08-AFC-08

ENERGY COMMISSION

Raoul Renaud

Hearing Officer
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Robert Worl

Project Manager
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
rworl@energy.ca.gov

Lisa De Carlo

Staff Counsel
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
ldecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Eileen Allen

Commissioner's Technical Advisor
For Facility Siting
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
eallen@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings

Public Adviser's Office
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
E-mail preferred
Publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Paul Kihm, declare that on April 26, 2012, I served and filed copies of the attached:

**RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS BY THE ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED
RESIDENTS**

to all parties identified on the Proof of Service List above in the following manner:

California Energy Commission Docket Unit

Transmission via electronic mail to:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: DOCKET NO. 08-AFC-08

1516 Ninth Street, MS-4

Sacramento, California 95814-5512

docket@energy.state.ca.us

For Service to All Other Parties

Transmission via electronic mail to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 26, 2012, at Costa Mesa, California.

/S/ Paul Kihm

Paul Kihm