THE SOLAR ALLIANCE MEMBER COMPANIES

Amonix

Applied Materials

Borrego Solar

BP Solar

Community Energy

Element Power

enXco

First Solar

Kyocera Solar

Mainstream Energy

Mitsubishi Electric

Oerlikon Solar

Petra Solar

Q-Cells

Sanyo

Sharp Solar

Smart Energy Capital

SolarCity

Solaria

SolarWorld

SPG Solar

SunEdison

Sungevity

SunPower

SunRun

Suntech America

Tioga Energy

Trinity Solar

Verengo Solar Plus

Yingli Solar



December 12, 2011

The Honorable Commissioner Boyd California Energy Commission 1516 9th St. Sacramento, CA 95814

DOCKET09-AFC-9 DATE DEC 12 2011

RECD. DEC 12 2011

Commissioner Boyd:

On behalf of the Solar Alliance, I would like to state our concern with the CEC Decision regarding the Solar Trust of America's Motion for Order Affirming Application of Jurisdictional Waiver for the Ridgecrest Solar Project (Docket 09-AFC-9). The Solar Alliance is a national alliance of solar photovoltaic manufacturers, integrators, and financiers dedicated to accelerating the deployment of solar electric power in the United States by promoting cost-effective state-based policies. The majority of our members do business in California, and many have offices and headquarters in California and represent many major solar developers in the state.

In particular, we are concerned that the above mentioned Motion, which seeks to establish CEC jurisdiction over the Ridgecrest project, though the project is converting from a solar thermal power generation project to a solar photovoltaic project. While we understand that SB 226 created an exemption for certain projects that meet a predefined qualification, we are concerned that the Decision creates a significant change in jurisdiction in California over the siting and permitting of PV projects which is broader than SB 226 intended.

In particular, we believe that a CEC vote in favor of the Decision will significantly harm our industry's relationship with counties that will host our projects throughout the state, and do much more harm than good for transitioning the state to clean, local energy generation. We work closely with counties to make our projects suitable to local conditions and interests. Moving decision making to Sacramento will create significant impediments to cultivating local support for local PV generation.

Given such significance, we believe that such a change is more appropriate for consideration in a deliberate policy process involving the CEC, other state agencies involved in the siting process, and local jurisdictions that are typically involved in siting and permitting PV

projects. We do not believe the Decision represents such a deliberate process.

If you have any questions or concerns about our position, please do not hesitate to contact me at 415-385-7240. Thank you for your consideration.

Best Regards,

Sara Birmingham Director of Western Policy, The Solar Alliance