STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

DOCKET09-AFC-1

DATE Oct. 11 2011

RECD. Oct. 11 2011

| In the matter of: |) | Docket No.: 09-AFC-1 |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|
| WATSON COGENERATION STEAM AND ELECTRICITY RELIABILITY PROJECT |)) T) | Dated: October 11, 2011 |
| | 1 | |

STAFF'S PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT

The Watson Committee issued a Notice of Prehearing Conference and Evidentiary Hearing Order on September 12, 2011. In the Order, the Committee required Staff to file its Prehearing Conference Statement on October 7, 2011. Attached is Staff's statement responding to each numbered item in the Order.

- 1. All topics are complete and ready to proceed to evidentiary hearing except Air Quality. See number 2 below for the explanation.
- 2. Air Quality is the only area that potentially is not ready for evidentiary hearing. Staff explained the problem in its October 7, 2011 status report.
- 3. The only topic area that remains disputed is Soil and Water. The nature of the dispute was set forth in Staff's October 7, 2011 filing and is repeated here.

There are four central areas of disagreement regarding Water between Watson and the California Energy Commission Staff:

- Maximum Water Use (Cap) 4219 afy Energy Commission Staff v 4609 afy Watson.
- b) Use of Reclaimed Water
 - Energy Commission Staff wants an executed agreement for reclaimed water.
 - Watson does not want a required agreement for reclaimed water.
- c) If water use exceeds the cap, Watson wants a condition allowing the CPM to adjust the freshwater cap. (Page 4.9.-59 of Watson's Revised Water

section). Conditions proposed by Energy Commission Staff provide for a hard cap and would require an amendment for any water use above the cap.

- d) Differences in how to measure condensate return water.
- 4. The list of the Staff witness is attached hereto.
- 5. Mark Lindley is the only Staff person who will testify by telephone.
- Soil and Water, if that area is still disputed. The scope of cross examination will include the factual matters related to the issues in dispute in paragraph 3 above. Staff believes 30 minutes will be sufficient.
- 7. Please see the attached Exhibit List. Staff will offer the Final Staff Assessment and a Revised General Condition number 15.
- 8. Staff believes that, if necessary, opening briefs should be due two weeks after the close of evidentiary hearings, with rebuttal briefs due 7 days after that.
- 9. Staff is proposing a new General Condition which will require the project owner to report within one hour by telephone or email any emergency situation at the facility. Also, Staff may be proposing revised conditions of certification in Soil and Water depending upon our discussions with the Applicant.

Dated: October 11, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

/S/

Jeffery M. Ogata Assistant Chief Counsel for the California Energy Commission Staff

BP WATSON COGENERATION STEAM AND ELECTRIC RELIABILITY PROJECT (09-AFC-1) FINAL STAFF ASSESSEMENT

| Executive Summary | Alan Solomon |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Introduction | Alan Solomon |
| Project Description | Alan Solomon |
| Air QualityMa | itthew Layton, Gerry Bemis, Dave Vidaver, Steve Radis |
| Biological Resources | Andrea Martine |
| Cultural Resources | Beverly Bastian |
| Hazardous Materials Manageme | nt Geoff Lesh, P.E., Rick Tyler |
| Land Use | Candace M. Hill |
| Noise and Vibration | Shahab Khoshmashrab |
| Public Health | Alvin J. Greenberg, Ph.D |
| Socioeconomic Resources | Kristin Ford |
| Soils and Water Resources | Mark Lindley, P.E., Paul Marshal |
| Traffic and Transportation | Scott Debauche |
| Transmission Line Safety and Nu | iisanceObed Odoemelam, Ph.D. |
| Visual Resources | William Walters, P.E., Rick Tyler |
| Waste Management | Ellie Townsend-Hough |
| Workers Safety and Fire Protecti | on Geoff Lesh, P.E., Rick Tyler |
| Facility Design | Shahab Khoshmashrab |
| Geology and Paleontology | Dal Hunter, Ph. D, C.E.G. |
| Power Plant Efficiency | Shahab Khoshmashrab |
| Power Plant Reliability | Shahab Khoshmashrab |
| Transmission System Engineerin | g Sudath Edirisuriya and Mark Hesters |
| Alternatives | Alan Solomon |
| General Conditions | Dale Rundquist |



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 - 1-800-822-6228 - WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

Date: October 11, 2011 Docket Number: 09-AFC-1

Project Name: Watson Cogeneration Steam and Electricity Reliability Project

EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit | Docket Transaction Number | Brief Description | Offered | Admitted | Refused |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| 200 | | Final Staff Assessment | | | |
| 201 | | Revised General Condition 15 | | | |

Notification to CPM of a Situation Requiring an Unplanned Response from an Emergency Services Agency (COMPLIANCE 15)

The project owner shall notify the XXX CPM within one hour by telephone or e-mail XXX of the circumstances, current status, and expected duration of all accidents, emergencies, and other abnormal incidents at the facility or appurtenant facilities, that have resulted or could result in any of the following situations:

- 1. Reduction in the facility's ability to respond to dispatch (excluding forced outages caused by protective equipment or other typically encountered shut down events),
- 2. Health and safety impacts on the surrounding population,
- 3. Property damage off-site,
- 4. Response by off-site emergency response agencies,
- 5. Serious on-site injury,
- 6. Serious environmental damage,
- 7. Filing of bankruptcy, and/or,
- 8. Emergency reporting to any federal, state, or local agency.

The owner shall also provide a detailed incident report describing the incident and any impacts as described above within 30 days that shall include, as appropriate to the incident, the following information;

- 1. A brief description of the incident including its date, time and location,
- 2. A description of cause of the incident, or likely causes if it is still under investigation,
- 3. The location of any offsite impacts,
- 4. A description of emergency response actions associated with the incident,
- 5. Identification of responding agencies,
- 6. Identification of emergency notifications made to other federal, state, and/or local agencies,
- 7. Identification of any hazardous materials released and an estimate of the quantity released.
- 8. A description of any injuries, fatalities, or property damage that occurred as a result of the incident,

- 9. Fines or violations assessed or being processed,
- 10. Name, phone number, and email address of the appropriate facility contact person having knowledge of the event, and/or,
- 11. Corrective actions or repairs necessary, a proposed schedule, and potential cost to restore the facility to acceptable performance and availability.

<u>Verification:</u> The owner shall document in the annual compliance report any incidents described in the condition above and provide the time of the incident, the time of CEC CPM notification, and the date of the follow up report.



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE WATSON COGENERATION STEAM AND ELECTRICITY RELIABILITY PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 09-AFC-1 PROOF OF SERVICE LIST (Revised 9/13/11)

APPLICANT

Ross Metersky BP Products North America, Inc. 700 Louisiana Street, 12th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 ross.metersky@bp.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

URS Corporation Cynthia H. Kyle-Fischer 8181 East Tufts Avenue Denver, Colorado 80237 cindy_kyle-fischer@urscorp.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Chris Ellison
Ellison Schneider and Harris LLP
2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95816
cte@eslawfirm.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

<u>INTERVENORS</u>

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) c/o: Tanya A. Gulesserian Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

<u>ENERGY COMMISSION –</u> <u>DECISIONMAKERS</u>

CARLA PETERMAN
Commissioner and Presiding Member
cpeterma@energy.state.ca.us

Jim Bartridge Adviser to Commissioner Peterman <u>ibartrid@energy.state.ca.us</u>

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Associate Member
kldougla@energy.state.ca.us

Galen Lemei Adviser to Commissioner Douglas <u>e-mail service preferred</u> <u>glemei@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF

Alan Solomon
Project Manager
asolomon@energy.state.ca.us

Christine Hammond
Staff Counsel
chammond@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC ADVISER

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Chester Hong, declare that on, October 11, 2011, I served and filed copies of the attached STAFF'S PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT, dated October 11, 2011. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit or the Chief Counsel, as required by the applicable regulation, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/watson/index.html].

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the

| Commi | ssion's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner: |
|-----------|--|
| (Check | all that Apply) |
| For ser | vice to all other parties: |
| Χ | Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list; |
| <u>X</u> | Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses NOT marked "e-mail preferred." |
| AND | |
| For filli | ng with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission: |
| <u>X</u> | by sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid and e-mailed respectively, to the address below (preferred method); <i>OR</i> |
| | by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows: |
| | CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT Attn: Docket No. 09-AFC-1 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us |
| OR, if t | filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720: |
| | Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid: |
| | California Energy Commission Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel 1516 Ninth Street MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 mlevy@energy.state.ca.us |

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

| ISI | |
|--------------|--|
| Chester Hong | |