

Docket Optical System - CEC Natural Gas Report

From: <f.brandt@att.net>
To: CEC CEC Public Advisor Office <pao@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 9/20/2011 4:49 PM
Subject: CEC Natural Gas Report
CC: ed johnson <edwn.johnson@comcast.net>

DOCKET**11-IEP-1K**DATE Sept 20 2011RECD. Sept 21 2011

Please forward this comment to docket 11-IEP-1K 2011

9/20/11

Frank Brandt additional comment to docket no. 11-IEP-1K 2011

In my 8/23/11 comment on this subject I questioned the value of the CEC spending its time and effort on a report of doubtful value. Today I skimmed over the report in comments on this subject from the INGAA Foundation, Inc. , June 28, 2011 study: "North American Midstream Infrastructure Through 2035 - A Secure Energy Future I also skimmed over the draft staff CEC report, Pub # CEC-200-2011-012-SD. Posted September 13, 2011. (PDF file, 130 pages, 1.46 mb)

Here we have 2 voluminous reports on natural gas covering essentially the same ground. The CEC could have saved dollars, time and effort by just quoting the IGNAA report to the recipients in the legislature.

I stand by my previous comments. I remain skeptical despite the assurance of the CEC in their report that it is of value to CA recipients. I don't believe either of these reports is of any real usefulness to the recipients in CA. I repeat that the utilities in CA will have to pay whatever the market price for natural gas is and this will be passed on to the ratepayers. They have absolutely no control. They can ponder the cost but the report doesn't help a bit.

Why the CEC cannot simply make a one page report to the legislature that it has neither the expertise, time or money to prepare a non useful report on this topic escapes me. The one page report could reference the IGNAA report for anyone that could use it.

Frank Brandt, private citizen and taxpayer
 San Jose, CA