

**From:** Clare <ccattarin@comcast.net>  
**To:** <docket@energy.state.ca.us>  
**Date:** 8/5/2011 12:52 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Prevent a Fukushima on the California Coast (Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J)

**DOCKET**

**11-IEP-1J**

DATE Aug 05 2011

RECD. Aug 05 2011

Dear Commissioners:

As concerned California ratepayers and residents, and in consideration of the many issues raised by our state's reliance on nuclear power in a post-Fukushima world, the following recommendations posed by the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility should be adopted in the 2011 IEPR proceeding:

- 1) The CEC should recommend that CPUC undertake an immediate planning process, to be completed and implemented no later than June, 2012 to determine how to replace 4400 MW of baseload generation BEFORE nuclear plants are rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster or shutdown due to acts of malice or terror.
- 2) The CEC should recommend that the federal government review utility liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan. The federal government put taxpayers on the hook for the rest, but we should not have to pay for the risk-taking of this industry, and it's not clear exactly how California residents, and businesses would be "made whole again" after a nuclear accident in light of the gap between coverage and damages.
- 3) In view of the NRC's refusal to address earthquakes in emergency planning, The CEC and CPUC should jointly order utilities to undertake a public emergency planning process that fully accounts for all impacts of earthquakes on emergency planning, and should immediately order an extended evacuation zone of a minimum 50 miles.

Sincerely,

Clare T. Cattarin

Fairfax, Ca.