

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

DOCKET

11-IEP-1J

DATE _____

RECD. AUG 02 2011

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Clayton
214 Roycroft Avenue
Long Beach, CA 90803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Hecht
509 Pomona Avenue
Albany, CA 94706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marianne Yusavage
1830 Anza
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynn Parks
1757 Front St
Oceano, CA 93445

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Matthew Alexander
3339 Elgin Ln
San Jose, CA 95118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Douglas Stevenson
2808 Welk Common
Fremont, CA 94555

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lacey Kammerer
7554 N Trellis
Fresno, CA 93720

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anna Boyiazis
7542 West 89 Street
Los Angeles, CA 90045

310 374 4488

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kristy St. George
P.O. Box 7171
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Perry Lambert
6357 Crebs Ave
Tarzana, CA 91335-6818

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Teresa Edmonds
20 Esquiline Rd
Carmel Valley, CA 93924

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

west smith
1085 moreno drive
p.o. box 179
ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

susan shapiro
13793 christopher robin way
grass valley, CA 95945

530-273-2994

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzette Santori- Martinez
PO Box 211374
Chula Vista, CA 91921

619 737-9697

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

GL Stanford
1234 any street
san diego, CA 92122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeff Ball
2436 Park Estates
Sacramento, CA 95825

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alessandra COLFI
1397 Temple Heights Dr.
Oceanside, CA 92056

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Donna Sams
2875 Cowley Way #615
San Diego, CA 92110

(619) 276-5692

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

George Steinitz
2432 Bass Road
Campo, CA 91906-1400

(619) 478-9323

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Matthew Swyers
1020 Dolores St #28
Livermore, CA 94550-4770

(925) 292-1365

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karl Koessel
po box 257
blue lake, CA 95525-0257

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Dane
301 E. Cedar St.
Ontario, CA 91761-5378

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Gottejman
3964 Howard Avenue
Los Alamitos, CA 90720

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Donald Mackay
PO Box 823
South Pasadena, CA 91031

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Larissa Martin
493 Woodbridge Drive
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rhiannon Perry
4032 Maywood Dr S
Fresno, CA 93703-3330

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rob Gallinger
6232 Springvale Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gary Leo
40 Inyo Ave
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lee ballen
2096 redwood drive
santa cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Rogers
Hwy 17
Los Gatos, CA 95033

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Heather Else
1231 S Lucerne
Los Angeles, CA 90019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

phyllis hislop
59 carol ln 152
Oakley, CA 94561

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynn Shauinger
941 Oak St.
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert Anger
929 Idaho Avenue
Santa Monica, CA 90403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Terri Eddings
443 N Lomita St
Burbank, CA 91506

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jaedra Luke
1942 Rodney Drive
Apt. 9
Los Angeles, CA 90027-3158

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Angela Russell
1522 Cook Place
Goleta, CA 93117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Gene Fox
512 11th St
Del Mar, CA 92014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Luis M. Lozano
225 Pomona #3
Long Beach, CA 90803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

angelo festa
67 topaz wy
san francisco, CA 94131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jean Lindemann
115 Dogwood Place
San Ramon, CA 94583

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

dale riehart
86 south park st
san francisco, CA 94107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kimberley DeFay
918 W 6th
Benicia, CA 94510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maris Bennet
3401 DiMaggio Way
Antioch, CA 94509

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Larry Tomlinson
1015 East Appleton
Long Beach, CA 90802

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. renee klein
4732 la villa marina
marina del rey, CA 90292

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Simone Butler
1974 Titus St.
San Diego, CA 92110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marianna Gabriel Mejia
1009 Hidden Valley Road
Gabriel Mejia
Soquel, CA 95073

0

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Annette Holland
364 Lindholm Lane
Bayside, CA 95524

707-822-2003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rachel Durben
433 Brock Rd
Nevada City, CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

I understand our reactors, if knocked out by an earthquake...AN EARTHQUAKE!-that batteries would operate the cooling system for only four to eight hours!! This is really bad...get rid of these things!

Martin Horwitz
1326 23rd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122-1608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Scott Carr
3709 Buena Creek Rd.
Vista, CA 92084

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marne bass
952 Linden street
Chico, CA 95928

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Todd Snyder
2447 Post street
San Francisco, CA 94115-3310

6462442077

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anne Marie Stewart
1517 140th Ave.
San Leandro, CA 94578

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Mark Gotvald
528 Monti Circle
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nahid Buswell
820 Bauer Dr.
San Carlos, CA 94070

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

cecile leneman
2550 dana street 5-B
BERKELEY, CA 94704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Douglass
19951 Ocotillo Way
Apple Valley, CA 92308

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

HUNTER WALLOF
12340 Sir Francis Drake
UNIT A
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

4156638963

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alma Quesnel
544 Stanton Street
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patrick Cameron
12970 Hwy 8 Bus #81
El Cajon, CA 92021

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale Hillard
830 River Road
Salinas, CA 93908

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Hersh
302 Monterey Ave.
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dhyana McCraig
17481 Matinal Dr.
San Diego, CA 92127

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donna Anderson
7100 W. 85th. #1
Westchester, CA 90045

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Rowe
28481 Copper Creek Drive
Coarsegold, CA 93614

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dee Hughes
440 Juniper Street
Chico, CA 95926

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John D Swain
7758 W. 91st St. Apt 3
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Castillo
Dunham Lane
Placentia, CA 92870

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. whitney schutt
3694 feliz creek rd
Hopland, CA 95449

(707) 744-1422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shelley Trumbo
233 Vallejo St
Petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dave Picariello
7 San Carlos
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Trott
237 Dutch Way
Big Bear City, CA 92314

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steven McNichols
268 Bush Street #3602
#3602
San Francisco, CA 94104

415-651-9999

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gregory Dorais
2418 Willow Tree Ln
Martinez, CA 94553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lise Snyder
3221 Selby Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nikos Efstathiou
42 Corniche Dr.
Dana Point, CA 92629

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale Matlock
1413 N. Branciforte Avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95065-1226

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Ahern
1150 Valencia Street
San Francisco, CA 94110-3069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Ina Zec
1128 S. Elm Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dennis Trembly
880 West 1st St. #301
Los Angeles, CA 90012

213-626-2277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dana Linder
5770 Winfield Blvd
San Jose, CA 95123-2420

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Dennis Ledden
14941 Trinidad Drive
Rancho Murieta, CA 95683-9451

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick Sparks
4634 Beck Avenue
Toluca Lake, CA 91602

818-769-2751

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rita Fahrner
271 Gates Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

corey benjamin
970 menlo ave apt 17
apt 17
los angeles, CA 90006

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Blades
1141 Walnut St
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Baruch
P.O. Box 1293
Goleta, CA 93116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James H Kirks
11 Hemming Lane
Chico, CA 95973

(530) 342-2179

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Lilly
801 W Covina Bl 40
San Dimas, CA 91773-2456

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. They have the best technology in the world--and it isn't good enough. Radioactive waste keeps piling up; dozens of reactor needs retro fits they aren't getting; earthquakes are increasing in intensity.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen. New York and Los Angeles and San Diego are all threatened by these needless plants.

As the Energy Commission's leaders consider--hopefully the defective science--and just what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that these reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following eminently sensible recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants, if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster.

Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.

2) Recommend that the commission's leaders get reputable scientists on board to study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act. It is not merely out of date, it's ridiculously and shockingly low.

3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, also the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste, for which at present there is no solution at all.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's experts have already recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly-sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state scientifically, toward a future free of dangerous and wholly unnecessary nuclear reactors. New York, San Diego and Los Angeles are the places I have lived for most of my life.. They mean a great deal to me; and I see no sane reason for them to be under the shadow of needless nuclear disaster any longer. I still live in San Diego. I'd rather like to be able to go on doing so.

Thanks again for consulting scientists, not political brains on this vital issue for California's and the nation's energy future. Whatever we did is past; it's time to move on to clean and renewable energy. Long past the time...

Robert Cerello
8070 Orange Avenue Apt.705
La Mesa, CA 91942-6473

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Sharron Powell
872 Heather Way
Carlsbad, CA 92011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Commissioners:

I HAVE BEEN AND WILL ALWAYS BE AGAINST NUCLEAR ENERGY BECAUSE IT IS PLAYING WITH FIRE--NO MATTER HOW SMALL THE CHANCE, THE CHANCE STILL EXISTS THAT SOMETHING DEVASTATING WILL HAPPEN. WE CAN NEVER BE ABSOLUTELY 100% SURE THAT THERE WILL NEVER BE A DISASTER. CLOSE ALL NUCLEAR REACTORS NOW!!!!

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Janet Herbruck
3098 Rue d'Orleans #221
San Diego, CA 92110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dona Longacre
32 Dianthus
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I would like you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Clark Quinn
60 Cuesta Way
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

loulou steiner
1659 appian way
santa monica, CA 90401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rachel johnson
365 Dogwood Rd
bolinas, CA 94924

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Neena Sessa
50 Arlington Drive
South San Francisco, CA 94080

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jim and Alison Williams
8908 Bedford Ave
Fair Oaks, CA 95628

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Helmut Kayan
230 Oak St #33
San Francisco, CA 94102

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

chet arachy
2106 BECKETT DR
chetarachy@gmail.com
EL DORADO HILLS, CA 95762

9162222850

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marita Kubersky
542 Belvedere St.
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Oliver Bock
885 Espinosa
Woodside, CA 94062

650 8517883

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Scott Ferguson
2120 The Strand #7
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tawny McLellan
222 Avenida de la Vereda
Ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Therese Coupez
2843 Harrison St
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jerry I Kavanau
1760 Lake Drive
Cardiff, CA 92007

(760) 479-0690

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Gail Camhi
4 Fallen Leaf Way
#5
Novato, CA 94949

415-668-7251

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Hague
2904 True Road
Yuba City, CA 95993

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alexandro Guerra
2052 La Jolla Dr
Stockton, CA 95204

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Grant Lupher
900 S. Serrano Ave. #205
Los Angeles, CA 90006

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shiu Hung
1745 A Croner Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

My wife is from Japan. We have friends in the effected areas around Fukushima. They have been devastated. They litterally have no hope of things getting back together in their lifetimes. My friend's wife was told not to breastfeed because her milk might be contaminated.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Zip L. Stevenson
1237 south Sierra Bonita
Los Angeles, CA 90019

323-855-9521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jeanne carlson
120 Porteous Avenue
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tamila Mendoza
890 S BEACH BLVD 112
Anaheim, CA 92804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith Smith
2712 Grande Vista Ave
Oakland, CA 94601

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Jackson
Elizabeth
Elk Grove, CA 95624

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julia Broad
9671 Rosebay St
Anaheim, CA 92804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Richard Brandes
578 Washington Blvd., #862
#862
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Allen Royer
1165 Garfield Av
San jose, CA 95125-3114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jerome LeBlanc
Sweetzer Ave
los angeles, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jim Bearden
1141 Nicklaus Ave.
Milpitas, CA 95035

(408) 263-1251

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lance Robert
453 13th St #328
San Diego, CA 92101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tom Kunhardt
65 Birch Ave
Corte Madera, CA 94925

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Liliana Cunha
31600 Airport Road
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

valerie jones
205 washington st
grass valley, CA 95945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marianne Duke
311 11th Avenue #5
San Francisco, CA 94118

(415) 668-7010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marylee Fithian
17727 Orchard Avenue
Guerneville, CA 95446

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bertrand Giassonn
2430 Summer St.
Eureka, CA 95501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Norman Smith
6280 Sly Park Road
Placerville, CA 95667

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Keith Gordon
P.O. Box 24917
Los Angeles, CA 90024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jim Humphrey
6400 Pacific Ave. #303
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-7554

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert L Davenport
5128 Montair Ave.
Lakewood, CA 90712-2747

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Adrienne Borgia
670 Fairview St.
Oakland, CA 94609

5106550348

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

George Latta
3802 South Kent Street
Visalia, CA 93277

559-733-0979

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Reynolds
1805 Pentuckett Ave
San Diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

prisca gloor
4055 Coolidge Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066-5411

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gregory Fite
4719 Hillside Drive
Castro Valley, CA 94546-1406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lucinda Ewing
228 Hacienda Carmel
Carmel, CA 93923

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Dresser
826 Neilson Street
Berkeley, CA 94707-1816

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Phillip Cripps
35898 Calle Raphael
Cathedral City, CA 92234

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Heron
PO Box 1923
25253 Nestwa Trail
Idyllwild, CA 92549

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Evan Beattie
2 Tyler Ct
Irvine, CA 92602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Lessing
3138 Reid ave.
Culver City, CA 90232

310-837-8756

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ray Paquette
841 Ripley St
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ray Paquette
841 Ripley St
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jane Hyde
5 Abbott Ct
Orinda, CA 94563

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lucia Massarella
10234 Salmon Creek Rd
Redding, CA 96003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. I was happy that the local nuclear reactor in Humboldt County has been mothballed for a number of years after the discovery that it was built on an earthquake fault. But I have concerns about southern California.

I worry that the decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies. In my recent visit to Los Angeles, I noticed numerous rooftops and parking lots completely devoid of photovoltaics. There's a place to start!

I agree with Friends of the Earth that the Energy Commission should make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Mone
Box 223
Trinidad, CA 95570

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Yuki Spencer, I am from Japan. I have friends in the effected areas around Fukushima. They have been devastated. They litterally have no hope of things getting back together in their lifetimes. My friend was told not to breastfeed because her milk might be contaminated.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Spencer
1237 south Sierra Bonita ave
Los Angeles, CA 90019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth "Betsy" Wobus
10310 Penn Oak Lane
Rough and Ready, CA 95975-9785

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

helen shardray
626 pico place
Santa Monica, CA 90405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

5) To these points I add a fifth. It seems that common sense is lacking in public policy, not only in California but also throughout the nation and the globe. It should be obvious that after the Fukushima disaster, all--and I mean all--nuclear plants should be shut down and dismantled. Why is the obvious so elusive to those who make and implement policy, and not only in the nuclear issue?

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Mc
520 W. Anapamu #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Michelle Harris
2129 Via Teca
San Clemente, CA 92673

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale Bleacher
3292 Old Lawley Toll Rd
Calistoga, CA 94515-9744

7079429665

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dan Underhill
577 Paloma Ave.
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Willa O'Connor
227 Cambridge
KENSINGTON, CA 94708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

BEVERLY SPAULDING
20322 EASTWOOD CIR
HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92646

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

J Angell
Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, CA 95672

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

shauna gunderson
90 Grandview F101
santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Andrew Osborne-Smith
911 Leslie Court
San Carlos, CA 94070-3462

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ben Ruwe
412 Glengarry Rd.
Felton, CA 95018

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Kampa
3120 Hardin Way
Soquel, CA 95073-2739

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Larry S Bailey
P. O. Box 992480
Redding, CA 96099-2480

(916) 824-8327

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Amber Tidwell
2420 1/2 N. Beachwood Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90068

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rashid Patch
3100 Coolidge Ave.
Oakland, CA 94602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandra Lee Childs
P.O.Box 511
Miranda, CA 95553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Gopal Shanker
1563 Spring St
Saint Helena, CA 94574-2039

7074801960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Warren Lentz
Lantana Terrace
Carlsbad, CA 92011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Angela Black
1580 Monterey
Seal Beach, CA 90740

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Laabs
8193 Via Mallorca
La Jolla, CA 92037

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Toula Siacotos
441 High St.
Point Richmond, CA 94801

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Diana Bohn
618 San Luis
Berkeley, CA 94707

510-525-5497

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Malley
1609 S. Gary St.
Anaheim, CA 92804-6113

714 991-8323

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Don Schwartz
26 Skylark Dr Apt 12A
Larkspur, CA 94939

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bret Smith
PO Box 2824
Santa Cruz, CA 95063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julia Broad
9671 Rosebay St
Anaheim, CA 92804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Bailey
25801 Marguerite Pkwy Apt 103
No. 103
Mission Viejo, CA 92692

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Byron Edelen
24 Davenport Court
San Rafael, CA 94901

4154605389

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

PLEASE SHUT DOWN AGING NUCLEAR REACTORS NOW. THANK YOU.

c soraghan
4366 saratoga ave
san diego, CA 92107

(619) 224-6099

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kate hoshour

329

kensington, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Atleson
34101 MacMillan Way
Fremont, CA 94555

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

SCOTTIE SINGER
8240 Pavin Lane
Hemet, CA 92545

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kim Tosdale
4020 via picaposte
Palls verdes, CA 90274

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Ladd
2082 W. 29th PL
LOS ANGELES, CA 90018-3034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carrie Staton
10226 Empire Grade
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Weidner
1475 University Ave
Berkeley, CA 94702

5103382170

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I have been anti nuclear for decades now because of the adverse affects that can be caused by nuclear power and of course nuclear warfare. Now that we have seen the fall out from the reactors in Japan the jig is up and it is clear that this type of power is unsafe when there is an event like the earthquake that occurred in Japan. As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Cappa
581 Santa Alicia Dr.
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-5002

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Abdrei Fontescu
2076 Ellis St.
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer, resident, and voter I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I believe that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. A Bonvouloir
POB 70185
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Marcus
2104 McLaren Dr.
Roseville, CA 95661

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Serra Hardy
475 Orena Ct.
Camarillo, CA 93010

(805) 484-8625

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Sarah Hafer
1401 Wyant Way
Sacramento, CA 95864-2639

9163840259

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sarah Christensen
1465 Hidden Canyon Road
La Habra Heights, CA 90631

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Easley
23015 Del Valle
Woodland Hills, CA 91364

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Raheem Hosseini
100 Engelhart St.
Folsom, CA 95630

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John DeLand
3350 Del Rio Ct.
Carlsbad, CA 92009

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynn Weeks
7231 Kodiak St
Ventura, CA 93003-7054

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Eichler
803 1/2 Delaware Street
Apt 309
Berkeley, CA 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

GilliAn Hall
Woodruff Avenue
Oakland, CA 94602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen. (It would be comedic if the situation weren't so precarious and stupid.)

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I demand you make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Terri Lloyd
ave 61
Los Angeles, CA 90042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Romola Georgia
3445 Tippawingo
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2738

6504931230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marrisha Abbot
1112 Pilger Rd
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Grace Frost
555 Ferdinand Ave
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Don Brew
360 Millar Avenue, Apt., E
Apt. E
El Cajon, CA 92020

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

I have a Ph. D. in Physical Organic Chemistry from Harvard University. I'm 89 years old and have seen the ewhile thing unravel. In the beginning, with little thought it did seem like "free" energy, no costs.

But nuclear waste, any nuclear waste is too much. Every reactor should be stopped, the area cleaned up and NO MORE WASTE should be produced. We could be killing our grandchildren's future through hundreds of generations. Dr. Charles E. Dills

Dr. Charles E. Dills, Capt USAAF Maj USAF Res Ret.
1371 Avalon
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dave Plesh and Pat Davis
PO Box 118
Woodland Hills, CA 91364

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jason Bowman
4361 Turnbridge Drive
Sacramento, CA 95823

530 621 1796

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

anne dazey
1409 parkinson
palo alto, CA 94301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

No nukes. There must be a better way to BOIL WATER!!!!

andrew stevenson
3274 lynde
oakland, CA 94601

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Fukumoto
1686 Lewiston Drive
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

angelique mahatma
po box 23
san diego, CA 92113

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roberta Bristol
911 Balboa Ave.
Capitola, CA 95010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kevin O'Connor
420 E St.
Davis , CA 95616-4133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Virginia Macy
7222 Sierra Ave. Apt. 107
Fontana, CA 92336-3204

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Blair Sandler
319 Virginia Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94110-5150

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carlos A. Nunez
18009 Victory Blvd
Reseda, CA 91335

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Slavin
3983 mission st
San francisco, CA 94112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Living within 20 miles of Diablo Canyon makes me feel like a fool. Fukushima makes me feel like a stupid fool. Yes, there are good reasons to be here in San Luis Obispo County, that my ancestors understood when they arrived three generations ago.

In fact, reasons too good to turn this wonderful place into a disaster site. I might withstand an earthquake, and my home is not threatened by tsunamis, but no one will withstand those horrors combined with their effects on Diablo.

Shut this plant down. Nothing is worth the devastation that Diablo will bring upon us, if not now then later...how much later? Tomorrow? Next year? As a society, we own the responsibility. Shut it down.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Peggy Rodriguez
P. O. Box 1153
Nipomo, CA 93444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lee Davis
PO Box 9309
San Diego, CA 92169

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Noriega
30042 Sugarpine St.
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530-7336

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Going Green is even more important now that we've seen what happened in Japan and could easily happen to us.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. James E. Lobdell
940B Temple Ave.
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-5512

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. janice hustis
14431 sunburst st
panorama city, CA 91402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Heidi Junger
4489 Texas St
San Diego, CA 92116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Reeman
10502 Cliota Street
Whittier, CA 90601

213245-9854

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nicolette van Sluis
912 marco pl
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Seeley
217 Westmont Avenue
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

8055448112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Miller
1805 West Street
Room 30B
Hayward, CA 94545

7036385989

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Myrna Carr
236 E. Cleveland St.
Stockton, CA 95204

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bryan Payne
417 Glenn St
Vallejo, CA 94590

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nicole DeAvilla Whiting
Rock
Kentfield, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joanna Welch Lasken
171 South Upas
Escondido, CA 92025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Diana Bohn
618 San Luis
Berkeley, CA 94707

510-525-5497

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nathan keller
6333 Mt Ada Rd #178
San Diego, CA 92111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

michael bordenave
951 n adoline
fresno, CA 93728

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

No nuclear reactors in California!

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tina Arnold
393 Mermaid
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Reidun Carstens
N. 110 Carolwood Dr.
LA, CA 90077-3515

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Haggard
1828 Gateway Dr.
San Diego, CA 92105-5104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Harrison
85 Duboce Ave #302
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Isaac
4651 Alameda Dr
Fremont, CA 94536

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ritesh Kumar
25576 El Capitan Lane
Laguna Hills, CA 92653

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mackenzie Hernandez
5711 Columbia Way
Lancaster, CA 93536

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

RAYMOND ELIGGI
1155 JEFFERSON ST.
RED BLUFF, CA 96080

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paula Carrier
2401 5th Avenue
San Diego, CA 92101-1612

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

thomas clark
245 s serrano ave #214
Loos Angeles, CA 90004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sherrell Cuneo
2330 N Edgemont St
Los Angeles, CA 90027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michelle Davis
155 Lighthouse way
Vacaville, CA 95688

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Deane
209 W Palmer Ave, Apt D
Apt D
Glendale, CA 91204-3395

(818) 257-0548

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

carol wild
2302 damuth street
oakland, CA 94602

(510) 530-9453

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Rocke
Dome House , Palo Colorado Canyon
Palo Colorado Canyon
Carmel, CA 93923

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Steponaitis
910 Geary 20
San Francisco, CA 94109-7095

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Willa Mann
4542 Auhay Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

805-967-6519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert Mirage
71443 San Geronio Rd.
Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Winnie Hiller
13816 Califa St.
VAN NUYS, CA 91401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Johnson
PO Box 402384
Hesperia, CA 92340

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rochelle Becker
PO 1328
San Luis Obispo, CA 93433

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Brocious
5170 Columbus Ave #7
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Silliphant
3949 Harmon Rd.
El Sobrante, CA 94803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Susan Hathaway
5107 Passons Boulevard #313
Pico Rivera, CA 90660

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erica Trumbull
497 Corte Madera Ave
Corte Madera, CA 94925

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Mahan
935 N Spaulding Ave
West Hollywood, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fran Nowve
2925 Ellis Street
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margo Krindel
1237 2nd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122-2702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

dave alexander
10459 artesia blvd #92c
#92c
bellflower, CA 90706-6805

(562) 867-0026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Kent Minault
13214 Magnolia Blvd.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donna Alleyne-Chin
PO Box 370812
Montara, CA 94037

(650) 728-7145

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathleen Watson
8190 Grape Ave
Forestville, CA 95436

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Zimmermann
P.O. Box 13031
4100 Broadway
Long Beach, CA 90803-1530

5624336324

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Boot
128 Alpine Court
San Pablo, CA 94806

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Kramer
1834 1/2 Lake Shore Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90026

323 667-2629

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ranko Balog
5352 Sierra Roja Rd
Irvine, CA 92603

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am very concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michelle Gould
6645 Thille St. #222
Ventura, CA 93003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Allen Rozelle
27 Oak Road
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

8314253852

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale Kewitz
10 Calle Ameno
San Clemente, CA 92672-2346

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kelly Burch
4018 Indian Peak Rd
Mariposa, CA 95338-9393

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

david Weisman
470 Estero Ave
Morro Bay, CA 93442

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

evan white
718 pine st
san francisco, CA 94108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Zoe Schwartz
PO Box 1849
Healdsburg, CA 95448

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Brockman
333 W 14th St
Address Line 2
Chico, CA 95928

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David McBride
6319 Jackie Ave.
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

818-884-8149

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Gehrke
15553 Sorbonne St.
Sylmar, CA 91342-1132

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Denneen
1040 Cielo Lane
Nipomo, CA 93444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brandon Chavez
3776 Beethoven Street
Apt 4
Los Angeles , CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gail Entrekin
5 Del Mar court
Orinda, CA 94563

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jack Robertson
12639 Calle Charmona
San Diego, CA 92128/4465

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nick Gaetano
430 Ashton Dr
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

949.715.9705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

bari Winter
6467 Bertrand Ave
Reseda, CA 91335

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Steve White
POB 790
Moss Beach, CA 94038

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Naples
309 4th Street
Sausalito, CA 94965

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

heike Kholooci
33456 valley view
Dana Point, CA 92629

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. David Harralson
3629 Lankershim Blvd
Hollywood, CA 91604

818 762 8150

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rosemarie shishkin
411-44th ave
san francisco, CA 94121

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lenore sorensen
672 coventry road
kensington, CA 94707-1319

5105257485

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Glaston
16400 Bubbling Wells Road
#404
Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

7603290814

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bob Gorringer
19 Knollview Way
San Francisco, CA 94131-1215

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Susan Grant
10021 Tabor St. #204
Los Angeles, CA 90034

1-310-204-4525

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andrea Gross
P.O. Box 84
Oceanside, CA 92049-0084

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bryce J Neuman
93 Scott Street Apt #3
San Francisco, CA 94117-3262

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

MARK WOOD
8581 SANTA MONICA BLVD #197
WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Denise East
10635 Johnson Avenue
Cupertino, CA 95014

4082551163

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Pamela Hill
PO Box 23
Graton, CA 95444

707 823-4976

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Steven Brown
801 Sierra Vista Way #45
Yreka, CA 96097-2623

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Burstin
3364-3D Punta Alta
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

There are dangerous nuclear power plants in California. Nuclear reactors on or near earthquake faults are accidents waiting to happen.

I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Hiestand
526 south Campus Way
Davis
Davis, CA 95616

530 756-1796

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. MICHAEL TOOBERT
212 MALLARD DR
GRASS VALLEY, CA 95945-5745

(530) 273-5054

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steven Kimmelman
930 Carr Ave
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Genevieve Guzmán
4128 Shadyglade Ave.
Studio City, CA 91604-1637

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Burstin
3364-3D Punta Alta
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Glenn Pope
2212 Siesta Lane
santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Davis

William Davis
PO Box 1239
Templeton, CA 93465

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Viviann Choate
3983 Idaho St.
San Diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Meigs Matheson
1914 Clemens Rd
Oakland, CA 94602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners: I was arrested in front of Diablo in 1978 because they did NOT know what they were going to with their nuclear waste---still do not know.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Denneen
1040 Cielo Lane
Nipomo, CA 93444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Patton
1881 Sutter St. #105
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ronald Charleston
11735 Peach Tree Circle
Yucaipa, CA 92399

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

andy tomsky
po box 683
san marcos, CA 92079

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Burstin
3364-3D Punta Alta
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

949-583-9064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maureen Maher
4710 Colfax Ave #8
N Hollywood, CA 91602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Teresa Haller
8400 Central Avenue
Orangevale, CA 95662

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andy Lupenko
8555 Golden Avenue
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Patricia LoVerme
1624 Wayne Ave
SOUTH PASADENA, CA 91030-4933

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mayumi Knox
1075 Old Mill Rd.
Pasadena, CA 91108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a native Californian and a taxpayer, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

karen morgan
1265 surf ave
pacific grove, CA 93950

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

CARMEN SANCHEZ SADEK
3113 Malcolm Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90034-3406

310-474-5605

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Nelsen
1016 Kains Ave
Albany, CA 94706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elaine Farris
PO Box 1936
Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Harriet Ingram

9

San Francisco, CA 94131-1800

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonnie kohleriter
442 Red Wing Drive
Alamo, CA 94507

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Bullen
1025 neilson street
Albany, CA 94706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathy Frances
435 Beverly Drive
Arcata, CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynn Morrow
70775 Ironwood Drive
Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

760 832 2043

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Grau
1905 Knolls Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. christopher flynn
130 colonade square
san jose, CA 95127-2877

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. bonnie jay
511 Hill St. #310
Santa Monica CA 90405, USA
Santa Monica, CA 90405

310 3929202

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

Because the citizens of America have been kept from the problems that have continuously been occurring over the years, the dangers of mismanagement and lack of real evolving inspections with improvements are the real dangers. Plus, these over 40 years old reactors and their voluminous amounts of old fuel rods, are a forever problem that come at huge expense to store away from dangers for hundreds of years to come. California and their quakes will not stop, and we all know the 'big' one is coming. When it does, there is nothing prepared to protect the public. We'll be the next Japan and destined to exposures that will kill us sooner.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic

vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurie Buckley
10823 Kling St.
N. Hollywood, CA 91602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charles Warner
12020 Sherwood Court
Fontana, CA 92337

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gail McMullen
1734 N. Kingsley Dr #4
Los Angeles, CA 90027-3722

3236643422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David lin
1616 21st street
Sacramento, CA 95811

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jean M Mont-Eton
4333 Ulloa st.
San Francisco, CA 94116-2068

(415) 664-4103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Destine Robertson
19574 Silver Ranch Road
Conifer, CA 80433

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frieda Stahl
497 E. California Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Stanley
468 38th St
Oakland, CA 94609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diane Lamont
11922 Tennessee Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leslie Sloane
22000 Collins St. #4
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christine Buck
1131 Bay Street
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eleanor Gomez
2200 31st Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

june botto
11505 oak hill dr
oakdale, CA 95361

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roberta Lee
703 Alleln Dr.
Corona, CA 92879

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kevin McCoy
35010 Rhododendron Rd.
Gualala, CA 95445

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Martha Perkins
723 Fernando Drive
Ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert McCombs
PO Box 4175
164 Deer Fern Ln. Bayside (NO MAIL!)
Arcata, CA 95518

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Herman
640 D St.
Petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beverly Day
PO Box 1563
El Segundo, CA 90245

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roz Rickman
19100 Crest Ave
21
Castro Valley, CA 94546

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ana Arevalo
10412 oneida ave
pacoima, CA 91331

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Meg Rosenfeld
1236 6th Avenue #3
San Francisco, CA 94122-2544

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kim Floyd
5375 Shirley J. Lane
Wrightwood, CA 92397

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Walls
275 Grandview Ave
Novato, CA 94945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Augie Peterson
W. Ocean Air Dr
San Diego, CA 92130

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eric Rothchild
1302 Lower Highland Way
Watsonville, CA 95076-0165

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Randy Caffejian
511 East Cornell Ave
Fresno, CA 93704-5418

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Sanders
P.O. Box 402
Fairfax, CA 94978

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patti McCarron
137 Hagemann Ave.
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

maria muschio
3777 destiny lane
shingle springs, CA 95682

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

william m
4279 grist rd
4279 grist rd
Mariposa, CA 95338

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ana rita dos reis bispo
rua da estação lote2 r/c dt?
los angeles, CA 92010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mike Laquatra
2926 Eucalyptus Ave
Long Beach, CA 90806

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Honda
5238 Village Green
Los Angeles, CA 90016

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Thomas
25375 Rd 21A
Esparto, CA 95627

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am a former US Navy nuclear submarine engineering officer.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edwin Aiken
663 Torrington Dr
Sunnyvale, CA 94087-2445

408-732-6716

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Chris Worcester
PO Box 2511
16713 Greenlee Road 96161
Truckee, CA 96160

5305824503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

tim zemba
112 N HARPER AV
LOS ANGELES, CA 90048

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

meave o'connor
1717 Berkeley way
berkeley, CA 94703

510-540-1095

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Inger Acking
851 Jones Street
Suite 506
Berkeley, CA 94710

510-528-9865

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Schiowitz
4057 Blackwood Street
Newbury Park, CA 91320

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jean Maier
138 Espana Way
Windsor, CA 95492

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

catherine regan
2132 15th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116 1843

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amanda Freitas
1921 Capistrano Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Virginia Harris
7777 Bodega Ave. #F-10
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jacques Talbot
2888 Morcom Avenue
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bernadette Barberini
1404 Sherman
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

meave o'connor
1717 Berkeley way
berkeley, CA 94703

510-540-1095

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

martha schwartz
130 Tree Frog Lane
Santa Cruz, CA 95060/4856

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Armando A. Garcia
16710 Orange Ave Unit F35
Paramount, CA 90723

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

chelsea madison
4386 detroit ave
oakland, CA 94619-1604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

john skillman
5390 locarno
wrightwood, CA 92397

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amy Veloz
6814 Texhoma Ave.
Van Nuys, CA 91406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Ring
80-394 Avenue 48
#366
Indio, CA 92201-6508

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisabeth Ryder
3233 kelton Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90034

510-326-2541

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Michael Tomczyszyn
243 Ramsell St
San Francisco, CA 94132

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jenny Diehl
1651 Birdhaven Way
Pittsburg, CA 94565-4280

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cory Chamberlain
8 Dove Place
Novato, CA 94949

(415) 234-6850

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I urge you to authorize the construction of 4th generation nuclear power plants.

They will recycle the spent fuel and produce negligible waste.

While solar, geothermal, wind and other technologies appear to be the solution they are not cost effective and are unable to provide the power we need.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future of low cost, safe energy from 4th generation nuclear reactors.

Keith vonBorstel
614 Hubble Street
Davis, CA 95616-2723

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jolina Mitchell
13700 Marina Pointe Drive, Unit 1921
Unit 1921
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292-9274

3109226540

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeannie Pham
821 E. Hedding St.
San Jose, CA 95112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Dana Stewart
569 amaya dr
Apt 374
la mesa, CA 91942

(619) 461-5749

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Hamilton
2412 #B Palos Verdes Dr.W.
Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. ute engelke
2136 Oberlin st
Palo Alto, CA 94306

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Rush Rehm
835 Lakeview Way
Redwood City, CA 94062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sarah Lehrer-Graiwer
2535 Aberdeen Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Michele Tusinac
3760 Webster Street
Oakland, CA 94609

510 655-8772

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

judy loring
39 orchard way
novato, CA 94947

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Emil Reisman
835 S. Wooster St. 109
Los Angeles, CA 90035

4242493218

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margo Landry
32142 beachfront ln
Westlake Village, CA 91361

310-301-4477

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marcia Berman
2333 Rose Street
Berkeley, CA 94708-1807

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erik Husoe
33642 Valle Rd
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675-4812

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dan Esposito
1510 Rowell Avenue
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

310 379-7499

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

martha schwartz
130 Tree Frog Lane
Santa Cruz, CA 95060/4856

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Smith
3228 Guido St.
Oakland, CA 94602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jonas Ketterle
3090 King St
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Sutton
3730 58th Street
Sacramento, CA 95820

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

katie Isselhardt
14333 Saratoga Ave
Saratoga, CA 95070

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Beshara
3400 Coffee Road Apt 155
Modesto, CA 95355

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ann Thryft
15520 Big Basin Way
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

(831) 338-8098

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

howard zugman
1190 alberdan circle
pinole, CA 94564

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mitch Parkinen
623 Linden Lane
Martinez, CA 94553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kimberly Posin
5207 Zelzah Avenue
Encino, CA 91316

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sheila Barrand
28032 Festivo
Mission Viejo, CA 92692

9492946387

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

george graham
54 woodlawn ave #107
chula vista, CA 91910

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Andrade
440 Frio lane
Wimberley, TX 78676

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Ishvaradas Abdallah
PO Box 6175
San Pedro, CA 90734

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andrea Lieberman
3115 Coolidge Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Theodore Radamaker
3837 Shelter Grove Drive
Claremont, CA 91711-3028

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judy Jones
1312 Carmel St #103
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judy Jones
1312 Carmel St #103
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Katherine Beegle
109 Eagle Trace Drive
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Philip McManus
2500 Smith Grade
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-9733

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

carol banever
944 no. martel ave.
los angeles, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I went to college in California in the 1980s, lived here in the late 90s, and live here again. So I have seen a fair amount of the history of energy in the state.

After years of debate, the horror of Fukushima seems to have lent greater weight to the arguments against the nukes at Diablo Canyon. I've always thought they were a bad idea.

I agree with Friends of the Earth and ask you to do the following:

Recommend as part of your Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) That the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) That the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Increase the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Abraham Raheer
1275 41st Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Michelle Miranda
1440 Jose Ave. Apt. 306
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dana Slawson
1512 S Curson Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jeannette Glynn
1320 Addison St.
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jon Bleier
3926 Wabaska Dr
San Diego
San Diego, CA 92107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Aaron Buckley
2021 Bacon St.
San Diego , CA 92107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

cecelia duran
1030 la breda
covina, CA 91722

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Margaretha Derasary
7281 Sayre Drive
Oakland, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California. I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Loni Sipes
4201 52nd Street
Sacramento, CA 95820

916-455-5451

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

joan carter
1001 jane
sanluisobispo, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victor Carmichael
5005 Palmetto Ave
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victor Carmichael
5005 Palmetto Ave
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cindy Richardson
Winona Ave.
San Diego, CA 92120

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Len Carella
3874 Sacramento St
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dylan Nguyen
555 Willow Ave.
Milpitas, CA 95035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Ludlum
4006 Suter Street
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Knight
134 W. Palm St.
Altadena, CA 91001-4338

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Novasic
205 2nd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

J.E. Airey
P.O. Box 239
El Verano, CA 95433

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bob Stewart
2925 Evergreen Ave
Arcata, , CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert and Judith larka
1927 North Harrison Avenue
Fresno, CA 93740

559 840-0521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Sutton
1100 Chantilly Road
Los Angeles, CA 90077-2620

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Watwood
529 Sioux Lane
San Jose, CA 95123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michele Martinez
23185 Santa Clara Street
Hayward, CA 94541

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thora Nelson
6644 Glade Ave., 235
Woodland Hills, CA 91303

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Albert Valencia
15542 Cabot Circle
A13
Huntington Beach, CA 92647-2802

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Adriana Rodriguez
618 Eastside Ave
Santa Ana, CA 92701

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jane Maxwell
1803 Dwight Way
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frank Arce
1263 Pennsylvania Ave.
#13
San Diego, CA 92103-4431

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erika Lee
242 Evelyn Dr.
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Janet Drew
p.o. box 162
Santa Rosa, CA 95402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I have opposed the concept of nuclear energy from the beginning. I am horrified that my initial fears have more than been confirmed.

I believe that the old nuclear plants are highly dangerous and the idea of building new ones is a toxic miscalculation and puts at risk future generations and the planet itself.

The old reactors must be closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy. Additional plants must NOT be considered.

In agreement with Friends of the Earth, I

1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.

2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Please make out state an example of responsible governing and move our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

joan cominos
1945 Lakeview Place

Martinez, CA 94553-6407

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ron and Lynn Laupheimer
45 Ashbury Terrace
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Peter Bedard
5515 Marmion Way
Los Angeles, CA 90042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patti Hewitt
4936 Telegraph Road
Ventura, CA 93003-4136

(214) 750-8111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Columbia
7712 Bruce Way
Bakersfield, CA 93306-4952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Gingrich
5775 Vine Hill Rd
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roxwell Hafdahl
27409 Paseo Sienna
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Flurry Dowe
7821 Linda Vista Rd #32
San Diego, CA 92111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Oldham
2712 Verde Vista Dr
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Lara Wright, MD
1302 Albina Ave. Unit A
Unit A
Berkeley, CA 94706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine Melvin
virgil place
L.A., CA 90210-1131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Straussburg
346 Virginia Street #5
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

How can we just blithely go about business-as-usual and pretend that, somehow in some indefinable way, our reactors are immune to the problems of age and deterioration from which they now ALL suffer?

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Hull-Richter
P.O. Box 11062
Santa Ana, CA 92711

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

No nukes. What are you trying to do, kill us all? There is no room on this planet for nuclear energy. Shut down San Onofre and Diablo and clean up Humboldt Bay and Livermore Labs, ASAP. And double check, triple check PG&E's nuclear records before you start finding week seams in reactors too.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Lawrence
PO Box 800
Palo Cedro, CA 96073

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jonathan Atleson
34101 MacMillan Way
Fremont, CA 94555

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anita Meyer
5459 Lindley Ave
Tarzana, CA 91356

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sondra Boes
1640 Manton Ct.
Campbell, CA 95008-5123

4083799027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Mann Valentine
909 Apricot Ave #E
Campbell, CA 95008

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ed Costello
620 E Channel Rd
Santa Monica, CA 90402

310-230-1581

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Helene Turcotte
3 Wolfe Ave
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Bigelow
349 Corbett Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dorothy Nirenstein
403 Woodland road
Kentfield, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

George Yonge
1603 Pole Line Rd
Davis, CA 95618

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amber Strickland
1251 5th Avenue Dr
Kingsburg, CA 93631

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Leanne Friedman
1576 Drake Dr.
Davis, CA 95616-0853

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Santone
253 Summerford Circle
San Ramon, CA 94583

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rafael Canton
1696 Pelican Ave.
Ventura, CA 93003-6200

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

stephen lysaght
88 el gavilan
orinda, CA 94563

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carey Hauser
11434 Calvert
N. Hollywood, CA 91606-4155

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ROn Russell
412 Granite
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ken Wertz
154 Springdale Way
Emerald Hills, CA 94062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jared Mabie
514 Jade Ln
Palm Springs, CA 92264

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark and Cynthia Rosin
832 Noerth Sweetzer avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. A man-made catastrophe, and these two facilities are acidrnts waiting to happen, should not be a major ingredient in my retirement years, nor in anyone elses future; and, we are sitting on a powder keg.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am deeply concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose critical similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for immediately moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors and there potential devastation.

Mr. Dave White
3540 Sky Haven Ln
Oceanside, CA 92056-4802

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Denise Long
106 Sunnyside Ave
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dolores Arond
16809 Marilla St.
Northridge, CA 91343-1743

(818) 893-9691

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Lesa Chan
9720 Oak Leaf way
GrNITE BAY, CA 95746

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Smith
670 tanglewood ct
Lake Forest, CA 94966

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Debbi Payne
216 Walnut St. Apt B
Petaluma, CA 94952

707 763-5398

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patrice catanio
Utah Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Pat Reese
242 Jefferson Parkway
Fort Worth, TX 76107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Goldberg
4040 Grand View Blvd #30
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Neil Tangri
374 12th Ave
#1
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maureen Pinto
PO box 202
Mill Valley, CA 94942

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eileen Siedman
12 Lomita Drive
Mill Valley, CA 94941/1402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ronald Partridge
6490 Twin Circle Lane #3
Simi Valley, CA 93063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Decker
9738 Settle Road
Santee, CA 92071

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard DicKard
13747 Moonshine Road
Camptonville, CA 95922

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Monaster
13700 Marina Pointe Drive #725
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Apostolos Kozompolis
1528 Grant Street
Berkeley, CA 84703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Barron
55 Quail Dr
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. KL Matlock
895 E. Jackson St
San Jose, CA 95112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rachel M Hervey PHN Hervey PHN
9215 Tassajara Cr Rd
Santa Margarita, CA 93453

805 48 4460

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Andersson
1521 N Topanga Cyn
Topanga, CA 90290

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine Henry
1300 Park St.
Montara, CA 94037

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hope Boije
6751 Longwalk Dr
Oakland, CA 94611

(510) 531-9160

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Clara Bellino
1423 Central
Alameda, CA 94510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Clement
4805 N. Henton Ave.
Covina, CA 91724

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

penny mcneil
404 culver bvd

1

playa del rey, CA 90293

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carolyn Rhazi
26901 Soria Circle
Mission Viejo, CA 92691

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Saum
2363 Glacier Place
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Rosenthal
359 Cascade Drive
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Kuzma
7 Captains Cove
Oakland, CA 94618

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maria Marotti-Ceder
1002 E Canon Perdido St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edward Doyle
42335 Washington St. Ste. F #146
42335 Washington St. Ste F #146
Palm Desert, CA 92211-8031

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Greg Robson
2124 Charlemagne Avenue
Long Beach, CA 90815-2929

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

milad hakimbashi
9675 geneesee
apt d2
san diego, CA 92121

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mike Lewis
61711 Yucca Rd
Mountain Center, CA 92561

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith Little
123 Barley Lane
Arcata, CA 95521

7078228824

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Yosh Yamanaka
4601 Alla Road
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

debbie crail
306 Garrard St.
Covington, KY 41011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Barber
1316 Palm Drive
Burlingame, CA 94010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Tredo
4823 Patricia Dr.
Eureka, CA 95503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Frank Aeschliman
1320 East 56th Street
Long Beach, CA 90805

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Curtis Selph
1027 Caperton St E
Lancaster, CA 93535

661-945-0946

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Cooper-Keil
21791 Summerwind Lane
HUNTINGTN BCH, CA 92646

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Benjamin Etgen
3600 Whitney Ave
Sacramento, CA 95821-3128

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Gallegos
2415 Marti Rae Ct
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frank L Hill
11509 Hatteras Street
North Hollywood, CA 91601-1623

(818) 763-6542

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Ross
P.O. box 7543
Santa Cruz, CA 95061

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jay Rice
72 Holstrom Circle
Novato, CA 94947

4158977227

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey Hoffman
3144 Broadway
Eureka, CA 95501

707.268.1203

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Raymond Welch
3249 Del Paso Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95815

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Timothy Shanahan
10470 Falcon Avenue
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-7412

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diane Perea
1733 Capistrano Ave
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ralph Bocchetti
11856 Terracina Lane
Fontana, CA 92337

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Crowell
1001 Shoreline Dr #304
El Sobrante, CA 94803-2760

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deb Stanton
P.O. Box 5742
Santa Barbara, CA 93150

(805) 966-3615

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jeff holmquist
55 pinon dr
Bishop, CA 93514

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marie Walker
264 Howes Drive
Los Gatos, CA 95032

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Mew
605 Michael Lane
Lafayette, CA 94549

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sean Monahan
8251 Bellingham Ave
N Hollywood, CA 91605

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

California, reputed "Athens of the West", needs to promote an INTERNATIONAL SLOW ENERGY MOVEMENT!

The world attempting to solve "URGENT" energy needs with NUCLEAR generation is too much like a person solving a diarrhea/urgent need to defecate during the night ---- by shitting in bed.

WITH BOTH, YOU END UP WITH A HORRIBLE MESS TO CLEAN UP....

only NO ONE WILL BE LEFT/around long enough to clean up 25,000+ years of a radiated planet!

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion

cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hercules D. Morphopoulos, DDS
267 Arlington Av,
Kensington, CA 94707-1400

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Kevin Branstetter
1285 Cerro Vista Dr
Applegate, CA 95703

209-334-6164

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

vicki berkofsky
833 ocean ave
santa monica, CA 90403

310 - 393-1845

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sundae Shields
289 riverpark blvd, apt 202
oxnard, CA 93036-7609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margo Ragland
2215 10th Street
Berkeley, CA 94710

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Shih
2636 Grand Avenue #109
San Diego, CA 92109-4829

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

BJ Zimmerman
PO Box 620332
Woodside, CA 94062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gustavo Sandoval
505 Los Gatos Way
San Mateo, CA 94403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Adrienne Kligman
447 Foxen Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hanson Quan
355 Yale Avenue
Kensington, CA 94708-1145

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steele Bennett
488 Manzanita Drive
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

cathy harrington
po box 558
boulder creek, CA 95006

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

michael phillips
1032 irving street
san francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tom Fray
304-C 17th St
Huntington Beach, CA 92648-4231

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Russell Long

29

san Francisco, CA 94123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jane Merkel
833 Everding Street
Eureka, CA 95503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leslie Cooper
PO Box 124
Chico, CA 95927

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Marie Changus
324 Belvedere Street
La Jolla, CA 92037

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

chris flook
10610 red dog rd
nevada city, CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Leslie Sheridan
4246 Mullen Ave
Clearlake, CA 95422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anna Boyiazis
7542 West 89 Street
Los Angeles, CA 90045

310 374 4488

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

LARRY MAYFIELD
444 1/2 MCPEAK
444 1/2 MCPEAK
Ukiah, CA 95482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

MJ Pramik
1940 Baker Street
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

We have had our warning and it is time to move California toward a a future free of nuclear reactors.

Jana Lane
11 Hillcrest Court
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sebastian Martin
17 Masonic Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth watts
2030 Santa Clara St.
Richmond, CA 94804

510-528-0369

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Guy Zahller
146 Creek Drive, #C
#C
Aptos, CA 95003-4577

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Philip Madruga
1216 W. 6th St.
Hanford, CA 93230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judy Ransom
9420 Mt. View Dr.
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marsha L. Jarvis
512 Kenmare Ct
Pinole, CA 94564

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

julie svendsen
4335 w. sarah st.
burbank, CA 91505-3837

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I would like to urge you to consider Germany's example and draft a strategy to replace California's nuclear reactors by renewable energy sources. With the right policies this could be done by 2020, using distributed energy sources.

Chris Mentzel
4551 Lurline
Canoga Park, CA 91306

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

alex butler
1546 ellsmere ave
los angeles, CA 90019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barry Cutler
40797 Schafer Place
Palm Desert, CA 92211

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Matthew Carreira
838 Shrader Street Apt B
San Francisco, CA 94117-2315

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Holly Ward
4021 Alameda Drive
San Diego, CA 92103-1607

619-298-2430

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Edward Maupin
3340 Sixth Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103-5706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eric Bayon
2022 Cirone Way
San Jose, CA 95124-1402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick Ten Eyck
538 Via De La valle
Solana Beach, CA 92075

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carolyn De Mirjian
13534 Delano St
Valley Glen, CA 91401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I was profoundly disturbed nuclear reactor disaster in Japan as they melted down. They contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forced tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. Even at that the Japanese government continues to conceal the true scope of the problems.

I do NOT want a repeat of this catastrophe in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks, and both have spotty safety records. They are also perched directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines cheek by jowl with major population and agricultural areas, so we have multiple disasters waiting to happen.

While Energy Commission weighs California's energy future it's essential to make every effort to ensure close and replace these reactors with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

The Energy Commission needs to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors. I live near one of these reactors and I am most familiar with the safety problems at San Onofre.

Mr. Richard DiMatteo
236 Kalmia St., #107
#107
San Diego, CA 92101

(619)234-0236

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michal Lynch
411 W Anapamu St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

BB Nibbom
PO Box 893
Del Mar, CA 92014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

walter brown
2040 rochelle rd
palm springs, CA 92262

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marianne Hoegl
3458 Primera Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90068

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Becky Hampton
16511 Wilton Pl.
Torrance, CA 90504

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Sholtz
843 Richmond St
El Cerrito, CA 94530

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Rebecca Gaynor
4905 Gamwood Ln
Butte Valley, CA 95965

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Remy
38 Miller Ave PMB 402
Mill Valley, CA 94941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

gary paris
garyparis@mac.com
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Tricia Philipson
2344 Saddleback Drive
Danville, CA 94506-3117

925-820-1865

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Helen Harris
59005 Soquel Dr. #300
Soquel, CA 95073

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Zimoski
13610 Emelita St.
Valley Glen, CA 91401

818-424-2059

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Daniel Epstein
1624 Landa Street
Los Angeles, CA 90026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey N. McMahan
1430 Amherst Ave. #10
Los Angeles, CA 90025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

daniel penunuri
9134 walnut st
bellflower, CA 90706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephen Johnson
5109 Clairemont Mesa Blvd
#201
San Diego, CA 92117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

RACHEL CLARKE-ROBERTS
3252 LEMMONS ST
RIVERBANK, CA 95367

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marinell Daniel
4070 La Colina Road
El Sobrante, CA 94803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Randy Prine
1334 Knolls Creek Drive
danville, CA 94506

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Macarena Pereda
Pine Blvd 4012
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

roxanna galvan
1957 81st Ave
Oakland, CA 94621-2031

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leno Sislin
PO BOX 39817
Los Angeles, CA 90039

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ross Snyder
7355 Balboa Rd.
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Kimbrough
5129 Goodland ave
Valley Village, CA 91607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

char laughon
236 fifth st.
montara, CA 94037

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lindsey Myers
PO Box 531
Twain Harte, CA 95383

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

greta langmead
PO Box 59
Santa Monica, CA 90406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chris Pincetich
10B Tomasini Canyon Rd
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Angela Muñoz
268 Euclid Ave. #7
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jolene edwards
519 aspen
visalia, CA 93291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

shannon buddes
23856 Box Cyn Rd
West Hills, CA 91304

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Siobhan Miura
7717 Doneva Ave.
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-7313

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

The question is are we Californians smart enough to learn from our Japanese friends tragedy or are we ignorant fools who will run these reactors until we contaminate our corner of Earth? Let's follow the Germans and realize a future with no nukes. I have used solar power on my roof for over 8 years. We don't need Nukes, and I want to think you public servants know this.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Yoav Getzler
5934 Carpenter Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Norma LaTuchie
1503 S Hayworth Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Simpson
4902 Avoca Street
Los Angeles, CA 90041

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sarah luth
1045 Meade Ave
San Diego, CA 92116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christopher McMullen
400 Nelson St., #D
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420-3448

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Wallace
124 Rosea dr.
Aptos, CA 95003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amy Pierre
138 Monte Cresta Ave. #201
Oakland, CA 94609

5106581282

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet Kennington
2258 Beverly Glen Place
Los Angeles, CA 90077-2506

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rev. Christian Colvin
199 Fremont
San Francisco, CA 94105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Arnold
Box 573
Penryn, CA 95663

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Kersey
220 Mar Vista Dr. #88
Aptos, CA 95003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alex Zukas
5615 Spartan Drive
San Diego, CA 92115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Gabriel Sheets
1620 Shirley St
Merced, CA 95341

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Twyla Meyer
244 Hickory Ave.
244 Hickory Ave.
Pomona, CA 91767

9095930766

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Charles Siegel
2140 Shattuck Ave.
Suite 2122
Berkeley, CA 94704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith May
1329 5th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jerry Cecere
105 Lost Lake Ct
105 Lost Lake Ct
Folsom, CA 95630-7105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kanwaldeep Singh
1063 MORSE AVE
SUNNYVALE, CA 94089-1679

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Torrisi
2126 Ardmore Ave
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

deirdre brownell
333 andover dr. apt. 108
burbank, CA 91504

(818) 843-3986

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Julie Higgins
10801 Gurley Lane
PO Box 1562
Mendocino, CA 95460

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet Liss
3530 Monogram Av
Long Beach, CA 90808

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Stan Fitzgerald
8699 Lomas AZules Place
San Jose, CA 95135-2129

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Genny Lim
1310 Fillmore st.
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

We have safe energy technology at our disposal - solar, windmill, etc. It is the height of folly to have nuclear energy plants on a earthquake site. Can we not learn from Japan?

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

P. Allee
11621 1/2 Moorpark
N. Hollywood, CA 91602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

janice sporrang
311 nicoli lane
sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janisse Ponte
305 Santa Louisa
Irvine, CA 92606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey Beckers
1529 Leimert Blvd
Oakland, CA 94602-1925

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick Ohren
2719 San Mateo Street
Richmond, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Caroline Bergdolt
1546B Pershing Drive
San Francisco, CA 94129

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

carol beatty
1515 hidden terrace court
santa cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mike kappus

2

san Francisco, CA 94116

(415) 753-1687

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gail Thibault
13237 Chandler Blvd.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

D Schneps
326 4th ave
Ia, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathleen Petty
125 South M Street
Lompoc, CA 93436

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Camile Getter
4441 G Street
Sacramento, CA 95819

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

DALE Nesbitt
1712 Marin Ave
1712 Marin Ave
Berkeley, CA 94707-2206

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Liz Johnson
908 Fillmore ST
ALbany, CA 94706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marvin Sawyer
57929 Canterbury Street
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Flannigan
27922 Avenida Cuaderno
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Richard H McCombs
P.O. Box 9
Big Bear City, CA 92314

(909) 585-5030

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Matthew Lindner
133 Eureka Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

408-624-7807

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Calahan Miller
1335 1/2 Roxbury Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sara Syer
22 Roanoke
San Francisco, CA 94131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Lael Jackson
Box 424
Del Mar, CA 92014-3503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marian Cruz
905 Helen Dr.
Hollister, CA 95023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joel Hawthorne
148 Spruce Parkway
Oroville, CA 95966

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nuala Creed
Kentucky
petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Paul
707 Idaho Ave
#208
Santa Monica, CA 90403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

NANCY GARCIA
16542 FERNHAVEN
HAC HTS, CA 91745-3708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Bev A Huntsberger
3030 El Nido Dr
Altadena, CA 91001

(626) 398-5607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

melvin taylor
6585 Calvine Road
Sacramento, CA 95823

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rebecca Vlastelica
P.O. 356
Bodega Bay, CA 94923

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jo Ann Toro
8724 Simmons Rd.
Redding, CA 96001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

patricia Lesire
4570 Winona Av
san diego, CA 92115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Essex
1481 Lake Hills Dr.
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anne Montagna
Canon Drive
Los Gatos, CA 95030-2937

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Matthew Iribarne
735 Balboa Street # 5
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elaine Genasci
462 Chorro
SLO., CA 93405-2320

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Martha Herrero
153 The Masters Circle
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer, resident and voter, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Groff
225 Michelle Drive
Campbell, CA 95008-1719

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gerry Cunningham
24157 Via Primavera
Murrieta, CA 92562

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Martha Herrero
153 The Masters Circle
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Helen Wooll
115 Blossom Circle #2K
#2k
San Mateo, CA 94403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Cagley
2933 Prado lane
Davis, CA 95618

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gilda Fusilier
6221 Riverside Blvd.
4
Sacramento, CA 95831

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Daniel Bartley
PO Box 13294
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carla Neal
11893 Cranberry
Madera, CA 93636-8738

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

eric meyers
20134 Runnymede #33
Canoga Park, CA 91306-4604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Wayne

xxx

San Bernardino, CA 92407-4671

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Beverly Brady
530 Amigo Road #G
Soquel, CA 95073

831 464 2840

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Clarke
5105 Countryvale Drive
Salida, CA 95368

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Foss
2435 Colusa St.
Pinole, CA 94564-1501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster.
 - 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
 - 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors.
 - 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.
- Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edward Oberweiser
19244 Benson Ln.
Fort Bragg, CA 95437-8256

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Younkin
8556 Woodpecker Ave
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

7149627425

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. David Walker
907 Vista Del Rio
Santa Maria, CA 93458

805 349-0755

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Remember: Nuclear energy has NO future in California, not now, not ever!

Ms. Wilma Ralls
1352 Oak View Circle #111
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

(707) 206-0773

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jeff Zittrain
1332 Russell St.
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carla Neal
11893 Cranberry
Madera, CA 93636-8738

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Antony Mazzotta
hollister
glendale, CA 91206

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victoria Shorr
16531 Akron
pacific palisades, CA 90272

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Wilkins
210 S. Willow Av., #120
Fresno, CA 93727

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cornelius Kooiman
647 San Dieguito Dr. #5
Encinitas, CA 92024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

JOHN LLOYD
PO BOX 99214
EMERYVILLE, CA 94662

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water, and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the Federal Government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anita Eblé
19 Oakvale Avenue
Berkeley, CA 94705

510-841-5286

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tony Vetrano
928 Bell Street
Sacramento, CA 95825-7543

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Astrid Preston
920 Centinela Avenues
Santa Monica, CA 90403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Morrison
3950 Via Real, #133
Carpinteria, CA 93013

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

matt fisher
marmion way
los angeles, CA 90065

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cynthia McPeak
P.O.Box 752
Point Arena, CA 95468

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

In the interests of full disclosure, I did not personally compose the following, but I certainly agree with every word. As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wendy Scott
3008 Willetts Way
Ceres, CA 9

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Broughton
980 Terracina Dr.
Santa paula, CA 93060-1325

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Harry Blumenthal
2773 Avery Lane
Eureka, CA 95501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Peterson
229 Sherman Drive
Scotts Valley, CA 95066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors. Nuclear energy is not clean energy. The waste generated is one of the dirtiest most harmful materials that can be produced. And our old California reactors are a disaster waiting to happen. Please do the right thing. Close the reactors. Look to other more viable and clean energy sources.

Ms. Rosemary Noellert
2822 Sandpointe Drive
McKinleyville, CA 95519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Friedman
490 Pebble Drive
EL SOBRANTE, CA 94803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lee Smith
Rt. 4, Box 645A
California Hot Springs, CA 93207-9712

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Irene E Dunny
17819 Sun Walk Ct.
San Diego, CA 92127

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tim Hanson
223 Strand St. Apt. F
Santa Monica, CA 90405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wind, solar, hydro,

Jill Brewer
1431-1/2 Silver Lake Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marisa Strange
225 Belmont Avenue, #C
Long Beach, CA 90803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sylvia Lewis Gunning
616 Indian Wells Lane
Thousand Oaks, CA 91320

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Packer
9132 Hillsboro Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vaughn Hosmann
10019 Cedar Street
Bellflower, CA 90706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephen Rebello
3923 W 9th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Galloway Albright
3502 GARDEN AVE
LOS ANGELES, CA 90039-1922

3035947283

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bruce McGraw
4127 Ibis Street
San Diego, CA 92103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Joanne Harkins
2339 Walnut Ave
VENICE, CA 90291

310313-9177

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gregory Ellis
P.O. Box 150
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roger Brown
7590 Bately
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Rummel
1340 N Poinsettia Place Suite 208
Suite 208
Los Angeles, CA 90046-8200

323 854-2381

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ann Rushton
4159 Stansbury Ave
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-4621

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Matt Woolery
8529 bVilla La Jolla Dr Unit D
Unit D
La Jolla, CA 92037

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kivi Neimi
1636 Haslam Ter
LA, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

IT'S TIME WE FOCUS ON ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND LET THAT BE THE GEAR TO GET OUR ECONOMY RUNNING AGAIN AND OUR FUTURE SAFE!

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jayne pitchford
1144 12
santa monica, CA 90403

310-393-1046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rebecca Diaz
2725 Vicente St.
San Francisco, CA 94116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Samuel Dicker
1072 Folsom St, Apt 453
Apt 453
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judy Black
19160 Junipero Serra Dr.
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonita R Hughes
2322 Shattuck Ave #406
Berkeley, CA 94704

510-548-8332

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marguerite Smukler
55 Maryland
Berkeley, CA 94707

5105260177

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Lindsay Mugglestone
3023 Deakin St.
Berkeley, CA 94705

510-334-6544

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonnie D. Miller
640 Colusa Ave
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tami Armitage
12854 Landale St
Studio City, CA 91604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marcia flannery
363 40th st.
oakland, CA 94609-2608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

MichaelEric Lerner
1671 Marina Way
San Jose, CA 95125-5524

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Counihan
4272 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Guise
11965 Montana Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90049-5039

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gunhild Ellerbe
3828 Steve Lillie Cir
Stockton, CA 95206-5138

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dawn Gifford
4560 North Ave #4
San Diego, CA 92116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine Curtis
212 26th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Glenn Brownton
4272 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Terri Owen
210 13th avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Edward Taylor
708 Pico Blvd. #401
Santa Monica, CA 90405-6326

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tracey Faleofa
1846 Park Circle Dr.
Lancaster, CA 93535

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey Kline
1221 Mariner Dr.
San Francisco, CA 94130

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rifka Hirsch
221-B Indianapolis Ave
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kiko wanger
12820 woodbridge
sturio city, CA 91604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Manoj Mate
305 Santa Louisa
Irvine, CA 92606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jean donnelly
228 cedar st.
pacific Grove, CA 93950

(831) 372-3599

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paula Phillips
742 Lupine Drive
San Marcos, CA 92078

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Lorbetske
250 N. Naomi St.
Burbank, CA 91505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Monte Leach
1228 26th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Alice Polesky
890 Kansas Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Emily Alma
2300 Estes Road,
Chico, CA 95928

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Nat Sandee Childs
P.O. Box 511
Miranda, CA 95553

(707) 943-3691

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Garold Faber
1220 Cypress Point Lane
Ventura, CA 93003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret A DeMott
P.O. Box 221309
Sacramento, CA 95822-8309

(209) 572-1765

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diana Nichols
2 Mt. Devon Rd.
Carmel, CA 93924

831-625-1839

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Scully
3607 Chadsworth Way
Sacramento, CA 95821

9164895486

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Dorsey
PO Box 3
Willow Creek, CA 95573

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Denise D'Anne
351 Guerrero St.
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jill Davine
4047 La Salle Avenue
Culver City, CA 90232

310-999-9999

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nicole Slaton
5249 El Cemente Ave
Davis, CA 95618

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bryce Beal
40 Elmhurst Dr
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Helen Wooll
115 Blossom Circle #2K
#2k
San Mateo, CA 94403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Aimee Wyatt
1014 Magnolia Ave.
Long Beach, CA 90813

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kellie scott
910 n sycamore
hollywood, CA 90038

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ernest Canning
2911 Parkview Drive
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karyn Gil
1518 54th Street
Sacramento, CA 95819

(916) 505-9804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ryan Farnsworth
40693 Cartier
Murrieta, CA 92563

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jean Cannon
PO Box 426
Palo Cedro, CA 96073

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kathy bilicke
1550 sunset plaza drive
los angeles, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jeffrey R lapic
156 s ridgewood rd
kentfield, CA 94904

(415) 461-7105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet Olson
5215 Bennett Valley Ln.
Glen Ellen, CA 95442-8802

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Jessica Fielden
6620 Woodland Pl
Oakland, CA 94611

5106586455

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Peters
52 Meadow Avenue
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

I would say, 'Not in MY neighborhood!', but it's more like, NOT ON MY PLANET.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Aggie Lukaszewski
535 Bellevue
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dency Nelson
2415 Silverstrand Avenue
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-2664

(310) 374-4543

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

alison taylor
9143 St. Ives Dr.
LA, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rogers Turrentine
311 S Horne St
Oceanside, CA 92054

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

raquel brac
151 judson st.
redlands, CA 92374

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jolie Witten-Hannah
2030 N Pacific Ave unit 225
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michelle Palladine
471 E Tahquitz Canyon
palm springs, CA 92262

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wayne Johnson
4320 - 19th Street
San Francisco, CA 94114-2417

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ian Micklewright
301 Hugo Street, Apt. 3
Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94122

(415) 902-0264

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charleen Steeves
3130 Schweitzer Dr.
Topanga, CA 90290

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Rocha
653 Hidden Lakes DR
Martinez, CA 94553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Anne Fusco
50 Del Mar Ave
Berkeley, CA 94708-2058

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ronald L Warren
3041 E Chevy Chase Dr
Glendale, CA 91206

(810) 841-0756

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Richard Blain
43447 Calle Carabana
43447 Calle Carabana
Temecula, CA 92592

951-302-9141

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Lee
983 E 7th Street
Chico, CA 95928

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

danielle o'terry
13412 burbank blvd
van nuys, CA 91401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. The commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Helen Hanna
183 Gifford Way
Sacramento, CA 95864 6907

916 486-1670

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Brophy
653 Cantara lane
Vista, CA 92081

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rebecca Ray
1060 S 3rd St #146
San Jose, CA 95112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Tim Hayes
1504 Dudley Place
Santa Rosa, CA 95401-4526

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

M French
2509 Lancaster Court
Hayward, CA 94542

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chris Evans
6 grand rio cir
Sacramento, CA 95826

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Holger Bartz
3246 Utah Street
San Diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

celia cratch
366 lupine way
ventura, CA 93001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cheri Leslie
607 Palms Blvd.
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

There is NO reason at all why these reactors should not be shut down! I cant believe they are still working and not being ended. We cant have a blowout. We just cant it is not fair to the people of ANY country when their government disregards their public safety and health to such an insanely high extent.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ashley Merz
4803 Scotts Valley Dr.
Scotts Valley, CA 95066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Maria Luisa Alfaro
3377 Old San Jose Road
Soquel, CA 95073

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Lindsay Mugglestone
3023 Deakin St.
Berkeley, CA 94705

510-334-6544

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Pamela Magathan
2401 Holly Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90068-2713

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donald Krotser
5260 Hermosa Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90041

3232553809

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. janet maker
925 malcolm av
los angeles, CA 90024

3104704482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Nick Shestople
PO Box 891551
Temecula, CA 92589

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carole Gonsalves
1497 Los Rios Drive
San Jose, CA 95120

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diane Trautman
27665 Myrin Ct
Santa Clarita, CA 91350

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dan/Paula Fogarty
5423 Yerba Buena Rd.
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Harris
5448 1/2 Village Green
Los Angeles, CA 90016

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tom Mugglestone
3023 Deakin St.
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victoria Lewis
1370 Sanchez Street
San Francisco, CA 94131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lois Downing
2513 Grove Way #143
Castro Valley, CA 94546

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Preston Craig
7451 Ostrom Ave.
Lake Balboa, CA 91406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gloria Hulbert
3056 Lucinda Lane
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2002

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eugene Kravis
Box 665
Seiad Valley, CA 96086-0665

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lonna richmond
45 sunset way
muir beach, CA 94965

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Joanne Alvergue
219 W Buchanan Rd Apt 174
Apt 174
Pittsburg, CA 94565

925-956-8693

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

benji bard
2105 HEATHER LN
ARCATA, CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Grossman
2063 Byron
Palo Alto, CA 94301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rick t
1207 Horn
west hollywood, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Kelley
939 York Street
San Francisco, CA, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Larry Farwell
2476 San Marcos Pass Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-9720

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charlene O'Rourke
1170 Marionola Way
Pinole, CA 94564

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

K Mueller
50 Quail Crossing
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kim L Rudnick
6308 Rancho Mission Rd.
San Diego, CA 92108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Joseph Vella
3614 Porter Gulch Road
Aptos, CA 95003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Paul Bechtel
734 Cajon Street
Redlands, CA 92373

9097922553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Natalie Alexander
707 Cantor
Irvine, CA 92620

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

scott alan
383 third st # 207
laguna beach, CA 92651

949-494-5229

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Valerie Guinan
22330 Homestead Rd., #211
Cupertino, CA 95014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Kusaba
18419 burin ave
redondo beach, CA 90278

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fran Pletschet
4356 Everett Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Aparna Bakhle
6161 Glen Alder St.
Los Angeles, CA 90068-2269

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hilery Owens
2804 46th Street
San Diego, CA 92105-4465

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Randall Esperas
22330 Homestead Rd., #211
Cupertino, CA 95014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frank Seewester
1929 New Jersey St.
Fairfield, CA 94533

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Bessire
13801 Shirley #46
GARDEN GROVE, CA 92843

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen and putting tax paying citizens at mortal risk.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leslie Adams
118 Nicholl Ave.
Pt. Richmond, CA 94801-3921

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine George
1836 Locust Street
Napa, CA 94559

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Varga
301 West 2nd Street #308
Santa Ana, CA 92701

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beth Tessler
275 Voyager Dr
Vallejo, CA 94590

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robin VanTassell
335 North San Pedro Road
San Rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chung-Wei Chan
1590 Clarkspur Lane
San Jose, CA 95129

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dorian P Sarris
369 Broadway #301
San Francisco, CA 94133

(415) 651-1102

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mija Gentes
Oak PI
#208
Saratoga, CA 95070

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jordon Krain
3625 Watseka Ave
Apt 2
Los Angeles, CA 90034

2138641516

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Francine Morgano
5050 La Jolla Blvd Apt 2E
San Diego, CA 92109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Melinda Zarrett
628 Arguello Blvd.
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

david batt
2-4 bonne esperance
St. Thomas, CA 94609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kelly Coleman
4430 Lime Ave.
Long Beach, CA 90807

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Jumonville
260 Fifth St.
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jane Lyon
779 Lingel Drive
El Cajon, CA 92019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jorge Nolasco
1036 S Riverside Ave
Rialto, CA 92376

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hilda Kahn
6192 Caminito Baeza
San Diego, CA 92122-3436

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Debra Penn
118 Maryland Dr
GRASS VALLEY, CA 95945-5902

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nicholas Hernandez
2600 w la habra blvd #140
la habra, CA 90631

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

ALL GREEN NOW! NO NUKES EVER! DEATH TO NUCLEAR POWER!

In fact FUCK YOU for EVER allowing Nukes.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

GO GO GODZILLA!

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

H GRAY
29033 Dixon St. #35
Hayward, CA 94544

5106909457

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Seeley
3419 Via Lido
Newport Beach, CA 92663

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Showalter
120 Evelyn Way
San Francisco, CA 94127

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

janet bernson
5530 allott avenue
sherman oaks, CA 91401-5221

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Henke
10951 Coventry Pl.
Santa Ana, CA 92705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rae lisker
7 Regulus ct
alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sandra schachter
74 poppy
Carmel Valley, CA 93924

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Kelly
1624 E. Hedges Ave.
Fresno, CA 93728

(559) 442-8417

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mary rojeski
2603 3rd st. #6
SANTA MONICA , CA 90405-4130

(310) 399-4660

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Damon Maguire
1683 Ocean Dr.
McKinleyville, CA 95519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

annette paquet
5131 del mar mesa rd.
s.d., CA 92130 6812

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Klaudia Englund
2077 Hopewell Court
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. richard hardack
640 euclid
berkeley, CA 94708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ross Frankel
4727 W 147th St #246
PO BOX 607
Lawndale, CA 90260

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ruth Cameron
P.O. Box 520
Agoura Hills, CA 91376

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sue Aby
1612 McMahon Rd.
Lakeport, CA 95453

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Francesca Long
2475 39th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Forman
1743 Axenty Way
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lewis Ellingham
3850 18th Street, #306
San Francisco, CA 94114-2653

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nancy cresse
25140 hwy 94
potrero, CA 91963

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Teresa Lees
1491 Bradford Rd.
Cambria, CA 93428

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sherril Stewart
16912 Westwood Lane APT 32
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susannah End
2966 Otis St
Apt D
Berkeley, CA 94703-2539

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lanier Hines
P.O. BOX 590895
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94159-0895

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John M Haines
164 Clipper St.
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

naoko mizuguchi
pobox26263
san diego, CA 92126

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

maria Kindel
8480 Azucena
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ricco bonelli
527 north lucia avenue, unit a
Unit A
redondo beach, CA 90277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Ken Burke
5000 MacArthur Blvd.
Oakland, CA 94613

510-430-3152

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marcia terry
4866 wicopee avenue
los angeles, CA 90041

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

steve morris
6004 Metropolitan Plz
Los Angeles, CA 90036-3271

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chris Robbins
2839 Avenel St.
Los Angeles, CA 90039

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

5) Recommend moving all onsite spent fuel in pools (that require a constant supply of energy to cool and circulate water) is moved to long term dry cask storage as soon as possible.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joe Wolcott
3127 Belden Drive
Hollywood, CA 90068

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

clara barber
5696 Wendy Way
Oroville, CA 95965

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Torsten Unsworth
1421 66th Street
Berkeley, CA 94702

5102852

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wes Holing
1661 11th St #A
Oakland, CA 94607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elaine Holder
274 Cuesta Drive
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ariel spilsbury
po box 1199
woodacre, CA 94973

415-459-6709

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dennis Young
355 Boeker Ave.
Shell Beach, Ca., 93449, CA 93449

805-540-1271

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. nancy walker
623 n. edinburgh ave
los angeles, CA 90048-2311

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barri Rosenblum
7898 Willow Glen Road
Los Angeles, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dara Engel
925 Judah st
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Howard Emerson
PO Box 74
Blue Lake, CA 95525

3045991239

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diane Brazil
3178 Fowler Road
San Jose, CA 95135

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Maryann E LaNew
12 Corte Loarre
None
San Clemente, CA 92673-6520

(949) 218-7794

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

We are not prepared for ANY crisis involving nuclear materials.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sean Murphy
1110 N Verdugo Rd #203
Glendale, CA 91206

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. MC Hagerty
POB 131133
Carlsbad, CA 92013

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Verna Bacon
833 sir francis ave
t833 Sir Francis Ave
Capitola, CA 95010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rima azizkhanian
543 1/2 n. windsor bl.
los angeles, CA 90004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Allie Light
264 Arbor Street
San Francisco, CA 94131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tom & Suzanne? Davis
1415 El Dorado
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephanie Mitchell
10431 Dolecetto Drive
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-3507

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Will Yeager
2508 Stoner Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Rob Seltzer
6465 Kanan Dume Rd.
Malibu, CA 90265

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

gerry collins

2

Murrieta, CA 92563

(951) 302-6289

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California taxpayer, ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, and then the continuing contamination of soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes and the accumulating costs to health, property, environment and business and economy.

Why is California unnecessarily playing Russian Roulette with old, troubled and outdated nuclear reactors. The catastrophic risks in no way outweigh the benefits. And a disaster will not be momentary, the radioactive devastation will continue for generation after generation. Agriculture devastated, tourism devastated, economy devastated, habitat for humans and animals devastated...

I live close to San Onofre, what happened at Fukushima I do NOT want to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen, and the responsibility falls on you to protect lives first.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies starting NOW.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources before 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire. The sooner the better, we have no way of knowing when the next earthquake will strike.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic

vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our seismic and sun-rich state toward a future free of unnecessary dangerous nuclear reactors.

A Pabian
PO Box 6241
Oceanside, CA 92052

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jonathan Sampson
958 Hyland Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leonard Chandler
732 Jasper St
San Jose, CA 95116-3376

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Buhowsky
83 Tahoe Court
San Ramon, CA 94582-4865

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christina Babst
728 N. Doheny Drive
W. Hollywood, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Melody Garratt Pithan
3660 Rocky Point Rd
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

linda boccia
103 garden ave,
san rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ross Dragg
1139 Addison Street # 3
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lily Diamond
5411 Russell Ave Apt 5
Los Angeles, CA 90027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kristina Fukuda-Schmid
11250 Garfield Ave.
Culver City, CA 90230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. April E Ewaskey
PO Box 92674
po bx 92674
Long Beach, CA 90809-2674

(562) 425-2011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Devin McCormick
2156 chianti Drive
Santa rosa, CA 95403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jim Rosvall
3286 Sugarbush Terrace
Vista, CA 92084

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joanna Katz
1307 Channing Way
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Heather Reynolds
847 Freeman Ave
Long Beach, CA 90804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Levine
20569 Cheney Drive
Topanga, CA 90290

3104559389

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Williams
558 Joost Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94127

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Michael PirkI
58401 Road 235
North Fork, CA 93643

909 822-1707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jose Ricardo Ricardo Bondoc
410 Winston Dr., Apt. #104
Apt. #104
San Francisco, CA 94132-1701

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Vickers
2388 40th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

celine nahas
232 E Avenue 41
Los Angeles, CA 90031-1519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

India Rance
18005 Rancho Street
Encino, CA 91316

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Natashja Dewolfe
225 Irving St. #6
San Francisco , CA 94122-2633

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mariana Sartin
Po Box 193
Beaumont, CA 92223

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ron Pagano
2930 Bay Village Circle Apt 1083
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2289

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Brown
19750 Benson Lane
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nasira abdul-aleem
2700 fulton st
berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jerry Peavy
2111 Algonkin Ave.
Chico, CA 95926

(530) 343-4859

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jacob gilbert
6605 tanglewood rd
San diego, CA 92111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sherry Butler
1174 East Ave
Chico , CA 95926

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steve lustgarden
28 Hanover Ct.
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Rose
11874 Nancy Lane
Grass Valley, CA 95945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

pauline schneider
904 marylyn circle
petaluma, CA 94954

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

simant herkins
131 Embarcadero West #3213
Oakland, CA 94607-3763

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vinayak Vinayak
440 S El Cielo Rd
Palm Springs, CA 92262

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I live near Diablo Canyon as do many others due to its close vicinity to San Luis Obispo. I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Westlake-Haenny
1525 See Canyon Road
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

8058012911

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I do NOT want what happened in Japan to occur here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Susan Lynch
732 Greentree Rd.
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine Corwin
2325 Kansas Avenue, #17
Santa Monica, CA 90404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

pauline schneider
904 marylyn circle
petaluma, CA 94954

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Martha and George Robin
2940 Van Ness Avenue #6
San Francisco, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

Moreover, I believe that nuclear is not really "clean energy" as the cost and materials needed to construct and maintain nuclear reactors are not environmentally friendly, especially since those funds should be used to develop renewable energy sources in California such as solar, wind, geothermal and wave power.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jon Ellison
4739 North Aveue
Carmichael, CA 95608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

angie klein
2622 lincoln ave.
California
alameda, CA 94501-3032

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dawn Hoyt
6 Tessera Avenue
Foothill Ranch, CA 92610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms Jessica Wodinsky
12601 Everglade St
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Elyse Ashton
8998 Norma Place
west hollywood
West hollywood, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Neeti Mittal
470 Oak Grove Dr, 211
211
santa clara, CA 95054

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sincerely,

Carol F. Morse

Carol Morse
1726 S Street
Eureka, CA 95501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Ornelas
764 West 2nd Street
San Pedro, CA 90731-2424

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Gail Sabbadini
12509 del sol rd
lakeside, CA 92040

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Ratzlaff
645 Carr Ave
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vishrut Arya
3090 King St
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bruce Grobman
3745 Roland Drive
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marvin cohen
1300 oakmont dr. #7
walnut creek, CA 94595

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

alison taylor
9143 St. Ives Dr.
LA, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

monroe jeffrey
323 e 5th st

perris, CA 92570

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

virginia Mariposa
4708 Chandler
Santa Barbara, CA 93110, CA 93110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Kim Zeller
624 14th St.
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jason palmer
1351 montego # 81
walnut creek, CA 94598

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon b
2625 Lode Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Meijer
2833 W. Avenue N-12
Palmdale, CA 93551

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andy Philpot
1525 Acorn Way
Solvang, CA 93463

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jane Engelsiepen
385 Toro Canyon Road
385 Toro Canyon Road
Carpinteria, CA 93013

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Peter Bodlaender
3040 Silver Lea Terrace
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christine Emmerling
1540 Hicks Ave.
San Jose, CA 95125

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Pool, Esq.
1741 Harbor Way
Seal Beach, CA 90740-5729

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Trista Silvia
3951 Ecochee
San Diego, CA 92117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

DONALD BARRETT
771 AMBROSE DR
SALINAS, CA 93901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Pamela Riggin
6637 Green Gables Ave.
San Diego, CA 92119

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

paulette tansey
4960 sky st
san diego, CA 92110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Ginsberg
121 A Blaine Street
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Though I didn't compose the following words, they represent my concerns and fears as much as if I did.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Madeleine Fisher-Kern
162 South Orange Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90036-3013

3239397878

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Zenker
1375 Sunset Avenue
None
ARCATA, CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sherri Whittenburg
3033 Cleveland Pl
Antioch, CA 94509

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Patricia Davis
615 Santa Ray Avenue
Oakland, CA 94610

510 271 8288

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Molly Schminke
851 Post St. Apt. 9
San Francisco, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurie King
5462 Tradewinds Walkway #2
San Jose, CA 95123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edith Ogella
4868 Rhoads Avenue
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alexander Menza
623 West Balboa Blvd.
true
Newport Beach, CA 92661

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gloria Otero
P. O. Box 204
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-0204

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Stephen Weitz
2757 Best Ave.
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dimitri Balazs
20604 Powder Horn Rd.
Hidden Valley Lake, CA 95467

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick Bernard
230 Oak Springs Drive
San Anselmo, CA 94960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ted Fishman
790 Villa Teresa Way
San Jose, CA 95123-2639

(408) 224-8441

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sophie Barrett
McLaughlin
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

hugh brady
star rte 2663
pt arena, CA 95468

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

hugh brady
star rte 2663
pt arena, CA 95468

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Bernee
12131 Wilsey Way // POB 823
P.O. Box 823
Poway, CA 92064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christine Berger
669 Alma Ave #103
Apt #103
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kay Willens
2706 Best Ave.
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barry Saltzman
319 S. Cloverdale Ave. #204
#9
Los Angeles, CA 90035-3946

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. H Beadman
844 Laveta Terrace
LA, CA 90026-4320

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charles Hochberg
301 Holmes Ranch Rd.
Philo, CA 95466

7078952950

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Dudley and Candace Campbell
13167 Ortley Pl.
Valley Glen, CA 91401

(818) 762-4331

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

CLOSE THE REACTORS. JUST DO IT. NOW!!!!

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

May Ellam
1007 N. Avenue 51
Los Angeles, CA 90042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara F Macdonald
Po Box 701
Woodacre, CA 94973

(415) 488-4929

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Nuclear fission reactors constitute bad technology. they were from the beginning and have proven to be now. Fukushima is only the latest example. As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leonard Mehlmauer
222 Dovary Road
Chula Vista, CA 91910

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Levine
P.O. Box 2278
Castro Valley, CA 94546

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Frederick Reardon
5619 Haskell Ave
Carmichael, CA 95608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Doris Taylor
2822 Freckles Rd.
Lakewood, CA 90712

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

G. Brooks Arnold
30117 Lexington Dr. #3
Val Verde, CA 91384

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gavin Ostrom
511 Encinal Ave.
Roseville, CA 95678

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steve Colton
755 Luton Drive
Glendale, CA 91206

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donald Keeseey
876 Renton Ct
San Jose, CA 95123-2558

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jackson HENDRICK
1903 Temple Avenue
Signal Hill, CA 90755

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonnie StoeHN
221 Bay Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. ALBERTO RAMON
512 Lisa Ct
EL SOBRANTE, CA 94803-1719

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dennis Berman
511 E. San Ysidro Blvd. #327
#309
San Ysidro, CA 92173

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jean-Henry Berevoescu
70 Viking Dr
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Glenn Sanders
740 Morse Ave
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rollin blanton
315 w. 5th st
los angeles, CA 90013

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nancy fleming
po box 955
trinidad, CA 95570

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. jerry persky
859 princeton street
santa monica, CA 90403

310 586-1020

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Penelope Cooper-Kelley
3108 Calle Mariposa
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre may pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- I am concerned that these reactors may be disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are either brought up to serious safety standards or replaced with ones using more modern designs. We're going to need nuclear power as a wedge for getting off fossil carbon, and we can't afford to have a nuclear disaster spooking people away from the newer, safer designs like pebble-bed and thorium reactors.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and carbon-neutral energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of the last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a century-long offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste. (We don't need to store it for thousands of years, just long enough that it becomes efficient to burn the stuff in breeder reactors.)
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future of clean, safe energy.

Max Kaehn
234 N Murphy Ave.
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Alice Neuhauser
1466 11th Street
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Every chance I get I write about and tell people I know about the outdated Diablo Canyon reactors that sit atop a fault. It does not take a seismologist to see that this is a disaster waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mara Schoner
54641 Marion View Dr

Idyllwild, CA 92549

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Thomas Conroy
1466 11th Street
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lukasz Lempart
1269 Lakeside Dr. #3106
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-1042

4158460012

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Schiffman
67970 Seven Oaks Drive
Cathedral City,, CA 92234

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Schiffman
67970 Seven Oaks Drive
Cathedral City,, CA 92234

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bridget Burnham
3471 Maricopa St Apt 21
Torrance, CA 90503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine Murty
2261 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rebecca romanowski
3802 centre street
apt 7
san diego, CA 92103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Bryant
1573 Yardley St.
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Bryant
1573 Yardley St.
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maneesh Kenia
10765 Golf Links Rd
Oakland, CA 94605

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Juliet Johns
18734 Bambi court
Grass Valley, CA 95949

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Megan Ferry
201 W. Broadway, Apt. 512
Apt. 512
Anaheim, CA 92805-3886

909-973-6420

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Craig Antrim
1312 S. Pacific Ave.
San Pedro, CA 90731

(310) 521-8559

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christina Vrooman
3209 west 2nd street
los angeles, CA 90004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a former management employee at PG&E, and as a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. David Rasmussen
8470 Harold Way
Los Angeles, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vladimir Strugatsky
7195 Keating Ave
Sebastopol, CA 95472

(530) 692-1278

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gail Roberts
PO Box841
Tecate, CA 91980

(619) 473-0174

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Having watched in horror as the nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes, I do NOT want that to happen here in California.

I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines --and near major population and agricultural areas-- these reactors have the potential to be as disastrous as those in Japan.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, please ensure these reactors are scheduled for closure and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

As part of the Energy Commission's Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011, please address the following:

- 1) Recommend appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.

- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

- 3) Recommend the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you.

Mark Bartleman
1984 Del Mar Ave

Laguna Beach, CA 92651-3816

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Peha
494 Jones St.
Ventura, CA 93003-4541

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kellie Patzer
3745 Grim Ave
San Diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Heath Heath Elliott
2749 Stoner Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Boehme
1386 Block Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95050

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Denise Wright
745 W. 111th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Danita D. Sorenson
10469 Pleasant Hill Court
Nevada City, CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As California ratepayers and residents, we watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

We do NOT want that to happen here in California, and we are concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, we want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, we would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Sherman
1916 Los Angeles Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94707

5105258340

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shaun Munz
11520 Poppyglen Ct.
Moorpark, CA 93021

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As California ratepayers and residents, we watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

We do NOT want that to happen here in California, and we are concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, we want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, we would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victoria De Goff and family
1916 Los Angeles Avenue
Berk
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

john amodeo
3121 brush st
graton, CA 95444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Christina Babst
728 N. Doehny Drive
W. Hollywood, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carolyn Stallard
222 Hidden Valley Rd
Soquel, CA 95073-9707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Bausch
2628 Prindle Road
Belmont, CA 94002

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Grace Marvin
1621 N. Cherry St.
Chico, CA 95926-3141

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lyn Brophy
2 Cameo Dr
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Albistegui
1024 Eucalyptus Ave.
Vista, CA 92084-6346, CA 92084

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. carol easton
407 Valencia School Road
aptos, CA 95003-9762

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

ONLY SOMEONE CRIMINALLY INSANE WOULD FOIST THE DEATH DEALING
NUCLEAR INDUSTRY ON US..WE DO NOT NEED NUCLEAR...IT KILLS PEOPLE
....WAKE THE HELL UP!...WOULD YOU LIVE NEXT TO A NUCLEAR PLANT?

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Bauman
2020 Albany Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bruce Harman
3120 Capitola Road
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christy Field
PO Box 410
237 E Sherwood Blvd.
Big Bear City, CA 92314-0410

909-907-2565

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their jobs, homes.

You and I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar dire risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering my comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear power reactors.

Dennis Sproull Sproull
5835 E. Cherokee Rd. #106
Stockton, CA 95215-1101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susannah Bair
PO Box 437
PO Box 437
Sunset Beach, CA 90742

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Mendenhall
1856 Castro Way
Sacramento, CA 95818

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

zhila agahi
150 park place dr
petaluma, CA 94954

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I have been researching the repository at Yucca Mt. (which is not presently being considered, I realize) and have come to the conclusion that we already have too much hazardous nuclear waste. Nuclear energy is not where we should be putting our efforts.

We need to put research money and effort into clean renewable technology and stop producing any more nuclear waste. The waste we already have cannot be reprocessed safely and cheaply.

Please read the following link from Union of Concerned Scientists:

http://www.ucsusa.org/nuclear_weapons_and_global_security/nuclear_terrorism/technical_issues/reprocessing-and-nuclear.html

Thank you for your time.

Sherrill A. Lewis
209 Longview Lane
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Howard Moore
4811 59th Street
San Diego, CA 92115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

steven maiken
17950 lassen st
northridge, CA 91325

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Mark Escajeda
859 Santa Maria Way
Lafayette, CA 94549-5154

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert Larsen
1491 Meadow Lane
Concord, CA 94520

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elaine Tanaka
1750 Walnut Street, #102
102
Berkeley, CA 94709

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gregory D Tabat
5002 W McFadden Ave S68
S 68
Santa Ana, CA 92704-1176

(714) 531-0821

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bee Kaplan
000 MAIN ST
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Theresa Vernon
2241 Grahn Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Minor
51555 Monroe Street
Space 12
Indio, CA 92201

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rita Sokolow
3500 S. Barrington Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nicole Amato
5033 Midway Road
Vacaville, CA 95688-9697

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Evin Ollinger
985 Benito Ct.
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

I am personally dissatisfied with the safety of spent fuel storage in the United States, and have observed with concern the proposed fixes that have been presented, especially burial in salt formations in New Mexico.

Do we really want to see nuclear tanker trucks traveling on our freeways daily all over the US? What does Homeland Security think about that?

Do we really want to bury hot nuclear waste in metal canisters in salt, which has a melting point of 1400 degrees F? How do we know that the area will continue to be stable seismically?

I am not sure these questions can be scientifically answered with certainty.

Candace Wegner
1340 Eaton Ave.
San Carlos, CA 94070

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Oscar Gutierrez
1665 Pentecost Way, Unit 5
Unit 5
San Diego, CA 92105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Morry Berman
2157 Vine St.
Los Angeles, CA 90068

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. William Munce
55 Hermann St
San Francisco, CA 94102

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nancy simon
321 D AnacapaSt.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Peter Haslam
1038 Page St. #201
San Francisco, CA 84103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mischa Kandinsky
102 Comstock Lane
Bonny Doon, CA 95060

(831) 426-6756

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathryn Aschheim
79 Florida Ave
Berkeley , CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

debbie walsh
925 windsor st.
santa cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Clover Catskill
1730 Glen Ct.
Pinole, CA 94564

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Ackerman, M.D.
POB 0642
Santa Barbara, CA 93130

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ted Fishman
790 Villa Teresa Way
San Jose, CA 95123-2639

(408) 224-8441

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I DO NOT WANT that to happen here in California, and I am VERY CONCERNED that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre POSE SIMILIAR RISKS. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) REQUIRE that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) REQUIRE that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) REQUIRE that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) REQUIRE INCREASING the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Mark Feldman
137 Winchester Dr
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

(707) 566-8799

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Louise J Bowles
3862 Potomac Ave #4
Los Angeles, CA 90008

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Annie Jalota
14831 Lisbon Ct.
Tustin, CA 92780-6149

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jane august
pob 666
topanga, CA 90290

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

MIKE CLIPKA
1151 SHERRIE CT.
LATHROP, CA 95330

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

anne perkins
329 1/2 Sycamore Rd.
santa Monica, CA 90402, CA 90402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. TIM BROPHY
5055 E CAMINO CIELO RD
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93105-9761

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Thomas
5018 Solus Place
Weed, CA 96094

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frances Goff
5311 Corteen Pl #32
Valley Village, CA 91607

818-980-4235

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tomas Hakanson
7510 E Hurlbut Ave
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sheila goldmacher
2341 Parker St. #8
berkeley, CA 94704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Emily Wright
5615 Berkshire Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90032

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Samuel Case
84 Canyon Road
samuelcase10@comcast.net
28, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

In 1958 ALL mother's milk - worldwide - was contaminated with strontium 90 from nuclear bomb testing; this led to a BAN on above ground nuclear testing. What is needed RIGHT NOW is the shut-down and dismantling of nuclear power plants world-wide, and certainly in California. I was 12 years old in 1958 and lived 45 miles from the Pantex nuclear bomb factory in Texas, where the Geiger counter in my high school was OVER the maximum allowable amount of radiation every second of every year and many people in the area died from bizarre forms of cancer, including two of my grandparents. I also lived three miles from a nuclear power plant in Oregon, and am a liver cancer survivor, my liver cancer being caused in my opinion by overexposure to radiation.

We went through atomic bomb drills in grade school. These 9.0 quakes can happen all over the world. How frightening and stupid is it that the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant in San Luis Obispo County, California, is FOUR BLOCKS from an earthquake fault???

I think we should focus our energies on something we can do something about, which is dismantling our nuclear power plants. Because Los Angeles is about 150 miles from Diablo Canyon and a San Onofre meltdown would affect not only the 14 million people in the Los Angeles Basin but also more millions in Southern, Central, and Northern California – and these plants are much closer to Los Angeles than the six nuclear reactors in Japan are to Tokyo.

We can no longer afford nuclear power and nuclear waste for which there is no safe storage site on this earth. We would be fools if we ignore the damage that a 9.0 earthquake could do to not only the eighth-largest economy in the world, but to the 37 million people who live here. No scientist, no matter how knowledgeable - can say with certainty that a 9.0 quake will never happen here. There have been at least seven earthquakes approximating 9.0 since 1952, six of these on the Pacific Ring of Fire on which California is situated.

We owe it not only to ourselves but to our posterity to be a good and responsible caretaker of this earth and shut down nuclear power worldwide. NOW.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

(1) In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the

commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

2) Recommend that the original Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be revoked.

3) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 200 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs until they can be dismantled.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. And as we know from the nuclear bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, long-term damage and casualties from a nuclear catastrophe are a certainty which we must avert in California by closing San Onofre and Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plants.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines - near major population and agricultural areas - these reactors are catastrophes waiting to happen.

California's two nuclear sites -- Diablo Canyon and San Onofre -- are both vulnerable to earthquakes and tidal waves and they have highly radioactive waste piling up onsite. After the Tohoku Japan earthquake on March 11 of this year, the ocean waves from the resulting tsunami which hit California were choppy for two months.

Clean, renewable energy sources and efficiency technologies could easily replace the electricity provided by nuclear reactors. Yet utilities PG&E and Southern California Edison want to keep their reactors running for decades beyond their originally designed and licensed lifetimes. These licenses should not be extended – and it is the responsibility of the California Energy Commission to take the forward-looking and wise view that has propelled California to the top ranks of civilization and recommend that these plants be closed.

These plants are nuclear bombs waiting to go off, which it is within your power to prevent.

Thank you for your prompt consideration in this serious and pressing matter.

Herschel Dosier
1025 Fillmore Apt 2-L
Apt 2-L
San Francisco, CA 94115-4749

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lori White
1900 Cathay Way
Sacramento, CA 95864

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chelsea Riseman
3201 Maple Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Cherwink

5

Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Linda Spanski
2154 S Coast Hwy
Oceanside, CA 92054

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alex Woolery
1621 30th St.
San Diego, CA 92102

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ML Sage
3845 Elijah Ct
San Diego, CA 92130-6009

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Benane
468 Estado Way
Novato, CA 94945

415-895-5391

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Rosenthal
376 Orange Street #4
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am very concerned about the dangers of nuclear power plants in California. As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bernadette Wulf
528 Edison St.
Graton, CA 95444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sarah Howard
1929 Scenic Drive, Apt. B
Modesto, CA 95355

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

william cull
po box 381
covelo, CA 95428

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Californians watched with horror the Fukushima nuclear disaster.

Not only were we saddened by the desolation suffered by the Japanese people but we know that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre are similarly at risk. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines near major population and agricultural areas, these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

I urge you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

As part of your Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011 please recommend the following::

- 1) That the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) That the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. Damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster exceed \$230 billion. The commission should recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap set by the Price-Anderson Act on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents.
- 3) That the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) That the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors be increased from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and the associated costs be analyzed. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Please give serious attention to these comments and help our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Mattoe Rudinow
19328 Osenda Court

Sonoma, CA 95476-5998

707 996-6164

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Susan J Davis
2145 Mayview Drive
470
Los Angeles, CA 90027

323 522 6543

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elsa Levy
529 3rd street
Manhattan beach , CA 90266

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Joe And Mary Volpe
P.O. Box 208
Ventura, CA 93002

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Julie Amato
4251 Tujunga Ave, Apt. #10
Apt. #10
Studio City, CA 91604

818 509-0125

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shannon Littrell
2822 State St.
Carlsbad, CA 92008

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Renee Potik
139 E. Dayton Avenue
Fresno, CA 93704-4504

559-438-8108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. George Lewis
1852 6th St.
Los Osos, CA 93402-2704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janine Jordan
17061 Strawberry Dr
Encino, CA 91436-3822

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donna Jensen
13163 Fountain Park Drive # 107
Playa Vista, CA 90094

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale Mattes
1020 San Rafael Lane
Pasadena, CA 91105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Laura Hilgers
47 C Calle Aragon
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ronald Paul
1085-555 Tasman Dr,
Sunnyvale, CA 94089-5654

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I'm writing to strongly urge you to close the nuclear power plants at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre. These plants are near fault lines and face the risk of earthquakes and/or tidal waves stronger than these plants were designed to withstand.

Furthermore, it has recently been revealed that the plant at Diablo Canyon ran for a year and a half with some emergency systems disabled. I have no reason to believe that the state of California has the capability nor the political will to ensure that these plants are operated safely, even in the absence of a natural disaster.

Finally, all nuclear power plants produce radioactive waste that remains an extreme hazard for decades.

In light of the above, I can only conclude that these nuclear power plants are a grave public threat and must be shut down as soon as possible.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amit Shoham
2106 7th Ave
Oakland, CA 94606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Julie Sanford
15416 Gault Street
Van Nuys, CA 91406

818-994-9470

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wendy k
6669 Thornhill Dr
Oakland, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

I I

16418 gunther st
granada hills, CA 91344

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charles Nisoli
2400 Durant Ave
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Hammermeister
16456 Shamhart Dr.
Granada Hills, CA 91344

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hugh Moore
166 N 1st St
Unit 4
El Cajon, CA 92021

(619) 793-5397

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. ben lioe
3533 keystone ave
los angeles, CA 90034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Alice Kelly
6493 Cooper St.
Felton, CA 95018

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roxanne Metrano
1126 palms blvd
venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

walker hibben
2161 vista entrada
newport beach, CA 92660

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paula Fonseca
warren dr.
san francisco, CA 94131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dennis Korteuer
3907 E. 11th st.
Long Beach, CA 90804-4133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

gerrit woudstra
weegschaal 40
lemmer, CA 91126

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Bunny Bornstein
1926 Silverwood Avenue
Mountain View, CA 94043

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ROBERT PARKER STELLATO
3015-212 EAST BAYSHORE ROAD
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Scott Smith
672 42nd st
oakland, CA 94609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Selleseth
2350 st anton dr
Lodi, CA 95242

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Strickland
28955 PCH
Malibu,, CA 90265-3953

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael York
301 N San Dimas Cyn Rd #11
San Dimas, CA 91773

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Nancy Arbuckle
524 Nimitz Ave
Redwood City, CA 94061

6503660750

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

craig suide
695 35th ave
San Francisco, CA 94121-2761

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Bruce Sims
211 N.Citrus,#104
space 104
Escondido, CA 92027-3443

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia & Peter Castro
901 Madonna Way
Los Altos, CA 94024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

julianna robinson
15 Catamaran st. #11
marina del rey, CA 90292

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frederick W. Cummings PhD
611 Larkspur Plaza Dr
Larkspur, CA 94939

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

willy aenlle
573 alameda st
altadena, CA 91001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth OHara
501 Gibson Dr #2514
Roseville, CA 95678

(916) 782-7533

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amanda Barnes
43850 20th st E #155
Lancaster, CA 93535

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. These reactors sit **DIRECTLY ON THE COAST LONG EARTHQUAKE FAULT LINES THAT ARE ALSO NEAR MAJOR POPULATION AREAS.** They are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gerry Williams
3024 Potter Ave,
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Newman
4032 Alabama St. Apt. 2A
San Diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

craig walker
623 n. edinburgh ave
los angeles, CA 90048-2311

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

peter kuhn
3611 vista de la bahia
san diego, CA 92117

(619) 889-1777

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Hazucha
67565 monterey rd
desert hot springs, CA 92240

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As California ratepayers and residents, we watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

We do NOT want that to happen here in California, and we are concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

Perhaps even more disastrous is the lack of a safe nuclear waste disposal strategy.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, we want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, we would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

V. & B. Jones

POB 9050

Torrance, CA 90508

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Spero
1096 Susan Way
Novato, CA 94947

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

C. Glick
3278-P San Amadeo.
Unit "P"
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

(949) 461-1519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes and loved ones.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jami Petzak
1222 Graynold
Glendale, CA 91202

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rita Sanford
454 Requeza Street
Encinitas, CA 92024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

melissa miller
1621 Detroit ave
#21
concord, CA 94520

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

helena martinez
1456 las lunas st
pasadena, CA 91106

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Noel Eaves
18290 Stenberg Drive
Red Bluff, CA 96080

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Divina Himaya
1901 Loyola
Claremont, CA 91711

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Caroline Byerly
1031 Princeton Ave.
Modesto, CA 95350

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Art Godinez
15751 COUNTRY-CLUB DR
CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Luke
11911 Hilltop Dr.
Los Altos Hills, CA 94024-5214

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Katlyn Stranger
2450 5th Ave.
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ruth Spradlin
285 Lee Street
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Cappetta
800 N El Camino Real, Unit 205
San Mateo, CA 94401-3761

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eileen Massey
5924 Herzog St
N/A
Oakland, CA 94608

510 421-1244

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judy Countryman
1722-28th ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victoria Erickson
335 Kingsbury Dr.
Aptos, CA 95003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. mk collingwood
18 market street
san francisco, CA 94104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eileen Massey
5924 Herzog St
N/A
Oakland, CA 94608

510 421-1244

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joel Meza
P.O. Box 210144
San Francisco, CA 94121

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

P Ohri
306 Lohrman Lane
petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

dave geare
p.O. Box 1008
porterville, CA 93258-1008

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

franklin knight
1325 york st.
san francisco, CA 94110-4229

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Koldewyn
293 Perkins St
o, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeannine Bressie
719 Yulupa Ave.
Santa Rosa , CA 95405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Erik Schnabel
229 Dore St.
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

steven korson
3663 harrison st.
riverside, CA 92503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

We must move toward SAFE energy to ensure the survival of this AND future generations!

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anore Shaw
3429 Bermuda Court
San Ramon, CA 94582

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Martin Russell
139 Elm Avenue
Mill Valley, CA 94941-2103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lenore Chinn
One Scott Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lonney Olson
18307 Burbank Bl #66
tarzana, CA 91356

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Frank W. Sannella
2468 Canal Drive
Stockton, CA 95204

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Eichinger
1036 14th St.
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jackie Morris
1393 Vallecito Place
Carpinteria, CA 93013

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Donna Sharee
459 Naples Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

415 584 9849

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Samuel Durkin
5048 Lakeview Cir
Fairfield, CA 94534

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Raphael Sperry
9 Peters Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94110

(415) 519-7027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Kelley
2921 Bendmill Way
Sacramento, CA 95833-1514

9163591770

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandi Covell
1183 Alemany Blvd.
San Francisco, CA 94112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bernie Hovden
Barbara DR.
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Rick Shreve
501 9th St.
Arcata, CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Luan Makes Marks
PO Box 126
Cazadero, CA CA

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Russell Tunder
Box 882
Woodacre, CA 94973

415 488 4562

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karin peck
6401 Coyle Ave
Carmichael, CA 95608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Levin
1011 Pomeroy Ave
Santa Clara, CA 95051

(650)-208-1814

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Pedersen
767 3rd Street East
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I am deeply concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose a risk much like the disaster in Japan. Sitting directly along earthquake fault lines, and near major population and agricultural areas, these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne M. Camarillo
941 N. Orlando Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, where the decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre are disasters waiting to happen. Beyond their deterioration due to age and lack of maintenance, they are sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- and were not built to withstand the magnitude of earthquake that we now know is probable..

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to close the reactors and replace them with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erica Chahal
718 Pine Street
San Francisco, CA 94108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sydney Wilde
2682 Fairfield Commons
Apt 411
Chico, CA 95928-8410

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurie Eisler
8252 Windmill Farms Dr.
Cotati, CA 94931

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Herman Osorio
1446 Mockingbird Ln
Lincoln, CA 95648

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Orley Lilly
1096 Susan Way
Novato, CA 94947

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Scott Forrest
2056 Touraine Lane
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Saunders
3448 Solari Way
Sacramento, CA 95821-4160

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christine Mehnert
733 Jacaranda Circle
Hillsborough, CA 94131

(201) 739-5866

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurens L Battis III
715 Peralta St. #301
Oakland, CA 94607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leon Cheng
15042 Gilmore Street
Van Nuys, CA 91411

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robin Pratt
1310 Berkeley Way, #1
Apt. 1
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

NO NUCLEAR HERE!

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Radha Vignola
2913 Crocker Ct
Aptos, CA 95003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Peter Lee
11103 Tamberly Ln
Tujunga, CA 91042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

connie cohen
2069 Hatch Road
novato, CA 94947

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

BRENT BERGLUND
1125 WILADONDA DRIVE
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE, CA 91011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Brad Martin
P.O.Box 12225
Fresno, CA 93777-2225

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victoria Miller
15857 Moorpark Street
Encino, CA 91436

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karin Rada
19700 Cantwell Ranch Rd., P.O. Box 191
P.O. Box 191
Lower Lake, CA 95457

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steve Shuput
690 N Caring Cove
Salt Lake City , UT 84103-5200

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Hall
9462 Lime Ave
Fontana, CA 92335

909-357-5200 X73

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water, and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners, and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbra Bergstrom
732 Via Casitas
Greenbrae, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sheilagh Creighton
285 Scenic Rd
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sheilagh Creighton
285 Scenic Rd
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Don Faia
105 Locust Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

m. canter
167 Blackfield dr.
Tiburon, CA 94920

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident of San Diego, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

D R Spencer
3005 Thorn St #8
San Diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Zagone
3443 Beethoven Street
Mar Vista, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Keith Chambers
1820 Capitol Avenue Apt 204
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victoria Grace
154 Tenth St., Apt.#1
San Francisco, CA 94103-2624

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karan Henley Haugh, Ph. D.
P. O. Box 372
PO Box 372
Monte Rio, CA 95462

707-865-9633

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Phoebe Moyer
31 Corte Ortega #24
Greenbrae, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Adolfo Miralles
740 Knollwood Lane
San Dimas, CA 91773-3617

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathleen Helmer
23125 Dolorosa Street
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margie Wells
4131 62nd St
Sacramento, CA 95820

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ann Read
PO Box 925
Inverness, CA 94937

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Meg Beeler
16100 Sobre Vista Court
Sonoma, CA 95476-3236

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gabriel Lautaro
6014 Martin Luther King Jr Way
Oakland, CA 94609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Conti
43850 20th Street East Sp96
Lancaster, CA 93535

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

THAT MUST ABSOLUTELY BE PREVENTED FROM HAPPENING HERE IN CALIFORNIA, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Holly McDuffie
11565 Erwin Street
Los Angeles, CA 91606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ruth Hernandez
10321 Eagan dr
Whittier, CA 90604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gordon Eatman
49 los Imas
sandia Park, NM 87047

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandra Navarro
9618 Regatta Ave
Whittier, CA 90604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Mark Reback
1606 N. Avenue 55
Los Angeles, CA 90042-1107

310-966-7751

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ernest George
143 Stanford Ct.
Irvine, CA 92612

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Timmi Sommer
33782 Diana Dr.
Dana Point, CA 92629

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carrie Phyliky Rimes
111 N. Summit Dr.
Cabot, AR 72023

479-871-2527

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Hooper
1531 17th St Apt D
santa monica, CA 90404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

We do NOT want that to happen here in California, and are concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, please make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, we would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mike and Barbara Sentovich
11642 Wallingsford
Los Alamitos, CA 90720

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ed schehl
p o box 2123
santa cruz, CA 95063

831 566-8083

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Bondurant
2030 Dover Avenue
La Verne, CA 91750

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurel Tucker
676 W. 9th St.
Claremont, CA 91711-3741

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Phillips
5312 Marit Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95409-3825

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anita Torres
PO BOX 7415
BURBANK, CA 91510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

PAMELA MILLER
39362 SAN THOMAS CT
Murrieta, CA 92562

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gail Harper
P. O. Box 330057
San Francisco, CA 94133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

J Morris
701 greenleaf canyon road
Topanga, CA 90290

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

carolyn ladner
1970 benita
rancho cordova, CA 95670

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

loulou steiner
1659 appian way
santa monica, CA 90401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jami tolpin
4646 willis ave
sherman oaks, CA 91403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jeffery dorner
5818 Fayette St., Apt. #1
Los Angeles, CA 90042

323 256-2519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Lorentzen
16630 Mitchell Creek Drive
Fort Bragg, CA, CA 95437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Gibbons
10957 Northseal Square
Cupertino, CA 95014-0529

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sibyl Harmony Sanchez
316 Bodega ave
Petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lee Eames
3910 Hathaway Avenue #956
Apt 956
Long Beach, CA 90815

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Theda Ray
801 W. 232nd Street
#3M
Torrance, CA 90502-2500

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brooke Bennett
1612 Longbranch Ave
Grover Beach, CA 93433

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Timothy Martin
485 Mountain Home Rd.
Woodside, CA 94062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

darynne jessler
4408 gentry ave
valley village, CA 91607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alta Rudomin
24 Canterbury Dr.
Northridge, CA 91324

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lucienne O'Keefe
63 Greenbrae Boardwalk
Greenbrae, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Dean Monroe
5301 Cleon #4
No. Hollywood, CA 91601

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gregg Sparkman
1088 67th st
oakland, CA 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. George Reeves
721 Elaine Dr
Stockton, CA 95207

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mitch Dalition
350 Broderick Street #415
San francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. George Reeves
721 Elaine Dr
Stockton, CA 95207

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Claire Joaquin
6278 Bucktail Ln
Pollock Pines, CA 95726

(530) 344-7364

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sheila Smith
17530 Pond Derosa Ln
Salinas, CA 93907

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Camille Gilbert
1923 San Andres St Apt F
Apt F
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Maimon Leavitt
210 oodruff Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Zeuner
403 Redbud Way
Nevada City, CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

edward pope
6102 sierra siena rd.
irvine, CA 92603

949 854 7989

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Bielfelt
1174 East Ave
Chico, CA 95926

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ann Krooth
CA
Berkeley, CA 94708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Shrum
P.O. Box 23702
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523-0702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gary Reese
440 Camino Flora Vista
San Clemente, CA 92673

(949) 369-0747

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Leighton
4642 Utah Street Unit 4
San Diego, CA 92116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

william J Bernard
317 Watson, apt. C
MONTEREY, CA 93940

(831) 655-1743

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

william J Bernard
317 Watson, apt. C
MONTEREY, CA 93940

(831) 655-1743

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dennis Hardwick
2221 Careful Ave.
Cornell, CA 91301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steve Eklund
51 Nacional St,
1
Salinas, CA 93901-1337

(831) 455-2837

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Philip Sherman
P.O. Box 664
Oak View, CA 93022

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

katharine green
15550 Via Vega
San Lorenzo, CA 94580

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gil Carbajal
124 N San Mateo
Ventura, CA 93004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roger Bowers
2858 Angus St.
Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA 90039-2631

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ian Carlon
2211 Lausett Ave
San Jose, CA 95116-2513

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. probyn gregory
10877 Deliban St
1766 Las Palmas
LA, CA 91042

3234658378

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rose Portillo
2858 Angus St.
Los Angeles, CA 90039-2631

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sheila wyse
14925 jadestone drive
14925 jadestone drive
sherman oaks, CA 91403

8185010487

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert Banever
2961 Royal Oaks Dr.
Duarte, CA 91010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vicki Leidner
770 shotwell st
san francissco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andre Zitouniadis
721 S. Main Street, Apt 503
Apt. 504
Los Angeles, CA 90014-2012

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Sheri Andersen
Annaolis
San bernardino, CA 92408

909 825-2069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Katherine Roberts
132 Beulah
none
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ken welke
821 glen miller dr.
windsor, CA 95492

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Kathleen Caldwell
3310 Chaparral Ln
Kelseville, CA 95451

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mac Downs
8106 vanscoy ave
North hollywood, CA 91605

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes, I watched with horror..

I'm terrified that might happen here in California, and concerned our decades-old reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose these risks. They sit directly on earthquake faults near major population and agricultural areas; these reactors are literally time bombs.

As Energy Commissioners consider what California's energy future should look like, I urge that you QUICKLY ensure the reactors are closed. And then, use clean, renewable energy efficient technologies to replace them.

Specifically, I want the Energy Commission to make recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) That appropriate government agencies and utilities then immediately determine how to replace 4400 MW of base load nuclear-produced electricity rendered unusable by earthquake/disaster. Studies need then determine how baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficient, new energy sources by 2025 (when originally designed/licensed lifetime of last of these reactors expires.
- 2) Recommend that commission study how California resident systems would rebuild when disaster strikes. Damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster exceeded \$230 billion. Commission should recommend the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on liability of utility companies nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original San Onofre and Diablo Canyon Public Convenience/Necessity Certificate issued be reviewed: consider new information on seismic vulnerability, increase in population near reactors, and continued lack of permanent, safe off-site solution to store high-level radioactive waste.
- 4) Immediately increase emergency planning/evacuation zones around California's reactors to 50+ miles (now just 20), analyzing associated costs. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate similarly sized areas.

Thank you. Again, please consider these comments and move our state toward a nuclear-free future.

Rev. Ieta Rosetree
261 E. Alegria #14
Sierra Madre, CA 91024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lorraine schaeffer
542 canon view trail
topanga, CA 90290=3800

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Denise Halbe
18865 Lomita Ave.
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Melanie DeTemple
5653 Tobias Ave.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91411

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kelly McVey
109 S Kingsley St
Anaheim, CA 92806

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jesse Hlubik
13300 Ventura Blvd.,
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephen Lewis
325 Center Street #18
#18
Rio Dell, CA 95562

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I support distributed energy, i.e. PV panels on every rooftop, local small wind turbines, dramatically increased requirements for energy conservation for new houses, and remodeling older houses for increased energy conservation (PACE).

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

georgia goldfarb
20650 Whitecap Way
malibu, CA 90265

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dawn Hill
1629 Henry Lane
McKinleyville, CA 95519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Horsburgh
3625 Williams Ave
LaVerne, CA 91750

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Anderson
7051 Ellis Avenue #33
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

J Brice Beckham
8261 Norton Ave #2
West Hollywood, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Darwin Aronoff
2954 E. Del Mar Blvd #108.
Pasadena, CA 91107-4335

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Dwight Barry
3185 Contra Loma Blvd #201-A
#201-A
Antioch, CA 94509-5484

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rob hastings
15691 pensacola st.
westminster, CA 92683

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeremy Spencer
551 Arguello Boulevard
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rosa Pajuelo
6434 Goodland Ave
N Hollywood, CA 91606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Balin
730 W. 4th St. #111
Long Beach, CA 90802

323 669-0004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steven Stansbery
22125 Burbank Blvd #7
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rosa Pajuelo
6434 Goodland Ave
N Hollywood, CA 91606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paula Kren
3830 Canyon Way
Martinez, CA 94553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors. Respectfully, Michael McMahan

Michael McMahan
4892 Maui Circle
Huntington Beach, CA 92649

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rebecca McDonough
455 San Mateo Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025

6503806705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I have never been in favor of nuclear power plants (it's pure insanity to build something without a viable plan to dispose of it's lethal waste), and I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Hazel Yerkovich
6341 Tupelo Drive
Citrus Heights, CA 95621

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Jonson
654 Castro #4
San Francisco, CA 94114-2548

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

susan gill
37 ross
san anselmo, CA 94960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurel McKeever

Address

McCloud, CA 96057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lama-Jigme Gyatso
807 W. Palm Ave. #3
El Cajon, CA 92020-4344

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Molly Huddleston
PO Box 1119
Ukiah, CA 95401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

eva fromm
621 Natoma St
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tim D Taylor
2330 Camden Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90064

(310) 478-4709

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Vesper
1601 Berkeley Way
Berkeley, CA 94703-1237

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

L. Diaz
2460 22nd. Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lamura Miller
Rodeo Gulch Road
Soquel, CA 95073-2047

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Sanders
584 Castro St #642
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andrea D Kaufman
14529 Redwood Lane
Guerneville, CA 95446

(707) 869-3911

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Justin Fisk
Wilton
Long Beach, CA 90804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Douglas Urton
7531 E. Hurlbut Ave.
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Buckwald
514 Curtis St
Albany, CA 94706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carolyn Pettis
28625 Winterdale Drive
Santa Clarita, CA 91387

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lauren Ford
156 Jay Street
Albany, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Amber C Sumrall
841 Laurel Glen Rd
Soquel, CA 95073

(831) 477-4375

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eve Vesper
14225 Riverside Dr
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marie herring
2608 Night Jasmine Dr
Simi Valley, CA 93065-1523

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lydia Morales
7602 Erin Way
Cupertino, CA 95014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors. Nuclear reactors are dangerous and produce radioactive waste that can't be safely disposed. The potential of naturally occurring events such as strong earthquakes here in CA is real and not a distant hypothesis!

There fore everything should be done to decommission and finally shut down these nuclear power plants, then focus on a dry cast and final storage solution for the nuclear waste before disaster strikes, instead of subsidizing an old and brittle technology that guaranties a nuclear waste-land with almost unpredictable ramification, but certain dire consequences at tax payer's expense as we now can witness in Fukushima and beyond.

Axel Meier
2460 Park Blvd.#2
Oakland, CA 94606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Willis
40 Fillmore Street
Street Address 2
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet McCalister
520 Valley View Drive
Paradise, CA 95969

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vladimir Ilisic
Save Ljuboje 16
Banja Luka, TX 71000

38765482356

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Albert Stiles
4304 20th Ave
Sacramento, CA 95820

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lori Benedetto
Folkstone Way
Elk Grove, CA 95758

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stewart Florsheim
170 Sandingham Rd.
Piedmont, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jessica Presley-Grusin
2832 State St
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Azucena Diaz
502 Meeker Ave
La Puente, CA 91746-2609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steven Conner
2373 Woolner Ave.
Fairfield, CA 94533

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Boyer
7106 Gr S Overland
Julian, CA 92036

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

bernard hyland
14906 dickens
sherman oaks, CA 91403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Siri Gomsrud
7921 Ronald Dr Apt A
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wendy Brueder
510 N Jackson St
Glendale, CA 91206

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roberta E. Newman
300 Monte Vista Avenue
CityMill Valley, CA 94941-5080

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eric Simsik
120 N. Avenue 50
Apt. 3
Los Angeles, CA 90042-4063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Logan
27701 Barrett Drive
Santa Clarita, CA 91350

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leonard Tremmel
800 Lyon #2
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fran Larson
1060 Terra Nova Blvd
112A
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ROSALINE GEORGE
10600 WILSHIRE BLVD. #308
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ROSALINE GEORGE
10600 WILSHIRE BLVD. #308
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Ulm
201 MAUNA LOA DR
MONROVIA, CA 91016-2019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Landon Neustadt
230 W Alamar, #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Ristig
2040 N. Cleveland St.
Orange, CA 92865

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Henry Clarence
1011 Overlook Road
Berkeley, CA 934708

510 549-3498

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Albie Miles
963 62nd St.
Oakland , CA 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alicia Kern
27225 Sunnyridge Road
Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274

310.377.0553

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

susanne burcin
3114 via loma vista
escondido, CA 92029

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ritesh Varma
4684 N. Banner Dr. #3
Long Beach, CA 90807

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christopher Horner
820 Indiana Ave
Venice, CA 90291-2731

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fed Up
124 Main St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amanda Brass
5118 Bakman Avenue
North Hollywood, CA 90004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sara Bakker
3405 Kenyon Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95051

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Saeed Rami
P.O. Box 6031
woodland Hills, CA 91365-6031

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathelee Banister
5759 Berkeley Rd
Goleta, CA 93117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leila Erickson
11750 Euclid #34
Apt #34
Garden Grove, CA 92840

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Brown
2370 Market St.
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ken Hedges
8153 Cinderella Pl.
Lemon Grove, CA 91945-3000

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

val laurent
1680 clay street apt 14
san francisco, CA 94109

4156733420

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Osterhoudt
21022 Los Alisos Blvd., Apt. 214
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ANGIE WILLIAMS
P.O. BOX 281
QUINCY, CA 95971

(530) 283-2599

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Scott Herman
89 Mossglen Circle
Sacramneto, CA 95826

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carla Neal
11893 Cranberry
Madera, CA 93636-8738

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Kemper
1388 California St. 404A
San Francisco, CA 94109

415 776 5657

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. J. Holley Taylor
PO Box 1987
Penn Valley, CA 95946

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Proponents of nuclear power plants often highlight the fact that these facilities emit no greenhouse gases which, while a powerful rationale in their favor, generally ignores equally serious problems of proliferation, terrorist vulnerability, the need to isolate and store radioactive waste products essentially forever, the prohibitive expense of building these plants, and the very real environmental and health risks associated with potential nuclear accidents.

Much like the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico, recent events in Japan have focused public attention on some of these less savory aspects of the true cost of nuclear power. Consider also that reports by the Rocky Mountain Institute (A nonprofit research and educational foundation aiming to foster efficient and sustainable use of resources. <http://www.rmi.org/>) revealed that, "In 2006, distributed renewable power sources worldwide got \$56 billion of private risk capital; nuclear projects got zero." Private capitalists are unwilling to finance nuclear plants because they are considered both overly costly and excessively risky.

As a mother of two and a concerned citizen, I am opposed both to nuclear energy and to using taxpayer dollars to subsidize an industry which is so inherently dangerous and unsustainable.

A severe nuclear power accident, like the one that occurred in Japan, could happen here in the United States--and in our state--with potentially devastating human, environmental, and economic consequences.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen. It's a matter of when, rather than if.

I therefore urge you to ensure no additional nuclear reactors are built in California, that nuclear power be phased out in this state, and that the appropriate agencies take immediate steps to improve nuclear power plant safety and security at existing facilities until such time as they can be permanently taken off-line.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be “made whole again” if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan’s nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies’ financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California’s reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

The citizens of our state deserve to be protected against the known risks associated with nuclear power. I’m counting on you to take concrete steps to reduce these risks now, so Californians will never have to face a nuclear accident here at home.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rose Ann Witt
1282 Oak Grove Place
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362-4249

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barry gould
1405 Cobblecreek Street
manteca, CA 95336

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roberta Heist
14801 Mitchell Crk. Dr.
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shanta Gabriel
400 Casa Grande Rd. #B-217
Petaluma, CA 94954

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lloyd Dent
4431 laurel grove ave
studio city, CA 91604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

carol bennett
1229 geneva street
Glendale, CA 91207

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edwin Mccready McCready
1818 Whitley Avenue Apt. 210
Los Angeles, CA 90028

323 960 0293

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mari kane
bay street
san francisco, CA 94123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Bohannon
1018 W. 19th St.
Santa Ana, CA 92706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kirk Lumpkin
5505 Macdonald Ave.
El Cerrito, CA 94530

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Stanley Dawson
2361 Glacier Pl
Davis, CA 95616

(530) 756-0327

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Claude-Alain Sourzac
33, rue du Mont Valerien
Saint Cloud, CA 92210

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Ramsey
1626 Colusa Ave.
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. David Gardner
2525 Beverly Ave #8
Santa Monica, CA 90405

310-399-3104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Ramsey
1626 Colusa Ave.
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lance jordan
1969 frankfort st.
san diego, CA 92110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Tkach
7455 Iverson Place
Paso Robles, CA 93446

805-237-9334

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. DONALD WOODS
109 JOOST AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94131-3231

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

faith riley
2259 devon place
milpitas, CA 95035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marilyn Pires
399 Molino Avenue
Long Beach, CA 90814

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

GERMANY DID IT, WE CAN DO IT, TOO.

SOLAR ROOFS ALL OVER CALIFORNIA BY UTILITY COMPANY.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Val Sanfilippo
3246 Ashford
San Diego, CA 92111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ronald MacInnis
20 Dixon Drive
Mashpee, MA 02649

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kimberly Anne Anne Halizak
1933 N. Beachwood Dr., #205
Los Angeles, CA 90068-4035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. nancy hartman
839 Mariposa Rd.
lafayette, CA 94549

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Suchecki
6024BuckinghamPkwy.
Unit 21
CulverCity, CA 90230-6828

310 3370030

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Pamela Kafton
14431 Ventura Blvd., #252
#252
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-2606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Baldock
1330 Castro Court
Monterey, CA 93940

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beryl Landau
3290 Harrison St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Shelley Plumb
5952 Scripps St.
San Diego, CA 92122

858 4535967

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael S Treece
1212 8th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122-2406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale McRaven
24225 Long Valley Rd.
Hidden Hills, CA 91302

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jim Bell
4862 Voltaire St.
San Diego, CA 92107

(619) 758-9020

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick Ballard
P.O. Box 5063
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cor van de Water
748 Alice Av
Mountain View, CA 94041

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia McRae Baley
4150 E. Pinecrest Circle
Las Vegas, NV 89121-4920

7023550977

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gene Webb
2 Neame Ave.
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Kesler
2141 Creekside Drive
Solvang, CA 93463

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary McAuliffe
6051 Selma Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90028-6414

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edward Suchecki
6024 Buckingham Pkwy.
Culver City, CA 90230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

NUCLEAR ENERGY IS DANGEROUS, DIRTY, AND EXPENSIVE! THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A SAFE STORAGE PLACE FOR NUCLEAR WASTE MATERIAL....THE EARTH AND MOTHER NATURE WILL EVENTUALLY DESTROY ANY SUCH SITE AND THESE REACTORS ARE A HORRIBLE TERRORIST THREAT. NO CORPORATION SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO POLLUTE OUR LAND, AIR, AND WATER SUCH AS WHAT HAPPENED IN JAPAN.

END NUCLEAR POWER NOW!!

Ms. Erin McCarthy
1125 Avenida Frontera
Oceanside, CA 92057

760-721-8510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

NUCLEAR ENERGY IS DANGEROUS, DIRTY, AND EXPENSIVE! THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A SAFE STORAGE PLACE FOR NUCLEAR WASTE MATERIAL....THE EARTH AND MOTHER NATURE WILL EVENTUALLY DESTROY ANY SUCH SITE AND THESE REACTORS ARE A HORRIBLE TERRORIST THREAT. NO CORPORATION SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO POLLUTE OUR LAND, AIR, AND WATER SUCH AS WHAT HAPPENED IN JAPAN.

END NUCLEAR POWER NOW!!

Ms. Erin McCarthy
1125 Avenida Frontera
Oceanside, CA 92057

760-721-8510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

NUCLEAR ENERGY IS DANGEROUS, DIRTY, AND EXPENSIVE! THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A SAFE STORAGE PLACE FOR NUCLEAR WASTE MATERIAL....THE EARTH AND MOTHER NATURE WILL EVENTUALLY DESTROY ANY SUCH SITE AND THESE REACTORS ARE A HORRIBLE TERRORIST THREAT. NO CORPORATION SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO POLLUTE OUR LAND, AIR, AND WATER SUCH AS WHAT HAPPENED IN JAPAN.

END NUCLEAR POWER NOW!!

Ms. Erin McCarthy
1125 Avenida Frontera
Oceanside, CA 92057

760-721-8510

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonnie Margay Burke
PO Box 601493
San Diego, CA 92160

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ta'Shonne Horn
1700 Sherman Ave
Chico, CA 95926

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dean Frick
3061 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94114-1824

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Michele Amirkhas
Rishell Ddrive
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Charlene Kerchevall
533 South Nevada Street
Oceanside, CA 92054

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Rick Robins
17169 Oscar Dr
Grass Valley, CA 95949

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jJon Olander
11363 White Oak way
Nevada city, CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Good
2610 Chestnut ave
Carlsbad, CA 92010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Good
2610 Chestnut ave
Carlsbad, CA 92010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nona Weiner
14238 Lucian Ave
San Jose, CA 95127

4089374712

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gilberto Rodriguez
2288 E.17th st.
oakland, CA 94606-4704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michelle D'Amico
3720 Sacramento St
San Francisco, CA 94118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Duponte Bullock
2057 Central Ave-F
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

george berreman
3700 dean dr, #507
Unit 507
ventura, CA 93003

8054770720

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Anthony Montapert
1375 Ficus Way
ventura, CA 93004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marvin Baker
6819 W. Oswego avenue
Fresno, CA 93723

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Charis
11582 Moorpark St #205
North Hollywood, CA 91602-4218

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Mary Duncan
10 Professional Center Prky
San Rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

june yashiki
422 s orange ave #b
#B
monterey park, CA 91755

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lorna Scott
4850 Vista Del Monte Ave.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nikki Doyle
839 Rosemount Road
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paulette Kerr
33431 Deer Glen Lane
Agua Dulce, CA 91390

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sonia Benjamin
3702 Fairman Street
Lakewood, CA 90712

562-841-8170

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Dave Seaborg
1888 Pomar Way
Walnut Creek, CA 94598

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ken Greenwald
1930 Stewart St. G2
Santa Monica, CA 90404-4942

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leanne Bynum
300 Stony Point Rd. #159
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ryan Smith
6130 Monterey Rd #192
San Jose, CA 95138

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

IAN BOSSERMAN
2270 JUNIPER AVE
Morro Bay
MORRO BAY, CA 93442

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Myrna Maraviglia
575 El Camino Real
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marcia Murphy
414 Franklin Drive
Ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

bill deking
18549 northridge dr.
Salinas, CA 93906

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Mullane
4084 Redwood Ave. #4
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. John Culloty
PO Box 64
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Misty McIntyre
6655 Palm Ave Apt 19
Apt 19
Riverside, CA 92506

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. TED HORN
926 ROOSEVELT ST.
`
MONTEREY, CA 93940

831-373-1936

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. steve holzberg
105 winchester ct
folsom, CA 95630-4836

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fred Mathes
12126 Topper Rd.
Madera, CA 93636

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jean Gladstone
1005 G Street
Eureka, CA 95501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Kitts
P.O.Box 68
Lagunitas, CA 94938

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fran Watson
9734 Jamacha Blvd
Spring Valley, CA 91977-5227

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

steven markell
2916 redwood
costa mesa, CA 92626

7147466705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Sheryl Iversen
39415 Brighton St.
Murrieta, CA 92563

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Kristin Riggs
1380 48th St
Sacramento, CA 95819

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Moramarco
34481 The Farm Road
Wildomar, CA 92595

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Teresa Lacques
4160 Jade St #95
Capitola, CA 95010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jerry Greenstein
15 Briarwood Dr
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

maria lorca
1740 sophia way
paso robles, CA 93446

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. ROBERT K MORGAN
231 GRAND VIEW AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114-3131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Pizzo
PO Box 2134
Monterey, CA 93940

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jacqueline Thompson
1102 Harper Avenue
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Betty Gaines
3420 Deer Valley Rd #204
Antioch, CA 94531

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

frederique joly
940 milwood
v, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Paulette Williams
6175 Mercer St
San Diego, CA 92122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Hensley
2001 Duncan Springs Rd
Hopland, CA 95449

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marina Benitez
827 W. 93rd St
Address Line 2
Los Angeles, CA 90044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David McFarland
1063 Grant Ct.
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beverly Magid
14159 Riverside Dr.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nick Gerow
3629 Fairman St.
Lakewood, CA 90712

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Cole
7888 Tommy Dr.
San Diego, CA 92119

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

A.L. Hern
1545 N. Hobart Blvd., #332
#332
Los Angeles, CA 90027

3238710544

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes, forcing children to go to school with dosimeters required on them at all times, and food/milk/water showing up in world markets with dangerous levels of cesium, strontium, and iodine in them.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near significant and major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable, safe, environmentally sound and green, and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act. This clearly is wholly insufficient to financially mitigate such a disaster.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, it is significant that the U.S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission recommended that residents within a 50-mile radius the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate that designated amount of area. Why aren't we following such a mandate?

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous and completely unsafe nuclear reactors.

Lisa Dawley
2266 Cherrystone Dr
San Jose, CA 95128

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Rautine
P. O. Box 52126
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Walter Kleine
3267 Hollis St. #14
Oakland, CO 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mindy Edwards
719 Cedar Point Place
Westlake Village, CA 91362

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Georgia Saratsiotis
1289 sage hen ct.
San Jose , CA 95118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Teri Kamaunu
13121 Cantara
North Hollywood, CA 91605

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Donaldson
PO Box 938
North San Juan, CA 95960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Zack Mathison
2226 Moreno Dr.
Los Angeles, CA 90039

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Timothy Dobbins
1255 Page Street, #7
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Meola
2335 Acton Street
Berkeley, CA 94702-2107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Katharine Grantham
66 Taormina Ln
Ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charlie K
Goss
Oakland, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dominic Corigliano
1106 2nd St
Encinitas, CA 92024-5008

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Jewell
PO BOX 5135
Arcata, CA 95518

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lois Harris
458 Champlain Drive
Claremont, CA 91711

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:
NUCLEAR POWER IS NOT GREEN POWER!

It's so dangerous the mind boggles.

PLEASE CLOSE ALL U.S. NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Kale
623 N. Harper Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90048

listed

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tracey Kleber
345 South Anita Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90049

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Peter Lee
11103 Tamberly Ln
Tujunga, CA 91042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

We lead the nation in everything else, let's lead in getting rid of dangerous nuclear energy NOW!

Kiilani Ocean
88 Pine
Encinitas, CA 92024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

SPENCER ADAMS
3707 CLARINGTON AVE.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90034-5843

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jim hockley
5844 colorado rd
mariposa, CA 95338

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Domenico
400 43rd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121-1516

415 752-5596

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Isaac Wollman
1028 Pacific Street #B
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

805-704-3886

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nikki Nafziger
339 Thomas Ave
Vallejo, CA 94590

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anne White
338 Ximeno Ave
Pynackerstraat 7A
Long Beach, CA 80614

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Isaac Wollman
1028 Pacific Street #B
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

805-704-3886

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elisse De Sio
725 California Way
Emerald Hills, CA 94062-4053

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident who lives only 8.5 miles from the Diablo Nuclear Power plant, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Abram Perlstein
1748 8th Street
Los Osos, CA 93402-2221

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. shannon abernathy
112 pleasant st.
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lauren Linda

2376C Via Mariposa West 2376C Via Mariposa West

2376C Via Mariposa West

Laguna Woods, CA 92637

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kate geer
po box 1337
topanga, CA 90290

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

gary carpenter
340 Esplanade Ave APT 21
pacific, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Bodian
47 Piper Ln
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elise Tidrick
1838 Point Reyes Pl
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fanny D Diehl
1211 Harris Dr
Lompoc, CA 93436

(805) 733-2977

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Zack Calleja
Abrew Way
Manteca, CA 95336

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robyn Bates
2030 N. Farris Ave.
Fresno, CA 93704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Pezzoli
4818 Mt. Longs Dr.
San Diego, CA 92117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lani Hink
PO Box 649
Vineburg, CA 95487-0649

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rebecca Hessey
7 S. San Mateo
Redlands, CA 92373

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

danielle couillet
1915 hwy 26 north
Valley Springs, CA 95252

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sue davies
p.o. box 630
philo, CA 95466-0630

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sue davies
p.o. box 630
philo, CA 95466-0630

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Andreas Wittenstein
P.O. Box 570
Woodacre, CA 94973-0570

415.488.9763

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Jo
1633 Ward Street
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jason Gallo-Gaffner
60 Aureo Ct.
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Don Miller
2631 Elmira St/
Newbury Park, CA 91320

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beata Lewis
P. O. Box 3146
Sausalito, CA 94966

415 332-8338

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kim Collet
740 Robinson Road
SEBASTOPOL, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carine Radspinner
14885 Alleghany Road
North San Juan, CA 95960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

LEWIS CAMPBELL
PO BOX 730
SEBASTOPOL, CA 95473

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

F. Michael Montgomery
7 Larkspur Place
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Michael Wollman
217 Westmont Avenue
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405-1055

805-543-1455

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Brelin
708 Gravenstein Hwy N
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Phaedra C Kossow-Quinn
343 G Street Apt D
Arcata, CA 95521-6697

7078260142

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Sherry J Marsh
5030 Alicante Way
Oceanside, CA 92056-5159

(760) 630-6546

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Shannon Rudolph
P.O. 243
Holualoa, HI 96725

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Candace Stolley
24520 Morgan Valley Rd
Lower Lake, CA 95457-9551

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jilian Giles
717 Elmwood Drive
Los Banos, CA 93635

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carolyn Lee
4512 5th Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90043

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mildred Dandridge
204 Seaview Dr
El Cerrito, CA 94530

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Adi De Sanna Girroir
289 Redwood Dr.
Woodacre, CA 94973

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Miriam Lloyd
626 58th street
Oakland, CA 94609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Toth
27649 Elk Ridge Rd
Castaic, CA 91384

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing you today as a California ratepayer and resident. I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thank you for your time.

Ms. Marianne Bithell
109 Alder Grove Road
Arcata, CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathleen Dwyer
332 N Canyon Blvd
Monrovia, CA 91016

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Luba Markoff
20811 Via Colombard
Sonoma, CA 95476

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey A Jones
974 Haverford Avenue #4
Apt 4
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

415-419-7321

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Hodges
F Street
Sacramento, CA 95819

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rich Martini
385 Hazelwood Ave
San Francisco, CA 94123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leanore Vlastelica
540 Mason Way
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Breazeale
1759 Westwood Drive
Concord, CA 94521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

I HAVE BEEN AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT OF NUCLEAR ENERGY EVER SINCE I FIRST LEARNED OF IT IN COLLEGE, IN THE 40'S. I HAVEN'T FOUND ANY REASON TO CHANGE MY MIND, AND JAPAN'S DISASTER HAS STRENGTHENED MY OPOSITION TO IT. WE ARE LIVING ON BORROWED TIME.

MARY MARKUS
10462 Ramona Way
Garden Grove, CA 92840-2044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nathan Entrekin
757 Filbert St
San Francisco, CA 94133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Susanne Bader
211 Eureka St.
Grass Valley, CA 95945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Martin
32 Glen Ave
Oakland, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kim Bacon
2675 W Canyon Ave
535
San Diego, CA 92123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

allan newlands
5 Buckeye
Portola Valley, CA 94028-8015

650-5290429

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick St. John
1 Daniel Burnham Court
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I have the horrible Diablo Canyon Plant in my backyard. I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Earl Frounfelter
120 Palm Court Drive
Santa Maria, CA 93454-6644

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Daniel Buckler
250 Castro St Apt 8
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

KAREN LOCKWOOD
1368 CREST ROAD
DEL MAR, CA 92014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frank G. G Andrews
247 D St. #104
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hugh Fowler
130 Phelan Court
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-6170

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eileen Broderick
P.O. Box 717
Ft. Bragg, CA 95437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alvin Crown
2344 30th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405-2016

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Ecklund
3544 Radcliffe Road
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen. As the meltdowns in Japan are proving, neither California nor the Federal government have the resources to contain such a disaster when (not if) it occurs.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jonah Crawford
200 Telegraph Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Roshell
682 Catania Way
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

eileen macmillan
1550 rancho del hambre
lafayette, CA 94549-2316

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ana Claybourne
6 Palen Court
Sacramento, CA 95838

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Damery
3185 Dry Creek Road
Napa, CA 94558

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

james Brewer
2345 Echo Park Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Molly Hale
2015 Menalto Ave
Menlo Park, CA 94025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Schuyler Kent
505 Lorraine Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90020

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Karen Kirschling
633 Oak Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Billie Delawie
4732 Iroquois Ave #Q
San diegi, CA 92117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ron Varasteh
450 Saint Vincent
Irvine, CA 92618

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jonathan R. Eden
494 Vincente Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Erika Herman
867 Bringham Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90049

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sharon paul
p.o.box 2024
aptos, CA 95001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sergio M. Arroyo
707 N. East St.
Anaheim, CA 92805-2134

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Herrera
82 Park ave
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Denise Dardarian
8033 Sunset Blvd., #421
Los Angeles, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kokyo Henkel
115 School Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-3725

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vanessa Pacheco
1102 Tolworth Drive
San Jose, CA 95128

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Wayne Steffes
2187 Wisconsin Avenue
Redding, CA 96001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Don't let the mass deaths and illnesses be something that could have been avoided on your watch! Stop protecting the interests of the greedy corporations & start thinking about your constituents that put you in your paid seat NOW!

Thanks,

Paul Irving
1498 5th Street
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steven Barrett
2040 Gill Port Lane
Walnut Creek, CA 94598

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jim Diaz
5274 Kunkel Drive
San Jose, CA 95124

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Oldershaw
770 Prospect Ave
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

leah altman
2658 Cazadero Dr
la Costa, CA 92009

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

peter yelda
668 Branch St.
san Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurance Doyle
189 Bernardo Ave.
Mountain View, CA 94043

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Younga Shin-Chang
2441 Silveria Way
Antioch, CA 94531

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Arlene Baker
2324 Blake Street
#4
Berkeley, CA 94704-2848

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Cardellino
1590 Sacramento Street #21
San Francisco, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lenore nieters
9303 darcy ct
santee, CA 92071

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Flitcraft
1812 Pineridge DR
Cambria, CA 93428

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

It is well known that insurance agencies will not insure nuclear reactor sites. Why is that?? Because the costs are astronomical. It will eventually be passed on to the taxpayers. As consumers of energy - when solar power is installed on every rooftop in California, and wind energy has been utilized, use these alternative choices first. Nuclear meltdowns destroy the planet for millennia. There is no place safe to put nuclear waste. In Japan they have dumped nuclear waste in the ocean contaminating it over a large area. We need SAFE, ALTERNATIVE ENERGY. It's time to shut down these reactors.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a

permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beverly Jennings
602 Chestnut St.
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chris Hegarty
papworth avenue
DERRY, ot BT48 8PT

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Valjean O'Neill
3490 Del Rey St
San Diego, CA 92109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. I Zevos
310 N. Van Ness Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. KAREN JANSEN
3949 VIA VALMONTE
PALOS VERDES ESTATES, CA 90274

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

It's clear from the experience in Japan that nuclear energy and nuclear reactors are not safe, and we cannot predict and prepare for every emergency situation. If something similar were to happen here in California, it would not only jeopardize local residents but impact food supplies for the entire world! Any impact on agricultural land, our rivers and coastline would disrupt food supplies in a major way!

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathryn DeBra
17526 Tribune St
Granada Hills, CA 91344-4757

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ANN BONESTELL
2004 Ferrell Ave.
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As California taxpayers, my family and I have been watching the course of events in Fukushima, Japan. Knowing that the reactors are US-designed and made, we could only surmise that the horrors visited upon the Japanese people have a good chance of happening here in California as well. This is because the Californian reactors, like those in Japan, are located near fault-lines, are vulnerable to the same kind of tidal waves, and have NEVER solved the critical issue of how to safely dispose of radioactive waste. The Japanese experience - the permanent contaminating of the soil, water and food supply with radioactive materials and the forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes, jobs and businesses, perhaps forever - should never be allowed to happen in California.

We do NOT want such a disaster to happen in California - EVER. The nuclear reactors, particularly those at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre, are disasters waiting to happen.

We therefore want you to make every effort to ensure that all nuclear reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Instead of helping the obsolete and dangerous nuclear industry hang on and delay dismantling their obsolete plants, we want you to move ahead at full gallop to implement, without delays, renewable and solar energy sources and, by doing so, move our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors and their toxic and deadly radioactive byproducts.

Mr. Eugene H. Craig
3338 Kimber Court #7
San Jose, CA 95124

408-266-8607

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

vicki kopinski
26380 hayden ln
menifee, CA 92584-9446

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Valerie Williams
514 Mayellen Ave
San Jose, CA 95126

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sarah Alvarez
Cricklewood St.
Torrance, CA 90505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

E. Perkins
box 178
Talmage, CA 95481-178

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

diana molinari
2304 aviation blvd
redondo beach, CA 90278-2316

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chimey Lee
1501 Blake Street #306
Berkeley, CA 94703-1888

510-665-5914

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chimey Lee
1501 Blake Street #306
Berkeley, CA 94703-1888

510-665-5914

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

diana horowitz
4400 sepulveda blvd. #205
sherman oaks, CA 91403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Luken
23 Oceanview Ave
half moon bay, CA 94019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Gail Hoak
390 Angela Way
San Jacinto, CA 92583

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charles Brousse
31 Corte Ortega, #24
Greenbrae, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

NANCIE SAILOR
1021 e rose cir.
los altos, CA 94024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sean McCune
12110 Rochester Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90025-2076

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christina Velasquez
1659 Mohawk St,
Los Angeles, CA 90026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

--

--

Apt. 5

_, CA 90095

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Penny Walker
Valley View Rd
Ukiah, CA 95482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Norman Feldman
269 Wilart Place
Pomona, CA 91768

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Owen
3608 Maidu Pl
Davis, CA 95618-5080

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Terry Young
114 Birch Way
San Rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen. AND I LIVE NEAR SAN ONOFRE!!!!

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Henning
3525 Del Mar Heights
San Diego, CA 92130

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith Beelaar
3027 Hillegass Avenue
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Daniel Zelter
7719 Willoughby Ave.
L.A., CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Richard & Carolyn Rosenstein
2194 Century Hill
Los Angeles, CA 90067

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

nicholas lenchner
1324 cashew rd
santa rosa, CA 95403

707-544-0963

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area

All of California is an earthquake zone. There should be no nuclear power plants anywhere in California. The danger from earthquakes and tsunamis are too serious.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dorothy Varellas
35 Carr st
San Francisco, CA 94124

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Helene Robinson
12526 ARROWHEAD RD
Pine Grove, CA 95665

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vivian Penniman
PMB 223 78670 Hwy 111
La Quinta, CA 92253

760-360=8052

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bo Svensson
63 Westgate Circle
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shelby Solomon
50 Wildwood Gardens
Piedmont, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tudy Garrett
937 Cecelia Drive
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Sharlee Moore
409 N. Pacific Coast Hwy. #329
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jane Ferris
5 Birdsnest Ct
Mill Valley, CA 94941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Cari Chenkin
7244 Linda Vista Dr.
Apt. #210
Citrus Heights, CA 95610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gregory Mohr
3069 Calle Mariposa
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lauri Provencher
10440 Seabury Lane
Los Angeles, CA 90077

(310) 279-2637

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathy Poliakoff
3813 Valley Lane
El Sobrante, CA 94803

510-223-3472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey Hurwitz
582 - 42nd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Klein
1257 E Maple Avenue
El Segundo, CA 90245

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

CARLA H DAVIS
777 MEADOWSWEET DR
CORTE MADERA, CA 94925-1742

(415) 945-3208

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Johnson
1257 E Maple Avenue
El Segundo, CA 90245

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jaime Becker
1332 67th St
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Proett
2642 Carolina Ave
Redwood City, CA 94061

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am horrified learning that the nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

will gorenfeld
481 georgetown
ventura, CA 93003-2123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Thomas Smith
3230 52nd Street
San Diego, CA 92105-3731

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Patumanoan
2417 Edwards Street
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alys Hay
10704 Grapnel Place
Cupertino, CA 95014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sara Snyder
8048 Willis Ave
Panorama City, CA 91402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Josh Barrett
Del Mar Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Emily Baker
4545 Arizona Street #214
San Diego, CA 92116

619 7083513

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Reeta Roo
PO Box 875
Occidental, CA 95465

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Fish
P.O. Box 533
Boonville, CA 95415

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marc Mulcahy
1360 Rogers Lake Rd
Kila, MT 59920-9725

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tina Jaime
3746 Heppner Lane
San Jose, CA 95136-1505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Norm Stanley
39632 Oak Glen Rd.
39632 Oak Glen Rd.
Fawnskin, CA 92333-0261

9098782698

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alejandra Ocampo
PO Box 964
Calexico, CA 92232

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

M Hanna
2699 Knox Av
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ayesha Gill
6604 Dana St.
N/A
Oakland, CA 94609

510 597-9960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

CHARLES arnold
122 w cypress ave
lompoc, CA 93436

8057369423

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Rose Marie Menard
460 South Batavia Street
Oange, CA 92868-3907

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Miriam Mellman
2460 Park Blvd #2
Oakland6, CA 94606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. J O
9388 Main
Los Angeles, CA 90026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

This is the most serious situation facing humanity as, global climate change will little matter when our planet becomes radioactive. I am hopeful that the California Energy Commission will do the right thing for the life and future life on this planet, and set an example for the rest of the world, by shutting down Diablo Canyon and San Onofre nuclear reactors A.S.A.P..

Sincerely,
Cindy Romain

Cindy Romain
75912th Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Gallegos
3211 Gleason Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Faith C Petric
885 Clayton
San Francisco, CA 94117 4463

(415) 661-2217

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Tullio Giudici
1414 El Miradero Ave.
Glendale, CA 91201

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hilda Jahangiri
2 Woodrush
Irvine, CA 92604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rose Graybill
13610 Valerio
Van Nuys, CA 91405-2734

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Corinna Jevons
1396 Dolphin Drive
Aptos, CA 95003

510-292-8558

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Scotia Brosnan
180 S. Lexington Dr. #1111
Folsom, CA 95630

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathleen Hughart
1268 Essex St. #5
San Diego, CA 92103-7301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzy Kurinsky
36119 Cherry St
Newark, CA 94560

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tsahai Ungar
Overlook Rd.
Berkeley, CA 94708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Phoebe Sorgen
1053 cragmont
berkeley, CA 97408

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

anna camp
19424 hathaway avenue
hayward, CA 94541

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charlotte Bolinger
12704 Butterfly Dr.
Nevada , CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lindsey Pope
P.O. Box 1494
Ross, CA 94957

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeri pollock
590 Buena Loma Street
Altadena, CA 91001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Blakemore
5650 Buckskin Rd.
Mariposa, CA 95338

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California voter and property owner, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Fisel
373 River Oaks Circle
San Jose, CA 95134-1952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amy Steiner
1244 Broadway
San Francisco, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amy Steiner
1244 Broadway
San Francisco, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donald Figge
6645 E. Michigan Ave.
Fresno, CA 93727

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Too risky! Too expensive! Shut down these dinosaurs and don't let any more of them be erected in California!

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charles Elliott
5853 Greenleaf Ave Apt A
Whittier, CA 90601-3520

562-945-2359

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Samuel Hergenrather
7527 Kennedy Rd.
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeri pollock
590 Buena Loma Street
Altadena, CA 91001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ramon Sender
3922 23 Street
San Francisco, CA 94114-3303

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kathy bustamante
22 Oak rd.
P.O. Box 274
bolinas, CA 94924

415-868-9067

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a lifetime California resident, taxpayer, voter and ratepayer, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Mha Atma S Khalsa
1536 S Crest Dr
1536 S. Crest Dr.
Los Angeles, CA 90035

323-857-1277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Brousse
1240 Templeton Hills Rd
Templeton, CA 93465

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners: I was arrested in front of Diablo in 1978 because as Bio-Prof I was concerned about what they were going to do with the nuclear waste---storage on site is NOT acceptable.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to close Diablo
ASAP

At least the Energy Commission should:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

CLOSE DIABLO ASAP

Bill Denneen
1040 Cielo Lane
Nipomo, CA 93444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Keegan
3609 Scherer Drive
Rosamond, CA 93560

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

joanne sultar
2911 deakin street
berkeley, CA 94705

(510) 849-1988

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tammy Betancourt
Pastoria Ave
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident who lives near Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Helen Pitton
2464 Pierce
Cambria, CA 93428

805 927-9033

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Gillespie
15272 Valeda Dr.
La Mirada, CA 90638-2440

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bruce Hepler
P.O. Box 4224
Redondo Beach
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-1757

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diane Hill
1670 1/2 Dwight Way
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patrice Parsons
P.O. Box 1654
Cambria, CA 93428

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patrice Parsons
P.O. Box 1654
Cambria, CA 93428

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. estrher levy
5419 murietta ave
sherman oaks, CA 91401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

david hedden
2405 Mira Mar Ave
Long Beach, CA 90815

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

5) Shut down both reactors. Generate the necessary power from solar, wind or geothermal sources.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joan Parrish
118 La Canada Way
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-1044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William P. Christiansen
1454 Los Osos Valley Rd.
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margrit Spear
PO Box 711
Jamul, CA 91935

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tonya degance
248 market
venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Connie Lindgren
2830 B L.K. Wood Blvd.
Arcata, CA 95521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sally Frumkin
1811 Morton Ave
303
Los Angeles, CA 90026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Albert Chiu
8222 Skyline Blvd
Oakland, CA 94611

510-339-3320

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Benjamin Axt
2507 3rd Street
Apt. 1, CA 91505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alexandra Hopkins
2748 Prospect Ave
La Crescenta, CA 91214-3820

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Merilie Robertson
24308 Shrewsbury Circle
Canoga Park, CA 91307-1240

(818) 347-5230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janice Gloe
3100 Guido Street
Oakland, CA 94602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judy Sachter
1933 Selby Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90025

3104753851

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

When the Japanese nuclear facilities failed recently, I got a bloody nose when the Japanese radiation hit the Californian coast line. My bloody nose lasted for two weeks until I could get an appropriate iodide tablet to take. The tablets stopped my bloody nose in one hour. I have rarely had a bloody nose in my life, but I did learn that bloody noses are a sign of radioactive poisoning.

If Japan's reactors can cause this from the other side of the Pacific Ocean, then certainly I will be affected by any nuclear accident at San Onofre or Diablo because these are close to Los Angeles and especially because they are on the Andreas faultline, on which we expect a spectacular earthquake anytime soon.

We don't need nuclear reactors which are dangerous to all life. We have in Southern California a blessing of sunshine => solar power, winds in the canyons => wind power, and ocean energy => geothermal energy. These are all clean renewables, AND THEY ARE INFINITELY CHEAPER AND FASTER TO INSTALL AND MAINTAIN THAN NUCLEAR.

Please get a grip on your imaginations and don't let them float about the "value" of nuclear power. Instead please look at the facts, and realize that nuclear energy is plain dangerous. And please keep reading as there is more to say.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the

originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.

2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be “made whole again” if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan’s nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies’ financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California’s reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Terry Ellen Robinson
3662 Midvale Avenue, #5
Los Angeles, CA 90034-6623

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth J Cotton
Crest Dr.
Encinitas, CA 92024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Clara Santiso
6868 Coyote Canyon Road
Somis, CA 93066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Bennigson
2339 Ramona Street
Palo Alto, CA 94301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Grif Fariello
1700 North Point #104
San Francisco, CA 94123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rae newman
7921 S W 100 Street
Miami, CA 92109-6800

(305) 595-5483

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christina Roe
2716 N Adoline Ave.
Fresno, CA 93705

(559) 226-1533

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Monica Shanklin
12745 Matteson Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Claire CHambers
38118 Calle Quedo
Murrieta, CA 92563

9516773663

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vlad Popescu
1134 N. Sycamore Ave Apt 323
Los Angeles, CA 90038

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

MARTIN ANSELL
8715 West Knoll Drive
WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA 90069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

gary jones
2275 huntington drive
san marino, CA 91108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kerry myers
3178 paige ave
simi valley, CA 93063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Lee
201 Orange Grove Ave
South Pasadena, CA 91030

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Salamon
851 Viewridge Drive
San Mateo, CA 94403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kath yeboah
3641 s sepulveda
LOS ANGELES, CA 90034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kincaid Walker
11560 Moorpark St
#101
Studio City, CA 91602-1957

8185091656

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Milano
3130 Rubino Dr.
San Jose, CA 95125

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donna Minkel
1585 Terrace way
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Anje' Waters
14945 Christmas Tree Ln
Grass Valley, CA 95945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

elizabeth alexander
455 n. crescent heights blvd.
los angeles, CA 90048

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Harrell
514 - 24th Street
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-2617

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rebecca Finley
14 E. Valerio St. Apt. 1
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Holly Dowling
PO Box 26
Pope Valley, CA 94567

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eddie Goral
2533B Manhattan Ave
Montrose, CA 91020-2008

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Schechter
2784 Richard Ave.
Cayucos, CA 93430

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

brenna gutell
6692 indianbroom ct
oak park, CA 91377

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Cole, MD
761 Acacia Ave.
Burlingame, CA 94010-3701

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph DiFrancesco
78365 Highway 111, #301
La Quinta, CA 92253

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dorothy Holland
5140 San Lorenzo Dr.
Santa Barbara, CA 93111-2521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dorothy Holland
5140 San Lorenzo Dr.
Santa Barbara, CA 93111-2521

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Chu
1722 28Th Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Joseph Shulman
6249 Romo Street
San Diego, CA 92115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Thank you for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Sweeney
347 Massol Ave #608
Los Gatos, CA 95030-7236

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vada Pinto
660 Woodland Ave.
San Leandro, CA 94577-2835

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Darcy Abrahams
4411 Van Dyke Ave.
San Diego, CA 92116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Oliver
1915 Grace Ave
LOS ANGELES, CA 90068

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kerrigan Mahan
P.O. Box 753
Morro Bay, CA 93443

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Miguel Checa
1085 Cramer Road
Carpinteria, CA 93013-1817

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marsha Lyon
1712 Bervy Street
San Diego, CA 92110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Bair
1231 E. Loma Alta Dr.
Altadena, CA 91001-1509

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. John Paul Coakley
4735 Farmdale Ave
Valley Village, CA 91602

818-623-7948

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kari Millette
7070 Flintwood Way
Sacramento, CA 95831

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marilyn Hansen
6 Chelsea Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marilyn Hansen
6 Chelsea Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

alice speakman
8932 biscayne
huntington bch, CA 92646

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

o lewis
po box 881075
los angeles, CA 90009

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Belle Mason
1026 Chestnut Street
Paso Robles, CA 93446

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marylucia Arace
1134 Cerritos Drive
Fullerton, CA 92835

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tony C. Yang
20815 E. High Country Dr.
Walnut, CA 91789

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

greg hampton
4733 kensington dr
san diego, CA 92116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maxine Ewig
32204 Calle Resac
Temecula, CA 92592

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jo-Shing Yang
PO BOX 189326
Sacramento, CA 95818

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laura Jenkins
10735 Wrightwood Lane
Studio City, CA 91604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Jacobs
1501 Blake Street #205
Berkeley, CA 94703

5108434680

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Jacobs
1501 Blake Street #205
Berkeley, CA 94703

5108434680

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Heather Berk
83595 Waterford Ln
Indio, CA 92203

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Yu-Mei Yang
20815 E. High Country Dr.
Walnut, CA 91789

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Main
3101 McGlenn Dr
Aptos, CA 95003

831 761-2677

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Chu
1722 28Th Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandra Briggs
6516 Lorraine Drive
Riverside, CA 92506

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alice Wanket
1121 E Third St #14
#14
Long Beach, CA 90802-3551

562 983 1902

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Chu
1722 28Th Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

vicki gold
2102 tanager lane
mt shasta, CA 96067

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Dee Simmons
1015 Stimel Drive
Concord, CA 94518-3947

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kenneth Long
27 S Venice Blvd
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Razo
485 Deerhurst Ave
Camarillo, CA 93012

(805) 732-8702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eric Steffen
1317 Mariposa Street
Richmond, CA 94804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robin Vosburg
912 Rockwood Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93308

6613938168

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

I getting the hell out of California, it used to be a great State, but illegals and crooked politicians have now taken over.

Mr. Roy Vanderleelie
61536 Crest Circle Drive
Joshua Tree, CA 92252

760-366-8188

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Norman G Ewers
47 Seton Road
Irvine, CA 92612

949 786 7104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

john alexander
543 mission santa fe circle
chico, CA 95926

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - these reactors are a potential disaster.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I urge you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with CLEAN, RENEWABLE ENERGY and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Blakesley
33 Mallorca
Foothill Ranch, CA 92610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Heidi Page
2810 Piedmont Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gene R. Trapp
2313 Isle Royale Lane
Davis, CA 95616-6619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Kilpatrick
195 San Carlos Way
Novato, CA 94945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

Additionally there is a large amount of radio active waste simply piling up with no plans for safe handling. How can anyone justify adding to this dangerous waste without creating a safe way to handle it? I believe it is immoral and rather stupid to keep running the plants without a safe way to permanently deal with the waste.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around

California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Chris Crescioli
2374 Flora Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-4616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jessica Grady-Benson
Mountain road
Farmington , CT 06032

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Reom
300 olive ave.
Piedmont, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

aa legg
5711 santa cruz ave.
richmond, CA 94804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

cuxan valladares
1876 E 92nd st
los angeles, CA 90002

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Theresa Bulone
2015 Yellow Knife Road
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Hope
345 Church St.
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

TERESA RAMOS
1817 N BELLFLOWER BL
LONG BEACH, CA 90815

(562) 597-9835

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Please do not be yet another case of The Fox Guarding the Hen House. Our country is already bought and sold by Corporate interests. Please do not be yet another stamp for those interests when our lives are at state. Californians already have paid 100s of millions to correct dangerous error by these companies. ENOUGH!!

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Josephs
16661 Ventura Blvd #311
16661 Ventura Blvd
Encino, CA 91436-1955

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

I live less than 3 miles (as the crow flies) from the Diablo Nuclear Power Plant. I am intimately aware that due to the geography and infra-structure of the area, it is highly unlikely that my family would be able to evacuate in case of an accident. When I speak of this, I'm speaking of an accident that doesn't affect the roads and other infrastructure; in other words, an accident that didn't involve a natural disaster. If there is an accident -such as an earthquake- involving a natural disaster, I know we would be told to 'shelter in place', an unacceptable answer to me. Further, given the natural beauty and tourist-attractiveness of our community, any accident -or even great potential for accident- has the ability to devastate our communities.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leslie Kasanoff
1842 8th st
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Scott Grinthal
1721 Los Altos Drive
San Mateo, CA 94402

415 652-2137

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurence Hotchkiss
11316 Cypress Ave
Riverside, CA 92505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Marjorie Moss
2736 Caminito San Pablo
Del Mar, CA, CA 92014-3823

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Candace Batten
1936 Whitmore Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3726

2133210376

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Salamon
851 Viewridge Drive
San Mateo, CA 94403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

We have seen the consequences of nuclear reactors in Japan, now suffering radioactive contamination of soil, water and food and thousands of people forced to abandon their homes.

We must not allow that to happen here in California, and our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks, also located on the coast along earthquake fault lines and near major population and agricultural areas.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free

of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Charlene Woodcock
2355 Virginia Street
2355 Virginia Street
Berkeley, CA 94709-1315

510 843 8724

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Daniel Holeman
50 Sonoma St #12
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eli Carrico
965 N Kingsley Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90029

3238425812

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Trujillo
411 N. Atlantic Blvd
US
Alhambra, CA 91801-2228

714-293-3757

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lori Wilson-Hopkins
12070 Peregrine Way
Auburn, CA 95603

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeannette Welling
2450 Pleasant Way Unit G
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mellanie Branch
3080 Marsh
Cayucos, CA 93443

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Harrell
514 - 24th Street
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-2617

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Antara Scales
2651 Main
santa Monica, CA 90405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Whetstine
13423 Silver Lake Dr
Poway, CA 92064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

maxine lewis
4235 telegraph ave
oakland, CA 94609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andrea Bonnett
2450 N Lake Ave #306
Altadena, CA 91001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jeanne Fobes
328 Aliso Ave.
Newport Beach, CA 92663

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. dinda s evans

pob 178695

#502

san diego, CA 92117-3819

818-470-7221

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cat Weatherup
1441 Creekside Drive
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shreenivas mate
2917 Tyler Court
Simi Valley, CA 93063

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ralph Lovelace
14935 Laguna Ave.
P.O. Box 6020
Clearlake, CA 95422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mari Eliza
499 Alabama
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William and Clarita J Nolan
9889 Gary Dr.
Browns Valley, CA 95918

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shannon Hunter
1621 Warburton Avenue, Apt. 9
Santa Clara, CA 95050-4145

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks.

Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ellen Jordan
480 S Batavia Street
Apt, Suite, Bldg # (Optional)
Orange, CA 92868-3907

7146338121

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Timothy Lippert
210 Donegal Way
Martinez, CA 94553

9255988

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dee Warenycia
104 Stratford Court
Roseville, CA 95661

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Bonnie Breckenridge
4143 44th St.
San Diego , CA 92105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. robert luke
18038 jayhawk drive
penn valley, CA 95946

530 913 3437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donna Boland
555 Francisco Gate 7
San Rafael, CA 94912

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. heather rider
barrington
los angeles, CA 90049

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Naomi Silva

1539 filbert st. #b

B

San francisco, CA 94123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Matthew Heath
3258 Waterview Court
Hayward, CA 94542-2125

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Trent Buckman
3010 cadencia st.
carlsbad, CA 92009

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

LeeAnn Rolls
0000000
rsvl, CA 95678

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tanya Leighton
3796 Alabama Street, Apt. A325
Apt. A325
San Diego, CA 92104

619 255-6971

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marcia Bentley
p.o. box182238
Coronado, CA 92178

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bruce Higgins
4148 Bristlecone Way
Livermore, CA 94551-7103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Michael Rotcher
24542 Tarazona
Mission Viejo, CA 92692

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stacy Thompson
8661 Beechwood Drive
Alta Loma, CA 91701

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steve Fort
2510 Central Ave #203
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Cassidy
930 Rosedale Avenue # 46
Capitola, CA 95010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roderick Brown
4533 North Avenue Unit 3
Unit 3
San Diego, CA 92116-2601

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Steve Trenam
120 A Suncrest Hill Drive
Petaluma, CA 94952-4737

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Patricia A Rhoda
432 N. Patrick Rd
Stockton, CA 95215-1550

(209) 464-7536

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rick cerutti
po box 611
kentfield, CA 94914

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Nancy Byers
2009 Prince St.
Berkeley, CA 94703-2518

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr & Mrs James Grimes
8591 Mossford Dr
Huntington Beach, CA 92646-3944

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jay Hales
2178 Historic Decatur Rd
San Diego, CA 92106

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rev Gregory Yaroslow
111 N Center St
Redlands, CA 92373

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cynthia Lanning Shapero
7283 Saint Estaban St
Tujunga, CA 91042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Shefik
2525 Stuart St., #201
#201
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chuck Wieland
206A Compton Circle
San Ramon, CA 94583

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Grant Rauscher
Bolinās Rd.
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

phoury chhun
914 white knoll dr# 5
los angeles, CA 90012

323 9833635

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Virginia Braski
2355 Bay Street #1
San Francisco, CA 94123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Therese Singleton
938 N Ogden Drive
APT 4
West Hollywood, CA 90046

3237124023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

albert mooney
6933 willis ave
van nuys, CA 91405-3860

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. John Essman
P. O. Box 1381
Healdsburg, CA 95448

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ron Kloberdanz
2751 Kilconway Lane
South San Francisco, CA 94080

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kelly Reed
622 Crocker St
Templeton, CA 93465

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy-efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shoshana Wechsler
59 Kenyon Ave.
Kensington, CA 94708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shannon Joyner
PO Box 1045
Laytonville, CA 95454

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sr. Barbara Lee
480 So. Batavia
Orange, CA 92868

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynne Levine
Los Osos Valley Rd.
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Ruth Sander
508 W. Mill St
Ukiah, CA 95482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sherilyn Jackson
10141 Laramie Ave.
Chatsworth, CA 91311

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Debbie Tenenbaum
1639 Grant St
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jason Fish
13883 Beech Street

Victorville, CA 92392

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diana Kovic
Rambla Serena
San Marcos, CA 92086

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Circus Szalewski
222 S. Central Ave, #128
#128
Los Angeles, CA 90012

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Landry Wildwind
384 Colusa Avenue, Suite 2
Kensington, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marie-Pierre Ruggiero
2880 Broadway
San Diego, CA 92102

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erin Gannon
57 Boardman Place
San Francisco, CA 94103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet & Byron Moore & Carlson
3971 N 3rd
Fresno, CA 93726

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Landman
365 Maple Avenue
Cotati, CA 94931

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. donna lemongello
1606 L St.
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beverly Herbert
575 Lorraine Ave
Santa Barbara , CA 93110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

L Parrish
27420 Schulte rd.
Carmel, CA 93923

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

For the love of humanity, shut these accidents-waiting-to-happen DOWN, please.

Dan Hodul, III, Ph.D.
107 Piper Ct
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Glenn H. Martin
3035 23rd Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Theresa Yandell
3737 Mariana Way
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-4421

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mark podhorecki
rebecca
sf, CA 94124

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Holland Elder
5009 Calle Arquero
Oceanside, CA 92057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Chip Phillips
2033 N BEACHWOOD DR 12
Street Address 2
LOS ANGELES, CA 90068

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rafael Canton
1696 Pelican Ave.
Ventura, CA 93003-6200

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Donald and Diane Lahti
1760 Scottsdale Rd
Beaumont, CA 92223

951-845-0475

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John T. Denton
325 Via Montanosa
Encinitas, CA 92024

(760) 479-1951

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jamila Garrecht
620 E St
Petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

In 1958 ALL mother's milk - worldwide - was contaminated with strontium 90 from nuclear bomb testing; this led to a BAN on above ground nuclear testing. What is needed RIGHT NOW is the shut-down and dismantling of nuclear power plants world-wide, and certainly in California. I was 12 years old in 1958 and lived 45 miles from the Pantex nuclear bomb factory in Texas, where the Geiger counter in my high school was OVER the maximum allowable amount of radiation every second of every year and many people in the area died from bizarre forms of cancer, including two of my grandparents. I also lived three miles from a nuclear power plant in Oregon, and am a liver cancer survivor, my liver cancer being caused in my opinion by overexposure to radiation.

We went through atomic bomb drills in grade school. These 9.0 quakes can happen all over the world. How frightening and stupid is it that the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant in San Luis Obispo County, California, is FOUR BLOCKS from an earthquake fault???

I think we should focus our energies on something we can do something about, which is dismantling our nuclear power plants. Because Los Angeles is about 150 miles from Diablo Canyon and a San Onofre meltdown would affect not only the 14 million people in the Los Angeles Basin but also more millions in Southern, Central, and Northern California – and these plants are much closer to Los Angeles than the six nuclear reactors in Japan are to Tokyo.

We can no longer afford nuclear power and nuclear waste for which there is no safe storage site on this earth. We would be fools if we ignore the damage that a 9.0 earthquake could do to not only the eighth-largest economy in the world, but to the 37 million people who live here. No scientist, no matter how knowledgeable - can say with certainty that a 9.0 quake will never happen here. There have been at least seven earthquakes approximating 9.0 since 1952, six of these on the Pacific Ring of Fire on which California is situated.

We owe it not only to ourselves but to our posterity to be a good and responsible caretaker of this earth and shut down nuclear power worldwide. NOW.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

(1) In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the

commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.

2) Recommend that the original Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be revoked.

3) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 200 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs until they can be dismantled.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. And as we know from the nuclear bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, long-term damage and casualties from a nuclear catastrophe are a certainty which we must avert in California by closing San Onofre and Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plants.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines - near major population and agricultural areas - these reactors are catastrophes waiting to happen.

California's two nuclear sites - Diablo Canyon and San Onofre - are both vulnerable to earthquakes and tidal waves and they have highly radioactive waste piling up onsite. After the Tohoku Japan earthquake on March 11 of this year, the ocean waves from the resulting tsunami which hit California were choppy for two months.

Clean, renewable energy sources and efficiency technologies could easily replace the electricity provided by nuclear reactors. Yet utilities PG&E and Southern California Edison want to keep their reactors running for decades beyond their originally designed and licensed lifetimes. These licenses should not be extended – and it is the responsibility of the California Energy Commission to take the forward-looking and wise view that has propelled California to the top ranks of civilization and recommend that these plants be closed.

These plants are nuclear bombs waiting to go off, which it is within your power to prevent.

Thank you for your prompt consideration in this serious and pressing matter.

Herschel Dosier
1025 Fillmore Apt 2-L
Apt 2-L
San Francisco, CA 94115-4749

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephanie Bermea
3690 Avocado Lane
Oxnard, CA 93033

(805) 271-4793

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ron Schutte
3706 Georgia St #1
San Diego, CA 92103-4650

619 347-2259

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ben carpenter
san francisco
san francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alexander Silverio
1507 San Tomas Ct.
San Jose, CA 95130

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Les Roberts
1134 E. Lansing Way
Fresno, CA 93704

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Nuclear power is expensive and dangerous. Please make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charlotte Price
350 Edlee Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94306

650-858-1502

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eric Isenhowe
3674 Barham Blvd. L306
Los Angeles, CA 90068

(512) 310-8244

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Mintz Kavas
631 Allison Ln.
San Marcos, CA, CA 92069

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. John Holtzclaw
1508 Taylor #5
San Francisco
San Francisco, CA 94133

415-977-5534

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ned Albright
3624 Colonial Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066-2711

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am a homeowner who lives within 10 miles of San Onofre nuclear plant. I watched how vulnerable we all are after watching and learning all about what happened in Japan with the Fukushima disaster. I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Randall Hartman
2345 vermont
2345 Vermont
Torrance, CA 90503

9494134422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Brian Wiles
1100 26th Street
#M34
San Francisco, CA 94107-3527

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

S Hill
255 S. Normandie Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90004

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Bourienne
14304 Highway 9
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Ferrito
9 Simons Way
Los Gatos, CA 95030-6115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

I really hope you get it. It is the 21st century after all.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ANA CORIA
3452 CHARLEMAGNE AVE.
LONG BEACH , CA 90808-2501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Winant
2737 Miradero Dr
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-3058

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Marsha Gruen
5870 Green Valley Circle, No. 228
Culver City, CA 90230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephen Markel
4242 Coolidge Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066-5416

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Oliver Lapuebla
7415 Alsacia Street
San Diego, CA 92139

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

joan fernandez
138 harvard street
mill valley, CA 94941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Glover
2975 E Indianapolis Ave
Fresno, CA 93726-2313

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Becci Greene
5855 Bodega Avenue
Petaluma, CA 94952-9666

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

steven markell
2916 redwood
costa mesa, CA 92626

7147466705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sue Habegger
10083 Grinding Rock Dr.
Grass Valley, CA 95949

530-274-7481

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vicky Lovetro
5072 Hawley Ct
San Jose, CA 95118-2123

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carla Cogswell
2220 San Bernardo Ave.
P.O. Box 1015
Hemet, CA 92545

9519271687

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alice Schenker
1309 Parker St.
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erika Oba
3018 Fulton St. #A
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

susan rigali
19222 arminta
reseda, CA 91335

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Carr
5935 Jordan
El Cerrito, CA 94530

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rick Garvey
234 Horizon #5
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gina Gatto
18755 Crest Ave
Castro Valley, CA 94546-2731

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

judi muller
3063 Lucinda Ln
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Just as Chernobyl did 25 years ago, the Fukushima disaster demonstrated perfectly that there is no such thing as safe nuclear power.

Because of this. I am asking that you plan a nuclear free future for California. San Onofre (30 miles from my home) sits on a major fault line. The facility has a terrible safety record, and is currently leaking radioactive tritium. There is no way the San Onofre plant can be operated safely.

Please make the decommissioning of San Onofre and Diablo Canyon the centerpiece of California's energy future.

Leo McDevitt
770 Sycamore Ave
Vista, CA 92083

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hykue Spickler
1259 El Camino Real
Menlo Park, CA 04025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Zsanine Alexander
1339 Norton Ave.
Glendale, CA 91202

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Hall
5252 Balboa Arms Dr # 291
San Diego, CA 92117-4943

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Abigail Bates
2546 Granville Avenue
Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA 90064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Gabriella Turek
112 N. Michigan Ave #12
Michiga Ave. #12
Pasadena, CA 91106-1858

64-0-3-384-

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

It's time to think about the future, and there's NO future in nuclear power! As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

L.M. Arndt
810 Idylberry Road
s, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

tara cufau
po box 1777
loomis, CA 95650

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Chris Ashton
9357 Lake Murray Blvd. Unit B
San Diego, CA 92119

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donald Nelson
291 E. Bay BL.
Port Hueneme , CA 93041

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Maria Jackson
1709 Corralitos Ave.
1709 Corralitos Ave.
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-3012

8055436438

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

The earthquake and especially the dangers from the nuclear reactors in Japan were horrifying, and we need to take steps to be sure this can't happen in California. I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, it is important to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Theresa Rieve
5321 Dent Avenue
San Jose, CA 95118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jessica Paolini
4876 Speak Lane
San Jose, CA 95118

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Laurence Garces
36 Bayview Ave
Larkspur, CA 94939-2007

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elaine Alfaro
752 Glen Canyon Rd.
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Suzanne Saul
5780 Balmoral dr.
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jamie Zazow
733 Marine
Santa Monica, CA 90405

(310) 314-6952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Lindner
24 Corte Oriental
Greenbrae, CA 94904

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

javier Olmos
3933 Marathon St.
Los Angeles, CA 90029-3662

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Joel Hildebrandt
3044a Halcyon Ct.
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

yoshi miyamoto
3676 Clarington Ave 10
los angeles, CA 90034

818-757-7503

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandy Gilbert
20665 Nancy Court
Cupertino, CA 95014

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Russell Grindle
2442 E Atlantic Ave
Fairfield, CA 94533-1680

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

karen comeegys
1725 Cedar Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Weinstock
932 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Serena H Lim
2147 Parker St
Berkeley, CA 98502

360 970 2919

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

barbara schlitz
1596 Molitor Road
belmont, CA 94002

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gail O'Hanlon
46 W. Summit drive
Emerald Hills, CA 94062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

JM AURNAGUE
365 TALBOT AV
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lorretta Marcel
781 Corbett Ave.
San Francisco , CA 94131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathie Rosvall
3286 Sugarbush Terr.
Vista, CA 92084-665

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joy Zadaca
203 W Stewart Way
Long Beach, CA 90807-1927

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jerry Hudgins
P.O. Box 1408
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956-1408

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mohamed el amine benmouaz
n:29 hay el hamadia chlef algérie BP:2000
hay el hamadia, ot 2000

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Susan Hanger
20940 Waveview
Topanga, CA 90290

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nedra Moore
1832 Vera Avenue
Redwood City,, CA 94061

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Lohr
P.O. Box 461014
Escondido, CA 92046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Therese DeBing
3361 1/2 Ocean Blvd
Cayucos, CA 93430

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith VanKirk
400 Deer Valley Rd 4G
San Rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

M. Delatte
220 Quincy
Long Beach, CA 90803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith VanKirk
400 Deer Valley Rd 4G
San Rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Constance Sutton
877 The Alameda
Berkeley, CA 94707

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frances Alet
5324 Parkmor Rd.
Calabasas, CA 91302

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Daniel Kangas
3520 Pinecrest Ave.
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

530-542-3272

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charles Johnson
611 S Palm Canyon Dr
#7-314
Palm Springs, CA 92264

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hugh Moore
5342 w. 127th street
HAWTHORNE, CA 90250-4133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maureen Vanderbosch
23 Mercato
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joanne Tenney
441 W El Norte Parkway #306
Escondido, CA 92026

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Laura Overmann
508 El Camino Real #4
Burlingame, CA 94010-5141

6503432554

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Sirs, as a California ratepayer and resident, i think that this is a very important issue for us. I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Bruce Odelberg
33900 Dangberg Drive
Kirkwood, CA 95646

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

johanna gyuro
3745 cypress avenue
brooklyn, NY 11224

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Wendy Oser
1439 Santa Fe Ave
Berkeley, CA 94702

510 559 8910

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mauro Ferrero
7831 Stewart Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90045

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. dennis thomas
147 st. germain
pleasant hill, CA 94523

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Torunn Sivesind
PO Box 536
Lafayette, CA 94549

925 829-3741

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. kathleen weaver
15490 Hwy 299W
shasta, CA 96087

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Burks
574 Woodbine Drive
San Rafael, CA 94903

(707) 576-6653

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lee Perkins
5525 Cascabel Rd
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. vinu arumugham
1860 bexley landing
San Jose, CA 95111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andrea Story
18674 Evergreen Cir
Fountain Valley , CA 92708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Carol A Soto
1643 Castro #1
San Francisco, CA 94114

(415) 282-1721

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

A. Joan Gravel
2038 Trevino Ave.
Oceanside, CA 92056

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

A. Joan Gravel
2038 Trevino Ave.
Oceanside, CA 92056

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Darlene Lovell
721 45th Street
Bakersfield, CA 93301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Lappo
10237 Fernglen Ave., Apt. #203
Apt. #203
Tujunga, CA 91042

(818) 353-2956

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

JAY Cassity
19 Diamante
Irvine, CA 92620

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

pat eubanks
7963 amador ave
yucca valley, CA 92284

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Louis Brown
463 Lincoln ave
Cotati, CA 94931

7077939034

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Celeste Hong
4758 Cromwell Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Weinert
72 Gates Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jackie Pomies
1271 - 38th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Krystal McCullough
1601N. Poinsettia Pl #314
#314
Los Angeles, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elinor Vega
11544 arroyo avenue
Hesperia, CA 92345-1942

760-956-9061

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Krystal McCullough
1601N. Poinsettia Pl #314
#314
Los Angeles, CA 90046

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Brooks
2042 Ward Street
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Kimberly Walter
174 Rodney Street
#117
Encinitas, CA 92024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bro. Noel DeBruton, sdb
13640 Bellflower Blvd
Bellflower, CA 90706

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stephanie Falzone
1529 Union St Apt A
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

wes burkholder
4386 alabama street #14
san diego, CA 92104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Armando Aspiras
5355 Brophy Drive
Fremont, CA 94536-7247

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Comments from various uninformed groups and individuals about our two nuclear plants being "risky" or dangerous under earthquakes and "tidal waves" are not based in fact.

As the final report to you by your consultants clearly indicates, both Calif. plants have excellent operational records, both appear to have safety margins to earthquakes that exceed even present, new estimates.

www.energy.ca.gov/2008publications/CEC-100-2008-005/CEC-100-2008-005-F.PDF

It's certainly true that further analyses of both sites' vulnerabilities is called for, especially with regard to San Onofre and fuel storage, but it's also clear that risks for failure are more likely in non-nuclear portions of these plants, just as in any conventional power plant.

I urge you, as an environmentalist and engineer, to stay with facts and not be swayed by uniformed bias. It's especially important to recognize the irrelevance of the Fukushima management & regulation tragedy to our reactors.

Sincerely,

--

Dr. A. Cannara
Menlo Park, Calif.
650-400-3071

Dr. A. Cannara
2043 Sterling Av.
Menlo Pk., CA 94025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nabila Badawy
211 S. Lucia Ave.
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Terri Eddings
443 N Lomita St
Burbank, CA 91506

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jan Lochner
3710 Hicks Road
Sebastopol, CA 95472

(707) 823-3265

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Miki Gillman
1874 McCollum Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405-2042

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Micah McIntyre
Calle Oro Verde
Valley Center, CA 92082

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Frieda Brock
3061 E. Hillcrest Dr.
Westlake Village, CA 91362

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Claire Perricelli
2259 16th
Eureka, CA 95501

7074430493

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose grave risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nada Ballator

1835 Roosevelt Ave
Redwood City, CA 94061

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

virginia eagan
2412 Guynn Ave. PO Box 6316
PO Box 6316
Chico, CA 95927

530 891-0482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara King
PO Box 29448
Los Angeles, CA 90029

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert Callahan
2026 Back Ranch Rd.
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

john pasqua
209w3rdave
escondido, CA 92025-4018

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

C.J. McCarter
21408 Birch St.
Hayward, CA 94541

510-247-0485

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynne s
8301-78 Mission Gorge Road
Santee, CA 92071

6193285573

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Athena Clevenger
1804 N. Sheffield Ave.
Chicago, IL 60614

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Stacey McCulloch
430 N. Chaparral Way
Crestone, CO 81131

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

carolyn jaramillo
524 Manor Dr.
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lucette Spitzer
7160 Aptos View Rd
Aptos, CA 95003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Linda C Jones
1349 Hollowell St
Ontario, CA 91762-2807

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandy Emberland
2440 Gillingham Circle
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Kilcoyne
1192 3rd. St.
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Jill Blaisdell
5152 Earl Dr.
La Canada, CA 91011

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Andrew Christie
1710 Stuart
Cambria, CA 93428

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

rob spac
115 n mountain ave
claremont, CA 91711

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kayla Carpenter
1955 Chestnut St. Apt. 103
Apt. 103
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Renee Lusian
41 Riversea
Seal Beach, CA 90740

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Matthew Quellas
4143 Perlita Ave Apt A
Apt A
Los Angeles, CA 90039-1334

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Iris Edinger
5534 Pattilar Ave.
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

818 883-4723

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

Please, no nuclear reactors in California.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Hirth
1309 Cornell
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Sanders
2022 Driftstone Dr.
Glendora, CA 91740-5388

626 914-0410

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Pann
2512 Aiken Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90064-3306

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Gregory Friedman
3530 Monte Real
Monte Real
Escondido, CA 92029

760-480-4486

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

There are SO MANY other alternatives to such a risky source of power, I WILL NOT VOTE FOR ANYONE IN FAVOR OF NUCLEAR POWER. thanks for your time.

sean ingoldsby
401 grand
Ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marsha Lowry
1070 Mitchell Way
1070 Mitchell Way
El Sobrante, CA 94803-1023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Dexter
PoBox 10153
North Hollywood, CA 91609

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Donna halliday
5575 San Jacinto
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Starr
420 E De La Guerra
Apt 108
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Boyd
784 Ramona Avenue
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Hammel
1149 Grand Teton Dr.
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Milton Carrigan
2250 King Ct. #7
San Luis Obispo, CO 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Lumiere-Wins
6014 Majestic Avenue
Oakland, CA 94605

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kimberly Kolpin
5202 Edinger Avenue
Huntington Beach, CA 92649

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I was appalled as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do not want that to happen in California. Our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre may well pose similar risks. Sitting on the coast along earthquake fault lines, and near major population and agricultural areas these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, please ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Please make the following recommendations as part of your Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sharon Morris
23693 Glenbrook Lane
Lane
Hayward, CA 94541-4458

510 886 5058

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

john martinez
323 n. soto st. #70
east los angeles, CA 90033-1814

323 261-4513

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sarah Mayhew
2713 Cumberland Place
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Norma Odell
9 Lakeshore Terrace
N/A
Chico, CA 95928

530-566-1348

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

a.n. brooks
2010 Ward street
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Prola
4757 Clydelle Ave #2
San Jose, CA 95124

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carolyn Smith
1660 Humboldt Rd #3
Chico, CA 95928

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water, food, and marine life with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. Even prior to this disaster the inherent risk of a nuclear accident and the indefinite radioactive waste outweigh ANY benefit to nuclear energy.

Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- the Diablo Canyon and San Onofre reactors are disasters waiting to happen on a scale like Japan.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace ASAP the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plant.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners, businesses, and marine life would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 500 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Katherine O'Neill

4824 Rainbow Drive
Weed, CA 96094

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patty Sparks
11847 Laurelwood Drive # 206
Studio City, CA 91604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erika Anderson
PO Box 3754
Santa Barbara, CA 93130

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Candy Bowman
4361 Turnbridge Dr
Sacramento, CA 95823-1931

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Forbes
417 Heathcliff Dr.
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet V Pielke
1589 Webster Ave.
Claremont, CA 91711

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Daniel Kozarsky
366 Sierra Vista Ave., #12
#12
Mountain View, CA 94043

650-967-8849

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

jodi brandt
773 Lomita
Ojai, CA 93023

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

NUCLEAR POWER IS THE DINOSAUR OF ENERGY. STOP NOW

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patsy Lowe
942 Breton Ave
Simi Valley, CA 93065

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shannon Healey
425 Walnut St
Apt2
San Carlos, CA 94070

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lisa Gherardi
435 Alberto Way #16
Los Gatos, CA 95032-5425

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, please make every effort to ensure that San Onofre and Diablo reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, the should Commission make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) The appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) The commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) The original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) The emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors be increased from 20 to 50 miles or more, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of nuclear reactors.

Celia Kutcher
34681 Calle los Robles
Capistrano Beach, CA 92624

9494969689

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edith Cacciatore
2908 Topaz Drive
Novato, CA 94945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeff Burns
16037 Gault St. #2
Van Nuys, CA 91406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Charlene Cooper
15608 Sandel Avenue
Gardena, CA 90248

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Levendos
5315 Cribari Glen
San Jose, CA 95135-1318

408-274-1535

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Ibach
3252 Samantha St.
Santa Ynez, CA 93427

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California resident, I was horrified to learn about the nuclear disaster in Japan that contaminated soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forced tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors could be disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rachael Denny
4082 Interlake Road
Bradley, CA 93426

805-472-9036

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Juliette Todd
6901 Chiala Lane
San Jose, CA 95129

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

julie kramer
1288 church
san francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lillian Horwitz
3274 Corinth Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lillian Horwitz
3274 Corinth Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ron Zielske
9332 Trebbiano Cir
Elk Grove, CA 95624-4620

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alice Elliot
5615 Gaskill St.
Oakland, CA 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

linda petrulias
23 silvia drive
cazadero, CA 95421

(707) 632-5532

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Alecko Caldwell
3435 Simmons St.
Oakland, CA 94619-3429

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Briggs
5402 Baja Drive
San Diego, CA 92115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marcie Keever
1906 35th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dona van Bloemen
1117 3rd. Street Apt. 5
Santa Monica, CA 90403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roland Press
1290 7th St.
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-4946

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dona van Bloemen
1117 3rd. Street Apt. 5
Santa Monica, CA 90403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lena Nielsen-Mackley
6920 San Francisco St
Highland, CA 92346

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Samuels
6150 Canoga Ave., Apt 123
Apt 123
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

818-883-1381

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eleanor Cuevas
413 Chestnut Ave
Sonoma, CA 95476-3416

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Corey Valenzuela
13992 Azalea Ave
Poway, CA 92064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeb Pronto
PO Box 13
Weimar, CA 95736

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Birgit Hermann
627 Page Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leslie MacKay
57 Hancock St., #3
3
San Francisco, CA 94114

(415) 565-0415

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

JOHN GOLDING
3706 quigley
Oakland, CA 94619

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am also concerned with nuclear waste being buried underground.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly

sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennie Ru Chival
Fairview Dr.
Paradise, CA 95969

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nino Petroni
142 Beryl Ct.
Hercules, CA 94547

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Albert Sickinger
14911 Dusk Street
Irvine, CA 92604

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen E Smith
5209 Shelato Way
Carmichael, CA 95608

(916) 971-1915

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Michael W W Evans
3731 S Sepulveda Blvd Apt 1
Apt 1
Los Angeles, CA 90034-6888

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Padilla
1207 W. Dolores st.
Wilmington, CA 90744

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Diane Snow
1653 Avenida Del Manzano
Camarillo, CA 93010-1805

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Fiona Priskich
7 Old Tower Court
Darlington, CA 90210-5432

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Ackroyd
74479 Candlewood St
Palm Desert, CA 92260

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Gallegos
2341 Northrop #D111
Sacramento, CA 95825

916-390-9672

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurie Shiels
3135 Campus Dr #223
San Mateo, CA 94403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roger Lebow
197 N. Mountain Trail Ave.
Sierra Madre, CA 91024

626 355-7620

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Pat Kurzman
2 Redondo Ct.
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tim Warner
3829 Hollypark Pl.
Los Angeles, CA 90039

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roberto Romo
3227 Anza St.
San Francisco, CA 94121

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Alongi
2250 King Ct., #7
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Arthur Kennedy
6768 Sueno Road
Isla Vista, CA 93117-4904

805 968-2379

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Fikes
310 Esplanade Ave Apt 71
APT 71
Pacifica, CA 94044

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beverly Stevens
5 Narragansett Cove
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Julie A. Smith
1048 Bay Oaks Drive
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Arthur Belton
PO Box 10227
Oakland, CA 94610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mort Cohn
1822 Virginia st
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bruce Brown
1316 North Rosewood Place
Anaheim, CA 92805-1337

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Greg Rosas
4353 Edwards Ln
Castro Valley, CA 94546

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

yseult biwer
23143 canzonet st
woodland hills, CA 91367

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Renee Reinero
3537 N. Hwy 59
Meerced, CA 95348

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Esperanza Martinez
1186 Bowdoin St.
San Francisco, CA 94134-1804

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. ARTHUR AYALA
204 S. 1st AVE.
COVINA, CA 91723

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Christian L Heinold
547 24th St Apt 21
Apt. 22
Oakland, CA 94612

510-835-2703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Robert Hall
1946 Grove St. Apt. 3
Apt. #3
San Francisco, CA 94117-1149

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Natalie Hodapp
19585 599 Avenue
Mankato, MN 56001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Cook
5266 Bindewald Rd.
Torrance, CA 90505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Denneen
1040 Cielo Lane
Nipomo, CA 93444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Giordani
7201 Lennox Avenue #314
Van Nuys, CA 91405-2328

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jack Couch
4091 Weymouth Lane
Sacramento, CA 95823

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonnie Shelley
7 Autumn Leaf Pl.
Santa Rosa, CA 95409-6214

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

I have camped at Montana de Oro and listened the unholy whir of the reactor just around the corner, photographed around Los Osos and Morro Bay and traveled through San Luis Obispo wine country many times marveling at the sirens on telephone poles there to warn citizens and wine sippers the inevitable has happened and they better drink up before they melt down. It's not a risk worth taking. Follow Germany's lead and decommission this ticking time bomb.

I used to attend UCSD and would always pass by San Onofre en route. It should also be decommissionedd.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for

Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian von Dedenroth
509 Valley Vista Dr.
Camarillo, CA 93010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

dave tindel

111 n1st

#10

capitola, CA 95010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Edie Bruce
1116 King Drive
El Cerrito, CA 94530-2512

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sara Templeton
1878 San Jose Ave
San Francisco, CA 94112

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

patti mcwilliams
4112 24th street
san francisco, CA 94114

415 206-0776

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alexandra Graziano
1408 Calle Morera
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Doug Bender
261 Vista del Parque
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

deborah levin
2092 grandview dr
camarillo, CA 93010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathryn MacDonald
690 5th St.
San Francisco, CA 94107

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

JANE KELSBERG
1715 HILLCREST AVE
Antioch, CA 94509-2009

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bill Denneen
1040 Cielo Lane
Nipomo, CA 93444

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Pam Stein
1460 Andrews St.
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

C C Churilla
P O Box 124
Temecula, CA 92593

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Katherina Koller
3000 Augusta St
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eric Wedel
1023 Tulane Drive
Mountain View, CA 94040

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynda Varner
7448 Batista St.
San Diego, CA 92111

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Gail Rains
P O Box 662022
n/a
Sacramento, CA 95866

916 7152796

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bianca Molgora
3967 Folsom St.
3976 Folsom St
San Francisco , CA 94110

415-000-0000

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Virginia DeMoss
331 MiraMar Ave
Long Beach, CA 90814

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nan Singh-Bowman
10361 California Drive
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

8313369227

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer laporta
3812 Laguna Av
Oakland, CA 94602-3006

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Linda Kolakosky
1198 Willowhaven Dr
San Jose, CA 95126

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I have always been concerned about the possibility of the San Onofre Nuclear Plant becoming damaged by an earthquake beyond its capacity to contain nuclear emissions. The melting nuclear reactors in Japan have convinced that I was right in being concerned. Close all nuclear plants. Start with ones on fault lines and those that are vulnerable to tsunamis first, then the rest. It is beyond belief that we keep producing more nuclear waste when we cannot yet and probably never store it safely. We are fools

I live fairly close to San Onofre. So I am at risk from foolish policies.

I want you to fight to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Here are some specific recommendations for the Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

Thanks for having the common sense to prevent the possibility of a major nuclear catastrophe.

Wren Osborn

1151 Pine Drive
El Cajon, CA 92020

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I have always been concerned about the possibility of the San Onofre Nuclear Plant becoming damaged by an earthquake beyond its capacity to contain nuclear emissions. The melting nuclear reactors in Japan have convinced that I was right in being concerned. Close all nuclear plants. Start with ones on fault lines and those that are vulnerable to tsunamis first, then the rest. It is beyond belief that we keep producing more nuclear waste when we cannot yet and probably never store it safely. We are fools

I live fairly close to San Onofre. So I am at risk from foolish policies.

I want you to fight to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Here are some specific recommendations for the Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs.

Thanks for having the common sense to prevent the possibility of a major nuclear catastrophe.

Wren Osborn

1151 Pine Drive
El Cajon, CA 92020

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Rippner
6448 Squire Ct
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Florian
8828 Clifton Way
Beverly Hills, CA 90211

3104675275

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mary rossi
10763 keith st
santee, CA 92071

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jodi Frediani
1015 Smith Grade
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I am most concerned that nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre cannot withstand major earthquake activity that we KNOW is likely. We all watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. Such a scenario is NOT unlikely in California since our reactors are just as close to population centers and agriculture as well as the Pacific Ocean. As a California ratepayer and resident, I ask that you do everything you can to make sure that does NOT happen here in California.

Nuclear reactors do not belong in active seismic zones!!

I believe, the Diablo Canyon and San Onofre reactors should be replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies. As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering my comments. Please do your best.

Virginia Madsen
13461 Aurora Drive #H
San Leandro, CA 94577

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

susanna sorin
P.O. Box 2578
Helendale, CA 92342

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

Let us be prudent and courageous at this time.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alice Waco
918 Benton St
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Katherine & Art Porebski
18445 Round Mtn Ranch Rd.
Nevada City, CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Phil Raider
620 5th Ave
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marcie Ligammari
6100 N. libby
Paradise, CA 95969

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diana Benjamin
4100 Woodcliff Road
sherman Oaks, CA 91403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

anita simons
2217 caminito preciosa sur
la jolla, CA 92037

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ann Dunkin
475 8th Ave
Menlo Park, CA 94025

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

You had the sense to shut down the Humboldt Bay reactor after the Big Alaska quake and tsunami in the early 70's you should do the same with these . DO IT NOW before it's too LATE.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

D Kessler
Po 457
Redway, CA 95560

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathleen Hansen
368 White Rd,
Watsonville, CA 95076

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bobo Tang
Arden Way
Davis, CA 95618

94230451

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. jon schell

1

los Angeles, CA 90027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Candy LeBlanc
4361 Turnbridge Dr
Sacramento, CA 95823

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Schwob
5675-B Horton St
Emeryville, CA 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carl Stilwell
65 N. Allen Ave #222
Pasadena, CA 91106

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kristin Womack
396 San Francisco Boulevard
San Anselmo, CA 94960

(415) 456-5267

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Hemingway
420 North Ontare Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dr. Richard Kranzdorf
160 Graves
San Luis Obispo, CA 9

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Catherine Dishion
565 Parra Grande Ln.
Montecito, CA 93108

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maria Rausis
2380 Gabriel Ave.
Mountain View, CA 94040

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janene Frahm
P.O. Box 2243
San Anselmo, CA 94979

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gaile carr
1821 eddy dr
mtshasta, CA 96067

5309264923

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ryan Davis
250 N. First St.
Burbank, CA 91502

818-567-1095

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

T Abraham
9 Tramonti Ct
Sacramento, CA 95835

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners: My husband and I are native Californians, both in our 80's. As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free

of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia Underhill
1946 Millbrook Drive
Lodi, CA 95242

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kate Brotherton
25885 Trabuco Rd. #136
Lake Forest, CA 92630

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Pauline Nutt
414 Norfolk Dr.
Cardiff by the Sea, CA 92007

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marilynn Fasick
11383 Bartlett Ave
ADELANTO, CA 92301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dina Wilson
3685 17th St #7
San Francisco, CA 94114

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Margaret Wilson
1331 Iguana Cir
Ventura, CA 93003

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Dawn
1171 Toro St.
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-3308

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leonard Thomas
2912 Cherimoya Court
Antelope, CA 95843

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Belway
El Camino Bueno
Ross, CA 04057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Susan Belway
El Camino Bueno
Ross, CA 04057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Coleman
8252 Crossoak Way
Orangevale, CA 95662

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Charnes
1170 Elm
Ukiah, CA 95482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Beckwith
2931 Ellis St.
Berkeley, CA 94703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Rosenthal
1328 S Sierra Bonita Av
LA, CA 90019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sylvia De Baca
718 Via Los Santos
San Dimas, CA 91773

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barbara Taylor
7950 Santa Ynez
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

James Kao
1728 E. 3rd Street
Unit 11
Long Beach, CA 90802

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Garner
320 Killdeer Lane
Oceanside, CA 92057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erica Seelig
734 N. Pine St.
Ukiah, CA 95482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

joanna economakos
3258 New York Ave.
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Last

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Erica Seelig
734 N. Pine St.
Ukiah, CA 95482

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ann Rennacker
31200 Sherwood Rd
ft Bragg, CA 95437

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marita mayer
12 austin ave.
san anselmo, CA 94960

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Sanchez
89 Dean Road Apt. A
Sacramento, CA 95815-3738

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Susaan Aram
1361 Terrace Way
Laguna Beach , CA 92651

(949) 497-4955

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Phil Gold
1653 Morada Place
Altadena, CA 91001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brian Murphy
4856 Sylmar Ave.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-1716

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

P.S. I Love Wildlife love Brandon M Bean. AND FOR OUR KIDS LIVE & THEY FUTURE TO.

"In this great future, you can't forget your past..." Bob Marley

P.S. I Love Wildlife love Brandon M Bean.

Thank you for helping to save these executives why saving the Western Gray Whale important.

Thank you for considering my comments. I look forward to your swift action to ensure a complete ban on whaling in Iceland.

Sincerely,

Thank you for your consideration of this issue.

Thank you for considering my views on this issue. I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely, Thank you for considering my views on this issue. I look forward to your reply.

Yours truly, Thank you for considering my comments. Thank you for taking my concerns into consideration. Sincerely, Thank you for considering my comments. P.S. I Love Wildlife love Brandon M Bean. Thank you for receiving my comments. Sincerely, Brandon M Bean 2019 Los Feliz dr Apt 8 Thousand Oaks CA 91362-3030 Brandonmbean@yahoo.com I love 8stops7 They Rock. <http://www.8stops7.com> ?Thank you for considering and conveying my message to your government. Sincerely, Brandon M Bean 805.657.7565

I love 8stops7 They Rock. <http://www.8stops7.com>

<http://www.facebook.com/brandon.m.bean>

Sincerely,

BRANDON M BEAN
805-657-7565

Brandon M Bean
2019 Los Feliz Dr Apt 8
apt 8
THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91362-3030

805-657-7565

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennifer Cone
614 E. Plumeria Court
Brea, CA 92821

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Lam
14821 Del Amo Avenue
Tustin, CA 92780

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Deborah Yoo
1100 Wilshire Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90017

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. laura maione
po1754
mendocino, CA 95460

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lilith Magdalene
PO Box 1478
Middletown, CA 95461

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Kermit Cuff
338 Mariposa Ave. #2
#2
Mountain View, CA 94041

(650) 938-2279

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are Fukushima disasters waiting to happen in California!!

As the Energy Commission considers California's energy future, I am sure that you will reach a reasonable conclusion: ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy-efficient technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gabriele Schubert
2345 West Jewett St.
San Diego, CA 92111-6019

(858) 565-2458

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Greg Amour
11349 Orange Dr
Whittier, CA 90606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Maryellen Redish
671 S. Riverside Dr. #6
#6
Palm Springs, CA 92264

760-322-6169

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

A Tsao
725 Greenwich St
San Francisco, CA 94133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

A Tsao
725 Greenwich St
San Francisco, CA 94133

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maggie Harding
P.O. Box 27205
San Francisco, CA 94127

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Fishkin
1742 Fell St.
San Francisco, CA 94117-2027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Celeste Anacker
2814 Miradero Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-3024

(805) 648-5790

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol McMahon
6854 Slhy Park Road
Placerville, CA 95667

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Lois Robin
4701 Nova Dr.
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

831 4641184

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Gilbert
1037 N. Rice Rd.
Ojai, CA 93023

8056467686

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph Gilbert
1037 N. Rice Rd.
Ojai, CA 93023

8056467686

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lauren Bryant
2915 Hopeton Rd.
La Crescenta, CA 91214-1323

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lee Sutter
Manzanita Drive
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lynnette Perkes
15832 Lime Grove Road
Poway, CA 92064

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alexis Montgomery
3650 First Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

We need work on developing clean, renewable energy resources like solar and wind. So many of my friends and family live along the coast in San Luis Obispo county very near aging the Diablo Canyon power plant. I really don't want to see anything happen to them or their children. There are also wetlands near there that are the habitat for many flocks of birds and schools of fish. The Morro Bay estuary is an important breeding ground and nursery for all sorts of wildlife. We need to work on shutting these old plants down when their licenses expire and replace the power they generate with safe, renewable and efficient technologies. Right now they are ticking timebombs.

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic

vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.

4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thank you,
Carver Cordes

Carver Cordes
1221 A 13th Avenue
Oakland, CA 94606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Merriam Kathaleen
2112 Surrey Pl
Campbell, CA 95008/4305

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sherill cox

big fir

mccloud, CA 96057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Griesgraber
PO Box 144
Finley, CA 95435

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Yael Pardess
4866 Glenalbyn Dr
LA, CA 90065

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kathy duke
1663 mono ave
san leandro, CA 94578

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kathy duke
1663 mono ave
san leandro, CA 94578

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Delores Yanko
1000 S. Gilbert St.
Apt 116
Hemet, CA 92543

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Dietrick
108 Orchard Dr
Ventura, CA 93001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Dietrick
108 Orchard Dr
Ventura, CA 93001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Dietrick
108 Orchard Dr
Ventura, CA 93001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes contaminating all of Japan, the Pacific, and even the west coast of North America.

I do NOT want failure of nuclear reactors to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose nearly identical risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources ASAP.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

As a long time PG&E rate payer I remember having to pay a premium in my electric bill to cover the cost of decommissioning Diablo Canyon nuclear plant. I thought this premium was actually to decommission this facility. So why isn't it done? What happened to this money?

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Cheri Jensen
13737 Quito
Saratoga, CA 95070

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jason Bowman
4361 Turnbridge Dr
1
Sacramento, CA 95823

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Judith Alter
8117 Croydon Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90045

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. franchezska zamora
403 n. catalina ave
Pasadena, CA 91106-1005

626 578 0621

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Victor L Lawrence
2612 calle abedul
thousand oaks , CA 91360

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Cindy Meyers
531 Altivo ave.
La Selva Beach, CA 95076

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leigh Hill
8016 Pine Drive
Felton, CA 95018

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joyce Sortland
306 Pleasant St., Apt. 2
Grass Valley, CA 95945

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol S. Bostick
2175 California St., # 404
Concord, CA 94520-7317

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. John H. H Anderson
4042 Albatross, Apt 38
Apt 38
San Diego, CA 92103-1981

(619) 297-6057

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Jon Bazinet
15972 Via Paro
Apt 2
San Lorenzo, CA 94580

510.206.0801

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ron Avila
2027 Mission Street #411
San Francisco, CA 94110-1245

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jake Gutman
1100 Via De La Paz
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Laurie Morales
6949 Ridgeway Drive
Pollock Pines, CA 95726

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Debbie Richards
5675 N. Fresno St
Fresno, CA 93710-6066

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

abeer gaber
10436 amestoy avenue
granada hills, CA 91344

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leila Jackson
9921 Robbins Dr. #4
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Justin Beck
2211 Goldleaf Lane
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

(707) 568-6052

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. David Sherman
1923 Marin Dr
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Anthony Castillo
628 Indiana Ave
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dina Angress
720 S.Petaluma Blv. #29
Petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jim Dorenkott
825 Hayes St.
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Christina Pham
337 Lime Avenue
Apt. #4
Long Beach, CA 90802

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rosalind Bresnahan
500 Edgerton Dr.
San Bernardino, CA 92405-2318

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Yael Kisel
11641 Morrison St.
Valley Village, CA 91601

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lesley Hudak
3 Rita Way
Orinda, CA 94563

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ken Thomas
3716 South Shady Street
Visalia, CA 93277

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ghida Banat
510 E. 3rd street
Pomona, CA 91766

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Barry Kaufman
936 N. Keystone St.
Burbank, CA 91506-1525

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jennie Wall
26851 La Alameda
Mission Viejo, CA 92691

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Greg Amour
11349 Orange Dr
Whittier, CA 90606

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Abraham Omorenimwen Oboruemuh
c/o esther lee la sierra university 4500 riverwalk parkway apt 1
Riverside, CA 92515

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Abraham Omorenimwen Oboruemuh
c/o esther lee la sierra university 4500 riverwalk parkway apt 1
Riverside, CA 92515

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mercedes Roman
#4-344 Shelter Creek Lane
San Bruno, CA 94066-6024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Kenneth Korten
125 W. Micheltorena St. Apt. C
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marinda hargrove
2409 w 236 place
torrance, CA 90501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas Parks
1068 Pacific St
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

David Saperia
901 10th Street #207
901 10th Street #207
Santa Monica, CA 90403

(310) 458-9790

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Teevan
1136 Misty Creek Street
Chula Vista, CA 91913

(619) 934-8180

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dale Le Fevre
PO Box 1641
Mendocino, CA 95460

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Fred Frank
3615 Ardilla Rd
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Randall Daugherty
400 S Flower St Unit 109
Unit 109
Orange, CA 92868

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Betsy Fiske
727 S. Lee Ave.
Lodi, CA 95240

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Diller
7370 Witter Rd.
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dana Friedman
22631 PCH # 465
Malibu, CA 90265-5036

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeanne Fallon
480 S Batavia St.
Orange, CA 92868

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Tim Martinson
10708 Passerine Way
Ventura, CA 93001

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Mary F Platter-Rieger
2104 Crenshaw Street
San Diego, CA 92105-5130

619-263-2712

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Hal Singer
8640 Casaba Avenue
Winnetka, CA 91306

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kevin Gretschi
2322 1/2 E 5th St
Long Beach, CA 90814

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joanne Luongo
3845 E. Skillern Rd.
Fayetteville, AR 72703

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Megan FitzSimmons
642 Capitol Street
Vallejo, CA 94590

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robyn Franckowiak
Moore Lane
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Elissa Ellis-MacLeod
4611 El Cerrito Dr
San Diego, CA 92115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Kennedy
12808 E. Cuesta St.
Cerritos, CA 90703-1104

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nora Trentacoste
404 E Merle Ct
san leandro, CA 94577

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Wendi Craig
4251 Esperanza Lane
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jerry McKee
9065 Campina Dr, Apt. D
Apt. D.
La Mesa, CA 91942

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Joyce Pogue
5310 Lost Creek Rd.
Shingle Springs, CA 95682

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners: NUCLEAR POWER IS LUNACY!

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ken Wilson
35 Liberty Lane
Petaluma, CA 94952

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Bugay
24064 Wright Dr.
Hayward, CA 94545

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dave Anderson
2735 Benvenue Avenue
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dana Ginn
31463 Britton Circle
Temecula, CA 92591

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Bugay
24064 Wright Dr.
Hayward, CA 94545

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Sandra Brancheau
120 N. Garsden Ave.
Covina, CA 91724-3130

626 3397181

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Audrey Anderson
122 Hillview Avenue
Los Altos, CA 94022

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Zier
2418 Washington St.
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Eileen Lee
2033 W Porter Ave
Fullerton, CA 92833

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. The radioactive effects of these meltdowns will be felt world wide for generations to come.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nora Golub
1912 Ocean Dr
McKinleyville, CA 95519

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Melissa W Atkinson
10647 Ashby Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90064

310-838-8180

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Pike
2300B Estes Rd
Chico, CA 95928

530-321-1801

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeannette Ralston
474 Pine Ave
Half Moon Bay , CA 94019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elliot Tanzer
P O Box 891924
Temecula, CA 92589

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lori Gilbert
101 Burnham Ct.
Folsom, CA 95630

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeff Taylor
850 Spencer Drive
Palm Springs, CA 92262

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mackie Ramsay
4485 Fairway Drive
Soquel, CA 95073

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Arthur Porebski
18445 Round Mountain Ranch Rd
Nevada City , CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shelley Russ
3117 Murchison Way
Carmichael, CA 95608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Amber Twombly
1376 20th ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Brelin
708 Gravenstein Hwy N
Sebastopol, CA 95472

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marilyn Brown
8455 Graves Creek Road
Atascadero, CA 93422

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

DANIEL RODGERS
8442 POPPY BLVD.
CALIFORNIA CITY, CA 93505

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vickie A Nicola
2220 University Dr
Newport Beach, CA 92627

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Bryant
113-A Ocean Drive
Oxnard, CA 93035

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. michael mahon
209 armentiers rd.
forestville, CA 95436

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Callie Riley
8054 Oak Avenue
Citrus Heights, CA 95610-2514

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shiela Cockshott
2753 Yosemite Dr
Belmont, CA 94002-3019

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Barker
2550 East Avenue I Space 47
Space 47
Lancaster, CA 93535

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Betty Persson
52071 Pettitt Rd
Oakhurst, CA 93644

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Maryam Ilkhani
1021 Harbor Village Dr. Apt. F
Harbor City, CA 90710-5227

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes. Not to mention releasing massive toxicity into environment and stripping Japan down to it's foundation!

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jessica Benvenuto
10144 tabor street
Los Angeles , CA 90034

323-356-9276

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Elizabeth Moody
40 Camino Alto #10102
Mill Valley, CA 84841

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Magaly Fernandez
1799 Revere Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94124

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nathan Myers
931 J St., APT 74
APT 208
Davis, CA 95616

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Don't you realize that if there is any sort of "accident" and the radiation gets in to the ocean, it will spread via the currents - WORLDWIDE - AND NOTHING CAN BE DONE – MANY MILLIONS OF PEOPLE COULD DIE AS A RESULT – AND THE QUALITY OF THE LIVES OF ALL HUMANS AND LIVING THINGS WILL BE DOWNGRADED. All living things in the seas will be contaminated by radiation for hundreds of thousands of years. What has gone on in Fukushima has made those areas unlivable and the marine life radiated - permanently. You should invest in wave power, wind and solar. If you don't and there is an "accident" - just think about your children and grandchildren - if you care about them. It's stupid to use nuclear and oil and coal. The people who are promoting these are highly paid to do so. They don't care about the earth, or future generations. Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Carol Brady
2228 Pacific Avenue
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nikki Anderson
3545 Reynard Way
San Diego, CA 92103

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kim McCormick
8534 Jasper St.
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Janet Klecker
415 Linda Dr
Sonoma, CA 95476-5614

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nick Josephs
1112 Montana Ave #609
Santa Monica, CA 90403

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

richard moore
40 camino alto - apt 4115
mill valley, CA 94941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ana jude
4533 los feliz
los angeles, CA 90027

(323) 644-7399

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Caryn Cowin
317 Monterey Road Apt. 15
Apt. 15
South Pasadena, CA 91030-3517

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Alan Miller
598 Loganberry drive
San Rafael, CA 94903

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. James Suthers
3020 N. Buena Vista Street
Burbank, CA 91504

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

MARIE COLLINS

po box 4540

PO Box 4540

crestline, CA 92325-4540

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Hetz
208 Pacific Ave
Solana Beach, CA 92075

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brendan Dooley
401 Hyde St. Apt. #102
San Francisco, CA 94109

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sarah lange
p0box 712
woodacre, CA 94973

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Julie Beer
334 College Ave. Apt. E
Palo Alto, CA 94306

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Harter
1249 Bundage Court
Marina, CA 93933

(831) 583-2400

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Randy Sulong
P.O. Box 823
Middletown, CA 95461

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

erica banks
5846 gundry ave
long beach, CA 90805

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Prophet
1514 Chestnut St.
Berk., CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mary Prophet
1514 Chestnut St.
Berk., CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen C
8 Avenue 23
Venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mrs. Barbara Brunell
2291 Yellowstone Drive
Martinez, CA 94553-5441

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Miller
411 Poppinga Way
Santa Maria, CA 93455

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Ricardo U. Berg
4020 Marathon Street Apt # 320
Los Angeles, CA 90029-3685

323-687-3618

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Norene Bailey
250 Edgrace Lane
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Roby LaPorte
208 Ave Sierra
San Clemente, CA 92672

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

terry badger
440 derby lane
paso robles, CA 93446

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diana Ricci
1411 S. Divisadero ``
Visalia, CA 93277

none

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Vilma White
31463 Britton Circle
Temecula, CA 92591

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Evelyn C Lundstrom
1656 Edmonton Ave
Sunnyvale, CA 94087-5201

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Libbe Madsen
PO Box 1824
Laytonville, CA 95454

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Malcolm Groome
19688 Grandview Drive
Topanga, CA 90290-3353

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Karen Stegemann
7409 Wesley Lane
Citrus Heights, CA 95610

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of base load electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this base load generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent off site solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Mary Lou Finley
2866 Calle Salida Del Sol
San Diego, CA 92139-3541

(619) 434-5582

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Beverly Harris
2215 South Street
Anderson, CA 96007

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Diane McLaughlin
13202 Summertime Ln
Culver City, CA 90230

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

sandra huerta
429 willow ave
hayward, CA 94541

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Shaw
115 Trinity St # 5
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Richard Loughlin
12286 Clipper Creek Road
Nevada City , CA 95959

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mariel Morison
731 5th Ave.
PO Box 666
Blue Lake, CA 95525-0666

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joyce Johnson
132 N Maple St
Burbank, CA 91505-4225

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sandra Humphries
20 Stadler Dr
Woodside, CA 94064-4840

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Huntley
12630 Jimeno Ave
Granada Hills, CA 91344

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Robert Rosenbloom
P.O. Box 5101
Culver City, CA 90231-5101

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rena Marrocco
1135 York Drive
Vista, CA 92084

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Joseph S. Cox
25885 Trabuco Rd. #242
Lake Forest, CA 92630

949-716-2036

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jo Postyn
370 Franklin Street
San Mateo, CA 94402-2214

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Patricia DiDomenico
2357 Boxwood Drive
San Jose, CA 95128

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

stephanie gale
woodman ave
van nuys, CA 91401-4454

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeffrey Bickel
4522 N Jerry Ave.
Baldwin Park, CA 91706

626-329-1352

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Robert Gondell
522 Tamalpais Drive
Mill Valley, CA 94941

415 381.4830

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

May Rubin
40 Camino Alto Apt. 15101
Mill Valley, CA 94941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

William Christwitz
3568 Vista St
Clearlake, CA 95422-8204

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Michael Brewer
5335 Kathy Way
Livermore, CA 94550-3513

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with abject horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dede Teeler
214 Frustuck Ave
Fairfax, CA 94930

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Paul Sinacore
9441 Reverie Road
Tujunga, CA 91042-3023

0

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Terri Bumgardner
3523 Buena Creek Road
Vista, CA 92084

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Boyd Gardiner
PO Box 1207
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92084

8587591590

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jaime Marshall
2520-1 Arizona Avenue
Santa Monica, CA 90404

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Doug Nowka Jr
3771 Camino Hills Drive
Camino, CA 95709

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shelley Lane
P.O. Box 702
Los Olivos, CA 93441

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Shelley Lane
P.O. Box 702
Los Olivos, CA 93441

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Dale Anania
1819 Curtis St
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

ShuLei Flippen
501 A Maher Rd
Royal Oaks, CA 95076

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

lyn bockmiller
27703 ortega hwy #133
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Christine Brennan
2920 Clairemont Dr
San Diego, CA 92117-6713

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Vic Bostock
17 Cliveden Green
Altadena, CA 91001-4552

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jessine Foss
3242A Briggs Ave
Alameda, CA 94501

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jeff Thayer
3073 Jemez Dr.
San Diego, CA 92117

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Miki Kenrick
134 Melville Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94301

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Gordon Gerbitz
535 E Yanonali St
NE #4303
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

727 501 8336

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kevin Walters
43 Kenyon Avenue
Kensington, CA 94708

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose SIMILAR RISKS. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas -- these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to DO THE RIGHT THING by ensuring that the reactors are closed and replaced with CLEAN, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to DO THE RIGHT THING by making the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mr. Michael Sullivan
915 Janet Lane
Lafayette, CA 94549-4718

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ron McGill
35 Maywood
Irvine, CA 92602

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Lauren Thorpe
8 Seymour st
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Allan Campbell
3162 Isadora Dr
San Jose, CA 95132-1920

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kelli Stowe
1555 South Ave.Apt.#3621
Orange Cove, CA 93646

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Mark Ricci
45151 Bill Owens Rd.
Point Arena, CA 95468

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

After Japan's nuclear plant crises I have no interest in a nuclear plants in California California earthquake state. As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Dinah Fuentes
34 Warner Ct
San Rafael, CA 94901

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Nancy Greenfield
22 Skylark #122
Larkspur, CA 94939

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

John Welwood
PO Box 2173
Mill Valley, CA 94941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

marcy vaj
1045 palms bl.
venice, CA 90291

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

alison merkel
5 meadowlark lane
oak park, CA 91377

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Georgia Kahn
2 Balra Dr.
Novato, CA 94947

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Gabrielle Goodbar
335 Gravatt Drive
Berkeley, CA 94705

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

mandy spitzer
152 Hagemann Ave.
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Deanna Knickerbocker
1846 Limetree Ln
Mountain View, CA 94040

(650) 967-5417

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Marianne Shaw
165 Esmeyer Drive
San Rafael, CA 94903

4154724654

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Mary Ann Ann Cramer
4133 Terrace St.
Oakland, CA 94611

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kathy Compagno
780 La Salle Way
Napa, CA 94559

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Thomas M Scott
P.O. BOX 2677
Lkoe Arrowhead, CA 92352-2677

(760) 977-8446

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jane Eiseley
1320 Addison St
C432
Berkeley, CA 94702

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Leslie Brooks
2716 E 1st St
Long Beach, CA 90803

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Emily Storar
5031 Karbet Way
Sacramento, CA 95822

9167049545

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

stephanie proctor
6930 de celis pl
van nuys, CA 91406

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Bonnie Weiss
3 Commodore Dr. #352
Emeryville, CA 94608

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Brianna van Kan
1136 Monterey Pl
Encinitas, CA 92024

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jacoba Dolloff
4545 Taft Ave
La Mesa, CA 91941

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Aubrey Binzer
4319 Price St.
Los Angeles, CA 90027

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Jan Throndson
310 Dahlia Place
Corona Del mar, CA 92625

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Ms. Adrienne Fong
750 Presidio Ave., #207
#207
San Francisco, CA 94115

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Sasha Calder
8320 Truxton Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90045

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

kim barrett
1668 15th St.
Los Osos, CA 93402

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rollin Binzer
4422 Matilija Ave
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kate Wilkie
3978 Foothill Ave
Carlsbad, CA 92010

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Rachel Fresco
290 Allan Lane
Corralitos, CA 95076

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Commissioners:

As a California ratepayer and resident, I watched with horror as nuclear reactors in Japan melted down, contaminating soil, water and food with radioactive materials and forcing tens of thousands of people to abandon their homes.

I do NOT want that to happen here in California, and I am concerned that our decades-old nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon and San Onofre pose similar risks. Sitting directly on the coast along earthquake fault lines -- and near major population and agricultural areas - - these reactors are disasters waiting to happen.

As the Energy Commission considers what California's energy future should look like, I want you to make every effort to ensure that the reactors are closed and replaced with clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies.

Specifically, I would like the Energy Commission to make the following recommendations as part of its Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) for 2011:

- 1) Recommend that the appropriate government agencies and utilities undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace the 4400 MW of baseload electricity produced by the nuclear plants if they were rendered unusable by an earthquake or other disaster. Studies should also determine how this baseload generation will be replaced by energy efficiency and new renewable energy sources by 2025, when the originally designed and licensed lifetime of last of the reactors will expire.
- 2) Recommend that the commission study how California residents, property owners and businesses would be "made whole again" if a Fukushima-level disaster struck the state. In light of damage estimates from Japan's nuclear disaster that exceed \$230 billion, the commission should also recommend that the federal government reassess the \$12 billion cap on utility companies' financial liability for nuclear accidents set by the Price-Anderson Act.
- 3) Recommend that the original Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued for Diablo Canyon and San Onofre be reviewed to consider new information on seismic vulnerabilities, the increases in population near the reactors, and the continuing lack of a permanent offsite solution to safely store highly radioactive waste.
- 4) Recommend increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones around California's reactors from 20 to 50 miles and beyond, and analyzing the associated costs. In the wake of the nuclear emergency in Japan, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recommended that residents near the Fukushima reactors voluntarily evacuate a similarly sized area.

Thank you for considering these comments and for moving our state toward a future free of dangerous nuclear reactors.

Kirk Mustard
117-A Bartlett St., San Francisco
CA, CA 94110