

Docket Optical System - Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

From: Wisehart <wisehart@impulse.net>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/23/2011 11:24 AM
Subject: Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

DOCKET	
11-IEP-1J	
DATE	JUL 23 2011
RECD.	JUL 25 2011

- 1) Southern California Edison and Pacific Gas & Electric undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace 4400 MW of baseload generation in the short and long term should their nuclear plants be rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster as well a potential shutdown due to acts of malice or terror.
- 2) The U.S. Department of Energy's Blue Ribbon Commission should come to California to explain why our state should risk another 20 years of radioactive waste production on seismically active coastal zones.
- 3) The federal government must review liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan.
- 4) The 1967 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued for Diablo Canyon should be reviewed and updated in light of new evidence on population, seismic vulnerabilities, and absence of a permanent offsite solution to safe storage of highly radioactive waste.
- 5) An update and analysis is needed of the costs associated with increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones from 20 to 50 miles and beyond in the wake of the NRC's own recommendation that residents voluntarily evacuate a similar sized area around Fukushima.

Mike Glick
 Santa Barbara, Ca.

Docket Optical System - 2011 IEPR proceeding

From: Joe Ryan <JoeRyan@Hughes.net>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/23/2011 12:16 PM
Subject: 2011 IEPR proceeding

Dear Commissioners:

As concerned California ratepayers and residents, and in consideration of the many issues raised by our state's reliance on nuclear power in a post-Fukushima world, the following recommendations posed by the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility should be adopted in the 2011 IEPR proceeding:

- 1) The CEC should recommend that SCE and PG&E undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace 4400 MW of baseload generation in the short and long term should their nuclear plants be rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster as well as a potential shutdown due to acts of malice or terror should the "unthinkable" become a reality on the our side of the Pacific Rim.
- 2) The CEC should recommend that the U.S. Department of Energy's Blue Ribbon Commission come to California to explain why our state should risk another 20 years of radioactive waste production on seismically active coastal zones. As the NRC has promulgated a waste confidence ruling increasing the allowable on-site storage of waste for as long as 60 and possibly 100 years after shutdown, questions of responsibility for overseeing the waste and ongoing storage costs need to be evaluated. There is no assurance that fiscal burdens would not leave the state responsible for this unfunded federal mandate.
- 3) The CEC should recommend that the federal government review liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan. As a state, how would California residents, property owners and businesses be "made whole again" after a nuclear accident in light of the gap between coverage and damages?
- 4) The CEC should recommend that the 1967 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued for Diablo Canyon be reviewed and updated in light of new evidence on population, seismic vulnerabilities, absence of a permanent offsite solution to safe storage of highly radioactive waste.
- 5) The CEC should recommend an updating and analysis of the costs associated with increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones from 20 to 50 miles and beyond in the wake of the NRC's own recommendation that residents voluntarily evacuate a similar sized area around Fukushima

Docket Optical System - Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

From: Daniel O'Connell <dko@mac.com>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/23/2011 12:47 PM
Subject: Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

Comments/recommendations to the CEC for the 2011 IEPR include the following:

- 1) Southern California Edison and Pacific Gas & Electric undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace 4400 MW of baseload generation in the short and long term should their nuclear plants be rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster as well a potential shutdown due to acts of malice or terror.
- 2) The U.S. Department of Energy's Blue Ribbon Commission should come to California to explain why our state should risk another 20 years of radioactive waste production on seismically active coastal zones.
- 3) The federal government must review liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan.
- 4) The 1967 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued for Diablo Canyon should be reviewed and updated in light of new evidence on population, seismic vulnerabilities, and absence of a permanent offsite solution to safe storage of highly radioactive waste.
- 5) An update and analysis is needed of the costs associated with increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones from 20 to 50 miles and beyond in the wake of the NRC's own recommendation that residents voluntarily evacuate a similar sized area around Fukushima.

Docket Optical System - Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

From: Charles Varni <charles@varni.org>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/23/2011 12:55 PM
Subject: Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

Dear CEC,

I am requesting that you pay attention to and act on the following recommendations.
i live 15 miles downwind from Diablo Canyon

- 1) Southern California Edison and Pacific Gas & Electric undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace 4400 MW of baseload generation in the short and long term should their nuclear plants be rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster as well a potential shutdown due to acts of malice or terror.
- 2) The U.S. Department of Energy's Blue Ribbon Commission should come to California to explain why our state should risk another 20 years of radioactive waste production on seismically active coastal zones.
- 3) The federal government must review liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan.
- 4) The 1967 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued for Diablo Canyon should be reviewed and updated in light of new evidence on population, seismic vulnerabilities, and absence of a permanent offsite solution to safe storage of highly radioactive waste.
- 5) An update and analysis is needed of the costs associated with increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones from 20 to 50 miles and beyond in the wake of the NRC's own recommendation that residents voluntarily evacuate a similar sized area around Fukushima.

Charles Varni

Docket Optical System - Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

From: Holly Sletteland <hslettel@calpoly.edu>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/23/2011 1:53 PM
Subject: Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

Dear Commissioners:

As concerned California ratepayers and residents, and in consideration of the many issues raised by our state's reliance on nuclear power in a post-Fukushima world, the following recommendations posed by the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility should be adopted in the 2011 IEPR proceeding:

- 1) The CEC should recommend that SCE and PG&E undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace 4400 MW of baseload generation in the short and long term should their nuclear plants be rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster as well a potential shutdown due to acts of malice or terror should the "unthinkable" become a reality on the our side of the Pacific Rim.
- 2) The CEC should recommend that the U.S. Department of Energy's Blue Ribbon Commission come to California to explain why our state should risk another 20 years of radioactive waste production on seismically active coastal zones. As the NRC has promulgated a waste confidence ruling increasing the allowable on-site storage of waste for as long as 60 and possibly 100 years after shutdown, questions of responsibility for overseeing the waste and ongoing storage costs need to be evaluated. There is no assurance that fiscal burdens would not leave the state responsible for this unfunded federal mandate.
- 3) The CEC should recommend that the federal government review liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan. As a state, how would California residents, property owners and businesses be "made whole again" after a nuclear accident in light of the gap between coverage and damages?
- 4) The CEC should recommend that the 1967 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued for Diablo Canyon be reviewed and updated in light of new evidence on population, seismic vulnerabilities, absence of a permanent offsite solution to safe storage of highly radioactive waste.
- 5) The CEC should recommend an updating and analysis of the costs associated with increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones from 20 to 50 miles and beyond in the wake of the NRC's own recommendation that residents voluntarily evacuate a similar sized area around Fukushima

Thank you for consideration of these comments and for upholding your responsibility to keep us SAFE.

~~~~~  
Holly Sletteland  
Douglas Anderson  
4849 See Ranch Lane  
Templeton, CA 93465  
~~~~~

Docket Optical System - Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

From: Gerald Iversen <geraldiversen@yahoo.com>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/23/2011 2:13 PM
Subject: Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

To: California Energy Commission (CEC)
From: Gerald Iversen, 1831 Marigold Lane, Paso Robles, CA 93446
<GeraldIversen@yahoo.com>

- 1) Southern California Edison and Pacific Gas & Electric undertake immediate studies to determine how they would replace 4400 MW of baseload generation in the short and long term should their nuclear plants be rendered unusable by a seismic event or other natural disaster as well a potential shutdown due to acts of malice or terror.
- 2) The U.S. Department of Energy's Blue Ribbon Commission should come to California to explain why our state should risk another 20 years of radioactive waste production on seismically active coastal zones.
- 3) The federal government must review liability limits under Price-Anderson (\$12.6 billion) in light of damage estimates that exceed \$100 billion in Japan.
- 4) The 1967 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) issued for Diablo Canyon should be reviewed and updated in light of new evidence on population, seismic vulnerabilities, and absence of a permanent offsite solution to safe storage of highly radioactive waste.
- 5) An update and analysis is needed of the costs associated with increasing the emergency planning and evacuation zones from 20 to 50 miles and beyond in the wake of the NRC's own recommendation that residents voluntarily evacuate a similar sized area around Fukushima.

Thank you.