From: "Tony zhou (MLG)" <Tony.Zhou@flextronics.com>

To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us> CC: <dighe.rajesh@gmail.com>

Date: 5/2/2011 4:37 PM

Subject: FW: Attend Mariposa Committee Conference - May 05

H Madam, Sir,

This porject will damage the air quality of the MTN Hkouse City. It looks setting up the time bom in our back yards. More details are below from us to agaist the project built in our back yards:

- Williamson Act Contract does not allow Electrical Facility
- Mariposa Power Plant is not a public need at the moment on the proposed site. Hence violates Policy 13 of Alameda County ECAP
- Mariposa Power Plant is not a allowed permissible Infrastructure on proposed site
- Applicant assumed there will be no-significant impact because of this power plant and fed it into the Mariposa EIR analysis (Environmental Impact Report) causing incosistencies in its report.
- Applicant wrongly used year 2000 Census data used for Environmental Jusice Analysis consideration

- California State is going against its own Renewable Energy Generation AB32 initiaitve to reduce GHG emissions
- Power Plant causes big Environmental Justice issue because of pollution nuisance on Minority Population Mountain House Community
- Alameda County clearly supporting this power plant for its financial benefits
- Huge million dollar community benefit given to Alameda County by Mariposa LLC

Mountain gets no financial benefit but pollution

- Mountain House Community Health impact not sufficiently analyzed
- Alameda County Measure D Initiative (Open space Land east of Alameda County) violated
- Outreach to Mountain on Mariposa power plant application inadequate

No Need Analysis done for Power Generation

- Mariposa inconsisetent on Large Parcel Agriculture Land
- No land restoration plan explained by applicant on proposed agricultural open space land site

Project in-compatible with Contra Costa Airport land use

Power Plant Plumes hazard to Byron Airport Risk analysis on Gas pipeline safety insufficient No project Alternative during analysis ignored

No consideration towards Innovative ways for Smarter Peaker

Power Generation which throws zero pollution

Tony Zhou a resident in MTN House, CA **DOCKET**

09-AFC-3

DATE MAY 02 2011

RECD. MAY 02 2011

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rajesh Dighe <>

Date: April 28, 2011 10:59:40 PM PDT

To: undisclosed-recipients:;

Subject: Attend Mariposa Committee Conference - May 05

Hi Residents

The Presiding member's descision is out.

I encourage residents of Mountain House to drop an email expressing concerns around Mariposa at below address:

To: <mailto:docket@energy.state.ca.us> Subject: Docket

09-AFC-03

Also attend California Engery Commission(CEC) Committe Conference in Mountain House on May 05. 2011

Time: 3:00 PM.

Address: MHCSD Board Room, 230 South Sterling Drive, Suite 101 Mountain House, CA 95391

http://www.energy.ca.gov/calendar/events/index.php?com=detail&elD=1408 http://www.energy.ca.gov/calendar/events/index.php?com=detail&elD=1408

Potential Serious Legal Violiations and pollution nuisance to Mountain House from Mariposa Power Plant

* Williamson Act Contract does not allow Electrical

Facility

* Mariposa Power Plant is not a public need at the moment on the proposed site. Hence violates Policy 13 of Alameda County ECAP

* Mariposa Power Plant is not a allowed permissible

Infrastructure on proposed site

* Applicant assumed there will be no-significant impact

because of this power plant and fed it into the Mariposa EIR analysis (Environmental Impact Report) causing incosistencies in its report.

Applicant wrongly used year 2000 Census data used for

Environmental Jusice Analysis consideration

* California State is going against its own Renewable

Energy Generation AB32 initiaitve to reduce GHG emissions

*	Power Plant causes big Environmental Justice issue
because of pollution nuisance on	Minority Population Mountain House
Community	
*	Alameda County clearly supporting this power plant for
its financial benefits	
*	Huge million dollar community benefit given to Alameda
County by Mariposa LLC	, , ,
*	Mountain gets no financial benefit but pollution
*	Mountain House Community Health impact not sufficiently
analyzed	The state of the s
*	Alameda County Measure D Initiative (Open space Land
east of Alameda County) violated	` ' '
*	Outreach to Mountain on Mariposa power plant application
inadequate	out oddi to moditalii on manpood powor plant application
*	No Need Analysis done for Power Generation
*	Mariposa inconsisetent on Large Parcel Agriculture Land
*	No land restoration plan explained by applicant on
proposed agricultural open space	
*	Project in-compatible with Contra Costa Airport land use
	Project in-compatible with Contra Costa Airport land use
*	Power Plant Plumes hazard to Byron Airport
*	·
*	Risk analysis on Gas pipeline safety insufficient
*	No project Alternative during analysis ignored
	No consideration towards Innovative ways for Smarter
Peaker Power Generation which throws zero pollution	

Rajesh Dighe Mountain House Resident CEC Intervener, Opposing Mariposa Power Plant

Legal Disclaimer:

The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential. It is intended to be read only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed or by their designee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are on notice that any distribution of this message, in any form, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete or destroy any copy of this message