



**DOCKET**

**10-IEP-1A**

DATE

RECD.

JAN 07 2011

**California Energy Commission  
Joint IEPR and Electricity & Natural Gas Committee Workshop**

**Electricity Infrastructure Need Assessment**

**November 23, 2010 – 9 a.m.**

**AGENDA**

**Introduction**

Suzanne Korosec, IEPR Lead

**Opening Comments**

Chairman Karen Douglas, IEPR Presiding Member

Commissioner Jeffrey D. Byron, IEPR and E&NG Associate Member

Commissioner Robert Weisenmiller, E&NG Presiding Member

**Background**

Mike Jaske, Electricity Supply Analysis Division

David Vidaver, Electricity Supply Analysis Division

**Comments from Stakeholders**

**Next Steps**

Mike Jaske, Electricity Supply Analysis Division

Suzanne Korosec, IEPR Lead

- Written Comments
- Meet with Staff

**Adjourn**

## **Attachment A to Notice of Workshop Prepared Questions to Guide Comments**

1. What kind of “cases” would be most useful to stakeholders for displaying a range of need resulting from the uncertainties of input assumptions and methods for computing need?
  - a. For example should specific “cases” be constructed based on the sets of assumptions used by other agencies in their long-term planning processes?
  - b. Or should the inherent range of uncertainty of numerous input variables be used to construct an envelope within which need will reside?
  - c. Or both?
  
2. Given that the results will display a range of need, how can such ranges of need be developed to be most useful in various infrastructure planning and/or decision-making forums?
  - a. How would such a range be most useful in the CPUC’s 2012 Long-term Procurement Plan proceeding?
  - b. How would such a range be most useful in transmission planning efforts undertaken by the California ISO or the POU’s responsible for transmission planning?
  - c. How would such a range be most useful to environmental agencies like ARB and State Water Resources Control Board whose activities are inherently intertwined with the electricity industry?
  
3. How might the results of an infrastructure assessment be used?
  - a. How could an infrastructure assessment product be used in the Energy Commission’s own power plant licensing proceedings?
  - b. Can this assessment provide sufficient justification for a “no regrets” decision to authorize development of new generating capacity and identify the type and amount necessary?
  - c. How might results be packaged in a way to foster further integration of generation and transmission planning as called for in the *2009 IEPR*?
  - d. How might results be packaged to provide useful information to local agencies that have power plant licensing responsibilities?