## Memorandum

Date: October 11, 2010 Telephone: (916) 653-8236 File: 09-AFC-7

DOCKET

09-AFC-7

OCT 11 2010

OCT 11 2010

DATE

RECD.

To: Commissioner, Robert Weisenmiller. Presiding Member Commissioner Karen Douglas, Associate Member Hearing Officer Raoul Renaud

From: California Energy Commission - Alan Solomon 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

# Subject: Palen Solar Power Project (09-AFC-7) Energy Commission Staff Rebuttal Testimony

In its October 6, 2010 Opening Testimony, the applicant questioned the necessity of Trans-6 to control glint or glare from the project. Staff here provides additional testimony explaining the need for this measure and proffers some changes to the condition designed to address the applicant's previously stated concerns about operational restrictions. Additionally, in the area of Cultural Resources staff has identified a need to update Conditions of Certification 11, 12, 13, and 14 to include reference to those sites that would be encountered under the project configuration of Alternative 2 or 3.

cc: Proof of Service List Docket 09-AFC-7

### **Cultural Resources**

These changes incorporate the applicants proposed changes made in their opening testimony as well as staff proposed changes.

Changes in underline strikethrough are the applicant's, which staff agrees to.

Changes in tracked changes are staff's, which will require the applicant's agreement.

S. Jordan, cultural resources opening testimony:

CUL-5, Verification 1 (p. 10) 1. Preferably at least <del>9045</del> days, but in any event no less than 30 days, the project owner shall submit the CRMMP to the CPM for review and approval.

RA3 and RA2 have two resources in common: SMP-H-1012 & JR-104. RA2 has two additional resources: JR-107 & JR-108. Three of the four resources are historic refuse scatters & should be added to CUL-13 (SMP-H-1012; JR-104; JR-107). One resource, a military camp (JR-108) with associated refuse scatter should be added to CUL-14.

CUL-11, Verification 1, (p. 18) 1. Preferably at least <del>9045</del> days, the project owner shall notify the CPM that data recovery for small sites has ensued.

CUL-12, Verification 1, (p. 20)

1. Preferably at least <u>9045</u> days, the project owner shall notify the CPM that data recovery for <u>large complex sites</u> has ensued.

CUL-13, (pp. 20-22)

Prior to the start of ground disturbance, the project owner shall ensure that a recovery plan <u>is included in the CRMMP</u> for upgrading the recordation of <u>historic-period refuse</u> scatter sites <u>located on the proposed plant site. For Reconfigured Alternative # 3, these</u> <u>consist of sites</u> <u>SMP-H-1003</u>, <u>SMP-H-1004</u>, <u>SMP-H-1006</u>, <u>SMP-H-1008</u>, <u>SMP-H-1009</u>, <u>SMP-H-1010</u>, <u>SMP-H-1011</u>, <u>SMP-H-1012</u>, <u>SMP-H-1020</u>, <u>SMP-H-1020</u>, <u>SMP-H-1020</u>, <u>SMP-H-1020</u>, <u>SMP-H-1020</u>, <u>SMP-H-2004</u>, <u>SMP-H-2006</u>, <u>SMP-H-2007</u>, <u>SMP-H-2008</u>, <u>SMP-H-2010</u>, <u>SMP-H-2011/12</u>, <u>SMP-H-2017</u>, <u>SMP-H-2019</u>, <u>SMP-H-2021</u>; <del>DS-465</del>, -DS-466, DS- 467, DS712</u>; JR-101, JR-102, <u>JR-104</u>, JR-109, JR-110; TC-008, TC -009, TC -020, and TC-032. For Reconfigured Alternative # 2, the sites requiring upgraded recordation consist of the same sites as Reconfigured Alternative # 3, plus site JR-107., <u>These</u> site lists may be revised only with the agreement of the CRS and the CPM.

Deleted: small sites

Deleted: <del>35</del> 31

Deleted: (

Deleted: ), all of which are located on the proposed plant site, is included in the CRMMP Deleted: This

The focus of the recordation upgrade is to determine if these sites can be attributed to the DTC/C-AMA use of the region and are therefore contributors to the DTCCL. The plan shall specify in detail the location recordation equipment and methods to be used and describe any anticipated post-processing of the data. The project owner shall then ensure that the CRS, the PHA, and/or archaeological team members implement the plan, if allowed by the BLM, which shall include, but is not limited to the following tasks: 1. The project owner shall hire a PHA with the qualifications described in CUL-3 to supervise the field work.

2. The project owner shall ensure that, prior to beginning the field work, the PHA and all field crew members crew chief are trained by the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist, or equivalent qualified person approved by the CPM and hired by the project owner should the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist not be available, to identify the specific landform for each site; in the identification, analysis and interpretation of the artifacts, environmental modifications, and trash disposal patterns associated with the early phases of WWII land-based U.S. army activities, as researched and detailed by the DTCCL PI-Historian and the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist.

3. The project owner shall ensure that, prior to beginning the field work, the field crew members are also trained in the consistent and accurate identification of the full range of late nineteenth and early-to-mid-twentieth-century can, bottle, and ceramic diagnostic traits.

4. The project owner shall ensure that the original site map shall be updated to include at minimum: landform features such as small drainages, any man-made features, the limits of any artifact concentrations and features, using location recordation equipment that has the latest technology with sub-meter accuracy (such as UTM 11 North or California Teale Albers).

5. The project owner shall ensure that a detailed in-field analysis of all artifacts shall be completed, documenting the measurements and the types of seams and closures for each bottle, and the measurements, seams, closure, and opening method for all cans. Photographs shall be taken of maker's marks on bottles, any text or designs on bottles and cans, and of decorative patterns and maker's marks on ceramics. Artifacts shall not be collected.

6. The project owner shall ensure a systematic metal detector survey be completed at each site, and that each "hit" is investigated. All artifacts and features thus found must be mapped, measured, photographed, and fully described in writing.

<u>6</u>. The project owner shall ensure that the details of what is found at each site shall be presented in a letter report from the CRS or PHA ,which shall serve as a preliminary report, that details what was found at each site, as follows:

Deleted: are

**Deleted:** (previously known and newly found in the metal detector survey)

Deleted: 7. The project owner shall ensure that all structures are mapped, measured, photographed, and fully described in writing, and that all associated features having subsurface elements are excavated by a qualified historical archaeologist. All features and contents must be mapped, measured, photographed, and fully described in writing. ¶ ¶

a. Letter reports may address one site, or multiple sites depending on the needs of the CRS;		Deleted: and
b. The letter report shall be a concise document that provides a description of the schedule and methods used in the field effort, a preliminary tally of the numbers and types of features and deposits that were found, a discussion of the potential range of		Deleted: e
error for that tally, and a map showing the location of collection and/or excavation units, including topographic contours and the site landforms; and		Deleted: .
c. The letter report shall make a recommendation on whether each site is a contributor to the DTTCL.		
Z. The project owner shall ensure that the data collected from the field work shall be provided to the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist to assist in the determination of which, if any, of the historic-period sites are contributing elements to the DTCCL.		Deleted: 9
<b>8</b> . The project owner shall ensure that the PHA analyzes all recovered data and writes or supervisors the writing of a comprehensive final report. This report shall be included in the CRR (CUL-6). Relevant portions of the information gathered shall be included in the possible NRHP nomination for the DTCCL (funded by CUL-2).		Deleted: 10
CUL-13, Verification 1, (p. 22) 1. At least <del>9045</del> days, the project owner shall notify the CPM that mapping and upgraded in-field artifact analysis has ensued on <u>the historic-period refuse scatter sites.</u>		Deleted: six
CUL-14, (pp. 22–23) Prior to the start of ground disturbance, the project owner shall ensure that a data		
recovery plan is included in the CRMMP for evaluation and data recovery from historic- period archaeological sites with features. For Reconfigured Alternative # 3, these	'	Deleted: developed
consist of sites SMP-H-1005, SMP-H-1007, and SMP-H-2016; DS-327, For	<[]	Deleted: (
Alternative # 3, plus site JR-108. These site lists may be revised only with the		Deleted: )
agreement of the CRS and the CPM. The plan shall specify in detail the location		Deleted. 15
recordation equipment and methods to be used and describe any anticipated post-		
processing of the data. The project owner shall then ensure that the CRS, the PHA,		
shall include, but is not limited to the following tasks:		
1. The project owner shall hire a PHA with the gualifications described in CUL-3 to		

The project owner shall ensure that, prior to beginning the field work, the PHA and all field crew members are crew chief are trained by the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist, or

field crew members arecrew chief are trained by the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist, or equivalent qualified person approved by the CPM and hired by the project owner should the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist not be available, in the identification, analysis and interpretation of the artifacts, environmental modifications, and trash disposal patterns

associated with the early phases of WWII land-based U.S. army activities, as researched and detailed by the DTCCL PI-Historian and the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist.

3. The project owner shall ensure that, prior to beginning the field work, the field crew members are also trained in the consistent and accurate identification of the full range of late nineteenth and early-to-mid-twentieth-century can, bottle, and ceramic diagnostic traits.

4. The project owner shall ensure that the original site map shall be updated to include at minimum: landform features such as small drainages, any man-made features, the limits of any artifact concentrations and features (previously known and newly found in the metal detector survey), using location recordation equipment that has the latest technology with sub-meter accuracy (such as UTM 11 North or California Teale Albers).

5. The project owner shall ensure that a detailed in-field analysis of all artifacts shall be completed, if not done previously. Types of seams and closures for each bottle and all cans shall be documented. Photographs shall be taken of any text or designs. Unusual or unidentifiable artifacts may be collected for further analysis, but otherwise artifacts shall not be collected.

6. The project owner shall ensure a systematic metal detector survey be completed at each site, and that each "hit" is investigated. All artifacts and features thus found must be mapped, measured, photographed, and fully described in writing.

7. The project owner shall ensure that all features are recorded, and that any features having subsurface elements are excavated by a qualified historical archaeologist. All features and contents must be mapped, measured, photographed, and fully described in writing.

8. The project owner shall ensure that the details of what is found at each site shall be presented in a letter report from the CRS or PHA ,which shall serve as a preliminary report, that details what was found at each site, as follows:

a. Letter reports may address one site, or multiple sites depending on the needs of the CRS;

b. The letter report shall be a concise document that provides a description of the schedule and methods used in the field effort, a preliminary tally of the numbers and types of features and deposits that were found, a discussion of the potential range of error for that tally, and a map showing the location of collection and/or excavation units, including topographic contours and the site landforms; and

<u>c. The letter report shall make a recommendation on whether each site is a contributor to the DTTCL.</u>

Deleted: and		
Deleted: e		

Deleted:

9. The project owner shall ensure that the data collected from the field work shall be provided to the DTCCL Historical Archaeologist to assist in the determination of which, if any, of the historic-period sites are contributing elements to the DTCCL.

10. The project owner shall ensure that the PHA analyzes all recovered data and writes or supervisors the writing of a comprehensive final report. This report shall be included in the CRR (CUL-6). Relevant portions of the information gathered shall be included in the possible NRHP nomination for the DTCCL (funded by CUL-2).

#### CUL-14, Verification 1, (p. 23)

1. At least <u>9045</u> days prior to ground disturbance, the project owner shall notify the CPM that mapping and in-field artifact analysis has ensued on historic-period sites with features.

# TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION – REBUTTAL TESTIMONY GLARE IMPACT ANALYSIS & CONCLUSIONS

For purposes of this analysis, glare or intrusive light is defined as the sensation produced by a point luminance within the visual field that is sufficiently greater than the luminance to which the eyes are adapted, which causes annoyance, discomfort, or loss of visual performance and visibility.

### **Onsite Effects – Worker Safety**

Staff finds that the level of beam intensity at 60 feet (20 meters) from the individual reflector arrays may be 4 kW/ m2. As a result, observers (workers) within that zone may be exposed to a beam intensity in excess of the level of 4.5 kW/m2 which may cause ocular damage. Since this occurs within the plant boundaries, proper worker protection will be required.

### **Offsite Effects – Motorist Safety**

Intrusive light is produced by either specular or diffuse reflections. Specular reflections occur off mirror-like surfaces, where the angle of reflection is identical to the angle of incidence from the light source. Diffuse reflections occur off rough or uneven surfaces, where the reflection angles are in all directions.<sup>47</sup> The concern posed by the proposed PSPP is specular reflection off the parabolic troughs. (Diffuse reflection is not a concern at the proposed PSPP). Intrusive light from specular reflection off the troughs could occur when the troughs are moving from a stow to a tracking position and from a tracking to a stow position. Intrusive light could also occur during the winter when the sun is low on the southern horizon and aligned with the trough, causing reflected light to spill from the north end of the troughs (referred to as end loss).<sup>48</sup> In the summer, when the sun rises and sets towards the north, spillage could also occur from the south end of the troughs in the early morning and early evening hours. Intrusive light can also occur at any time of day or time of year if the mirrors become misaligned with respect to the sun.

Recently, scientists and engineers at the Solar Technologies Department at Sandia National Laboratories proposed safety metrics for assessing the effects of intrusive light, based on a review of medical research and other research undertaken by the U.S. Air Force.<sup>49</sup> They noted that adverse effects of intrusive light are functions of the level of retinal irradiance and the subtended angle of the light source meeting the retina.<sup>50</sup> That is, as the intensity of light reaching the retina increases, and the subtended angle of the light source increases (becomes larger in the visual field of the observer), adverse effects on the eye increase. The Sandia team has proposed two performance standards for describing the adverse impacts of glint and intrusive light:

• Potential for permanent eye damage (ocular damage)

• Potential for temporary after-image, also known as flash blindness

Both thresholds have been developed empirically and can be measured through equations accounting for the intensity of the light source and the subtended angle of the reflected image. Permanent eye damage is caused by extremely high intensities of light that burn the retina. At substantially lower levels, the intensity of light can be great enough to cause temporary flash blindness, which is caused by oversaturation of the retinal pigments. Flash blindness is characterized by a temporary after-image in the visual field. Flash blindness is defined as a temporary visual image remaining after intrusive light exposure causing retinal saturation. Impacting variables may include, but not be limited to: individual filtering ability of the preretinal ocular media, background luminance adaptation, age, eye disease and corrective corneal surgery (radial keratotomy or RK). Flash blindness can last for varying durations. An example of flash blindness is the effect after viewing a camera flash in a dim room.<sup>51</sup>

Figure Glare 1 was developed for this study to provide criteria for assessing the potential impacts of intrusive light from the proposed PSPP facility. The figure presents maximum distances between the parabolic mirror and an observer at which flash blindness can occur as a function of mirror length available to reflect the sun. (Permanent eye damage is not a concern at the distances from the parabolic troughs for off-site observers.) The calculated distances assume specular reflection from a mirror with a reflectivity of 0.94, a subtended sun angle of 9.4 milliradians (mrad), and an RMS slope error of 5 mrad.<sup>52</sup> The focal length for the collector is assumed to be infinite, which would be true of a flat mirror. This validly represents the nature of the reflection off the long (linear) axis of the trough collector. The reflected sun image along this long axis, rather than the short parabolic axis, is the critical feature in assessing the potential for flash blindness. The reflected image of the sun along the long axis would maintain a constant subtended angle in the observer's visual field as the observer moves further from the mirror. This effect would continue until the observer is so far from the mirror that the entire image of the sun overfills the available mirror area. at which point the subtended angle of the reflected sunlight would decrease with increasing distance.

Figure **Glare 1** indicates that the maximum distance that can cause flash blindness depends on the length of the mirror available to reflect the sun (which dictates the subtended angle of the reflected sun image as a function of distance). The longer the mirror, the greater the distance capable of causing flash blindness. A flat mirror 5 meters in length would reflect sunlight intense enough to cause temporary flash blindness approximately 2,000 meters away. A flat mirror 20 meters in length would reflect sunlight that could cause temporary flash blindness a distance of 7,800 meters (approximately 4.8 miles).

Figure **Glare 2** illustrates the situations during which specular reflections from the mirror arrays would extend off the PSPP plant property. The top panel is a

schematic representation of end loss or spillage of the reflected solar image off the end of the parabolic mirror. This would occur when the sun is low on the horizon – during the middle of the winter, early on summer mornings, and late on summer evenings. At the proposed PSPP site, the sun is approximately 33 degrees above the horizon on noon of the winter solstice.<sup>53</sup> When the sun is 33 degrees above the horizon, and assuming that the heat collection element is 1.5 meters from the vertex of the parabolic mirror, approximately 2.3 meters at the northern edge of the mirrors would spill specular reflections of the sun off the north edge of the mirror array. Referring to Figure **Glare 1**, a flat mirror 2.3 meters in length would reflect sunlight intense enough to cause flash blindness a distance of approximately 900 meters (2,950 feet). The bottom panel on Figure Glare 2 indicates how sunlight would be reflected if the mirror array was misaligned or moving to or from the stow position. In those situations, the entire length of the mirror would be available to create specular reflections. According to information provided by the applicant, each mirror unit is 63 feet (approximately 19 meters) long.<sup>54</sup> These units are arranged end-to-end in rows 1,200 to 1,300 feet (approximately 400 meters) long. If one of the 19-meter long mirror units was misaligned with the sun, it would reflect intrusive light capable of causing temporary flash blindness at a distance of about 7,600 meters (approximately 4.7 statute miles). If an entire row of mirrors was misaligned, it could reflect blinding intrusive light capable of causing temporary flash blindness considerably farther, potentially dozens of miles.55

<u>Ocular Damage</u>. At I-10's distance from the mirrors, there is no potential for ocular damage (retinal burn) from the solar facility to motorists on I-10. Unless an individual is near the focal point of the collector, there is no risk of permanent ocular damage for an exposure of 0.15 seconds, which is the typical blink reflex time.

<u>Flash Blindness from Intrusive Light</u>. Staff believes motorists on I-10 may be affected by temporary flash blindness from exposure to bright intrusive light. Based on the intrusive light direction and distances discussed above and presented in Figures **Glare 1** and **Glare 2**, staff finds that mirrors tracking to and from stow each morning and evening and mirrors that may be misdirected by a system malfunction would result in reflected solar energy from these activities on nearby roads, particularly I-10, and on public access areas. PSPP's Unit 2 is closest to the I-10 freeway at approximately 343 meters (1,125 feet) from the highway. Due to the volume of traffic along this segment of I-10, staff considers the potential safety impact from intrusive light to motorists on I-10 as potentially significant.

To ensure special consideration is given to motorists on nearby roads, particularly I-10, and all public access areas adjacent to the project where the mirrors may present exposure to intrusive brightnesses, Condition of Certification **TRANS-6** is recommended to reduce the potential for flash blindness and distraction to motorists on I-10 thus reducing potential safety impacts to a less than significant level.

- **TRANS-6** To reduce glint and glare from the Project, the Project Owner shall implement the following measures during operation of any unit:
  - 1. Ensure the mirrors are brought out of stowage before sunrise and are aligned to catch the first rays of the morning sun;
  - 2. Ensure the mirrors are returned to stow position after sunset;
  - **3.** As soon as is feasible, redirect malfunctioning mirrors to the east in a manner so that there is no reflection from the sun as the sun continues west; and
  - **4.** Establish a toll-free number for the public to report complaints related to glint and glare and post such number in a location visible from I-10. This number may be the same as that required in Compliance-9. If the project owner receives a complaint regarding glint or glare it shall investigate to determine whether the project is the source of such glint or glare and, if so, shall take all feasible measures to reduce the glint or glare at its source. The project owner shall notify the CPM within 3 days of receiving a glint or glare complaint. As soon as the complaint has been resolved to the complainant's satisfaction, the project owner shall submit to the CPM a report in which the complaint as well as the actions taken to resolve the complaint are documented. The report shall include (a) a complaint summary, including the name and address of the complainant; (b) a discussion of the steps taken to address the complaint and the final results of these efforts; and (c) a signed statement by the complainant, if obtainable, stating that the glint or glare problem is resolved to his or her satisfaction.

<u>Verification:</u> 90 days prior to the start of operation of any unit, the project owner shall prepare and submit to the CPM for review and approval a plan describing how the above measures will be implemented to reduce glint and glare If a complaint is received concerning glint or glare, the project owner shall notify the CPM within 3 days of receipt of the complaint and shall provide the report detailing how the complaint has been addressed within 7 days.

# REFERENCES

<sup>47</sup> Ho, C.K., C.M. Ghanbari, and R.B. Diver. Methodology to assess potential glint and glare hazards from concentrating solar power plants: analytical models and experimental validation. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Energy Sustainability, ES2010, Phoenix, AZ, May 17-22, 2010, p. 3.

<sup>48</sup> Ho, C.K., C.M. Ghanbari, and R.B. Diver. Methodology to assess potential glint and glare hazards from concentrating solar power plants: analytical models and experimental validation. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Energy Sustainability, ES2010, Phoenix, AZ,

May 17-22, 2010, p. 3.

<sup>49</sup> Ho, C.K., C.M. Ghanbari, and R.B. Diver. Hazard analyses of glint and glare from concentrating solar power plants, SAND2009-4131C. In Proceedings of SolarPACES 2009, Berlin, Germany, September 15-18, 2009.

<sup>50</sup> The subtended angle of the light source (or the reflected image) is a measure of the amount of the visual field that is occupied by the light source.

<sup>51</sup> Ho, C.K., C.M. Ghanbari, and R.B. Diver. Methodology to assess potential glint and glare hazards from concentrating solar power plants: analytical models and experimental validation. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Energy Sustainability, ES2010, Phoenix, AZ, May 17-22, 2010, p. 2.

<sup>52</sup> Reflectivity is a measure of the degree to which a reflection accurately represents the light from the source. A value of 1.0 would represent perfect reflectivity. The subtended angle describes the size of the reflected image in the field of view. Mrad (milliradians) is a description of an angle subtended, or circumscribed, by a circular arc. RMS denotes root-mean-squared or the standard deviation associated with a range of distortions for slope errors along the mirror surface. www.srrb.noaa.gov/highlights/sunrise/azel.html

<sup>54</sup> Solar Millennium2009a. Solar Millennium (tn: 52939). Application for Certification Vol 1 & 2, Section 2.0, Project Description, dated 8/24/2009.

<sup>55</sup> The distance across which reflections would travel from a 400-meter long mirror would be so great that atmospheric attenuation typically would have to be accounted for in calculating the distance at which reflections would remain intense enough to cause flash blindness.



#### NOTES:

#### mrad (milliradians)

One-thousandth of a radian. The radian is a description of an angle subtended by a circular arc. It is computed as the ratio of the length of the arc to the radius of the arc.

#### RMS (root mean square)

The standard deviation of multiple measurements, in milliradians, of the slope error of the mirror surface.

#### Slope Error

The relative angular deviation in milliradians of the mirror surface from a perfect parabolic shape.

#### Subtended Angle

An angle subtended by (or lying within) a circular arc. In this analysis, the subtended angle describes the relative size of a reflected image, with the circular arc representing the field of view of a person with normal vision.

#### Sun Shape

The relative size of the sun in the visual field of a person with normal vision. (The total size of the visual field is 1 radian.)

- 1/ The calculation of maximum distances for flash blindness assumes that the collector is flat and focal length is infinite, which is true along the long-axis of the linear collector. The calculation is derived from Ho et al., Methodology to assess potential glint and glare hazards from concentrating solar power plants: analytical models and experimental validation. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Energy Sustainability, ES2010, Phoenix, AZ, May 17-22, 2010, p. 2.
- 2/ Typical RMS slope errors of current parabolic trough collectors are approximately 5 to 6 mrad (personal communication, Tim Moss, Sandia National Laboratories, 6/16/2010).

Source: Clifford K. Ho, June 2010. Prepared by: Lindsley Architectural Lighting, Oct 2010

# Glare 1

# Maximum Distances at Which Flash Blindness May Occur from Specular Reflections at **PSPP**



Potential for end loss when sun is low on the horizon to the northeast, south, or northwest of the mirror array.



Potential for reflection along length of mirror array when misaligned with sun or when moving to or from stow position.

Source: Clifford K. Ho, June 2010. Prepared by: Lindsley Architectural Lighting, Oct 2010

# Glare 2

Examples of Specular Reflection from Parabolic Trough Solar Collectors

### DECLARATION OF Alan Lindsley, AIA, IESNA, LEED GA

I, Alan Lindsley, declare as follows:

- 1. I am presently Owner of Lindsley Architectural Lighting.
- 2. A copy of my professional qualifications and experience is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 3. I helped prepare the Intrusive Light analysis in the Traffic and Transportation section of the Palen Solar Power Project based on my independent analysis of the Application for Certification and supplements hereto, data from reliable documents and sources, and my professional experience and knowledge.
- 4. It is my professional opinion that the prepared testimony is valid and accurate with respect to the issues addressed therein.
- 5. I am personally familiar with the facts and conclusions related in the testimony and if called as a witness could testify competently thereto.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Digitally signed by Alan Lindsley DN: cn=Alan Lindsley, o=Lindsley Architectural Lilghting, ou, email=alindsley@lindsleylighting.com, c=US Date: 2010.10.08 13:35:47 -07'00'

Alan Lindsley, AIA, IESNA, LEED GA

# L I N D S L E Y Architectural Lighting

#### Alan Lawrence Lindsley, AIA, IESNA, LEED GA

Alan Lindsley, Principal and Founder of Lindsley Architectural Lighting, is noted for his creativity, depth of knowledge, strategic capabilities and commitment to green design. He has over thirty years of extensive project management experience in lighting design, interior architecture and historic preservation. As a result, he has the unique ability to fully understand and integrate lighting design with architecture. His design solutions integrate the creative use of lighting design products as well as custom fixtures that he creates for the client. The quality of his projects are frequently recognized by clients and peers within the industry. Numerous IESNA Section awards and AIA awards have been awarded for his project work.

As a hands on designer, he is completely involved in the design, technical evaluation, project coordination and implementation of each project. He has strong capabilities in delivering creatively designed, energy efficient and sustainable projects for corporate, institutional and governmental clients. Working with building departments throughout the United States, he has developed a strong base of knowledge in resolving complicated energy and building code issues. He is well-experienced in effectively directing the efforts of large multi-disciplinary teams to provide effective budget and scheduling controls.

Alan's commitment to energy efficiency and sustainable design spans several decades. His approach incorporates daylighting, use of high efficacy light sources, lighting control systems, and the intelligent application of light and darkness to highlight architectural features and address the needs of the people who inhabit or use the space. He has been actively involved in the dark sky movement to reduce light pollution as well as the American Institute of Architect's 2030 Initiative to produce a carbon neutral building. Alan is a LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Green Associate and member of the US Green Building Council.

Prior to starting his firm, Alan was Vice President at Brereton Architects and an Associate at Gensler and Associates. Alan received his Bachelors of Environmental Design/Architecture from University of Colorado at Boulder. He is a licensed architect (AIA) in California as well as several other states and holds a National Council of Architectural Registration Board certificate.

#### Current Projects

*Wylie Civic Center* 300,000 square feet Wylie, TX

*Green's Restaurant* 6,000 square feet San Francisco, CA

*Antique Automobile Museum* 10,000 square feet Martinez, CA

*California Energy Commission* Solar Generation Facilities Glare Studies

- Ivanpah Solar Project
- SES Solar 1 & 2
- San Joaquin Solar
- Mojave Solar One
- FPL Genesis
- Solar Millennium Palen
- Solar Millennium Ridgecrest
- Solar Millennium Blythe

#### **Relevant Projects**

Yountville Municipal Golf Course Light Trespass & Installation Mitigation Yountville, CA Pan v. City & County of San Francisco Trip and Fall Litigation San Francisco, CA

*Carondelet High School* Light Trespass Analysis Concord, CA

*Verizon Cell Phone Tower* Light Trespass Analysis Concord, CA

*City of Concord* Lighting Ordinance Draft Review Concord, CA

Alpine Hills Tennis & Swimming Club Light Trespass Analysis Portola Valley, CA Alan is currently launching a manufacturing business producing sustainable solid state (LED) light fixtures for light commercial and residential use. For more information visit www.lindsleylighting.com

Additional information and projects are available on our web site at www.lindsleyarchitecturallighting.com.

# L I N D S L E Y

#### CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS		Denver, CO	
<i>The Gap</i> San Francisco, CA	100,000 square feet	<i>Bank of the West</i> Pleasant Hill, CA	125,000 square feet
<i>The Shorenstein Company</i> San Francisco, CA	17,000 square feet	<i>33 New Montgomery Street</i> San Francisco, CA	330,000 square feet
<i>Amerada Hess Corporation</i> Denver, CO	40,000 square feet	<i>One DTC</i> Denver, CO	225,000 square feet
<i>National Reinsurance</i> Stamford, CN	80,000 square feet	<i>Boettcher DTC</i> Denver, CO	180,000 square feet
Hamilton Brothers Oil Denver, CO	100,000 square feet	<i>Hudson's Bay Centre</i> Denver, CO	180,000 square feet
<i>Levi Strauss &amp; Company</i> San Francisco, CA	700,000 square feet	City Square Oakland, CA	150,000 square feet
<i>Informix Campus</i> Palo Alto, CA	1 million square feet	222 Kearny Street San Francisco, CA	115,000 square feet
<i>Champlin Petroleum</i> Denver, CO	200,000 square feet	455 Market Street San Francisco, CA	349,000 square feet
<i>Amoco Production Company</i> Denver ,CO	650,000 square feet	<i>Prudential Plaza</i> Denver, CO	130,000 square feet
Dantz Development Corporation Walnut Creek, CA	30,000 square feet	<i>The Cascades</i> Denver, CO	308,000 square feet
CORPORATE OFFICES		Speer Center	149,000 square feet
<i>Scient</i> San Francisco, CA	180,000 square feet	Empire Park	350,000 square feet
<i>Sony Product Design Center</i> San Francisco, CA	12,000 square feet	One Denver Place	250,000 square feet
<i>Prager, McCarthy &amp; Sealy</i> San Francisco, CA	10,000 square feet	Denver, CO Great West Plaza I & II	250,000 square feet
<i>Health Plan of San Mateo</i> San Mateo, CA	22,000 square feet	Denver, CO <i>Charles Schwab, Phase I &amp; II</i>	
<i>Westpac Banking Corporation</i> San Francisco, CA	14,000 square feet	360,000 square feet Pleasanton, CA	
<i>Relational Technology, Inc</i> Alameda, CA	82,000 square feet	LAW OFFICES	
<i>West Coast Life Insurance</i> San Francisco, CA	45,000 square feet	Jackson, Tufts, Cole & Black	14,500 square feet
<i>Merrill, Pickard, Anderson &amp; Eyre</i> Palo Alto, CA	e 8,000 square feet	San Francisco, CA Kutak, Rock & Huie	45,000 square feet
<i>Clarion Resources</i> Denver, CO	12,000 square feet	Anderson & Pearl	8,000 square feet
<i>First Deposit National Corp.</i> Greater San Francisco Bay Area	Various Projects	Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe Palo Alto, CA	40,000 square feet
American Savings and Loan Stockton, CA	200,000 square feet	Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison San Francisco, CA	15,000 square feet
Dome Petroleum Corporation	155,000 square feet	· · · · · · ,	
261 La Espiral, Suite B O	orinda, California 9456	63-1832 925.254.1860	Fax 888.695.3699

# L I N D S L E Y

#### DECTATIDANTS

<u>RESTAURANTS</u>		<i>San Francisco Athletic Club</i> San Francisco, CA	30,000 square feet
Elroy's Restaurant	17,000 square feet	24 hr Nautilus	15,000 square feet
San Francisco, CA	( 000 (t	Denver, CO	
San Francisco, CA	6,000 square feet	SPECIALTY	
<i>Boudin Bakery &amp; Cafe</i> United States	12 Selected Stores	B of A Video Production Facility	16,000 square feet
Grill Squared Mesa, AZ	8,100 square feet	Televideo Production Suite San Francisco, CA	
RETAIL		<i>Vallejo Street Renovation</i> Historic District Street Lighting San Francisco, CA	
<i>The North Face Corporate Show</i> 5,000 square feet	rooms	<i>Oakland A's Press Box</i> Oakland, CA	3,000 square feet
San Leandro, CA The North Face	20,000 square feet	<i>World Bank Child Care Center</i> Washington, D.C.	6,000 square feet
New York City, NY	4 Calasta d Channa	SINGLE / MULTI-FAMILY	
Pier One Imports	4 Selected Stores		2400
Ine GAP Stores	4 500 square feet	Matthews Residence	3400 square feet
San Francisco, CA	4,500 square reet	Alderson House	5400 square feet
American Savings and Loan	5,000 square feet	Tiburon, CA	e ioo square reet
Branch Banking Pleasanton, CA	, <u>1</u>	<i>Banks/ Baron Residence</i> Oakland, CA	3200 square feet
<i>First Interstate Bank</i> Oakland, CA	10,000 square feet	<i>Slater Residence (Esherick Origin</i> Los Altos Hills, CA	ıal)
<i>Writer Square</i> Denver, CO	110,000 square feet	<i>McNealy Residence</i> Portola Valley, CA	
<i>Fantasies</i> Denver, CO	1,200 square feet	<i>Shenkman Residence</i> Tiburon, CA	6,000 square feet
<i>Clint Faubion's Mens Store</i> Denver, CO	4,000 square feet	<i>DeSilva Island Townhouses</i> Marin, CA	3200 square feet
<i>Flower Kiosk</i> San Francisco, CA	45 square feet	<i>Siebel Apartment</i> Paris, FR	8,000 square feet
<i>Geiger-Brickel Showroom</i> San Francisco, CA	4,500 square feet	<i>Park Townsend Housing</i> San Jose, CA	70,000 square feet
<i>Bank of America</i> San Mateo, CA	8,500 square feet	<i>Knights Valley Residence</i> Knights Valley, CA	25,000 square feet
<i>A.G. Ferrari Grocers</i> San Francisco Bay Area	4 Selected Stores	Paigebrook Farms Renovation Westlake TX	10,000 square feet
<i>Good Guys Electronics</i> Glendale, CA	14,000 square feet	Azure Residence Tower	400,000 square feet
ATHLETIC FACILITIES		CONTINUING CARE RETIREM	ENT COMMUNITIES
<i>Villa Sports SPLASH</i> Colorado Springs, CO	14,000 square feet	<i>Classic by Hyatt</i> Palo Alto, CA	1,000,000 square feet

# L I N D S L E Y Architectural Lighting

<i>The Sequoias</i> San Francisco, CA	200,000 square feet	CIVIC	
Querencia at Barton Creek	400,000 square feet	<i>Courthouse Square</i> Riverside, CA	55,000 square feet
SACRED SPACES		<i>Wylie Civic Center</i> Wylie, TX	160,000 square feet
St. Peter's Church	20,000 square feet	<i>The Beach Chalet</i> San Francisco, CA	6,000 square feet
San Francisco, CA	, <u>1</u>	City of Hayward City Hall	155,000 square feet
<i>Old St. Mary's Church</i> San Francisco, CA	50,000 square feet	Hayward, CA 911 Emergency Building	65.000 square feet
<i>St. Agnes Cathedral</i> San Francisco, CA	75,000 square feet	San Francisco, CA Hearst Castle	50.000 square feet
Mission Dolores	12.000 square feet	San Simeon, CA	eo,ooo square reer
San Francisco, CA	1 <b>-</b> )000 Square reet	Tower Building	56,000 square feet
St. Peter's Church	20,000 square feet	Dallas, TX	1
San Francisco, CA	, <u>1</u>	The Columbarium	10,000 square feet
St. Agnes Cathedral	75,000 square feet	San Francisco, CA	
San Francisco, CA		Food & Fiber Building	50,000 square feet
<i>Mission Dolores</i> San Francisco, CA	12,000 square feet	Centennial/ Automobile Building	100,000 square feet
Congregation Beth Am		Fair Park Bandshell	
Ark of the Covenant Lighting		Dallas, TX	
Los Altos, CA Sanctuario de Guadaluve	20.000 square feet	<i>Hopkins County Courthouse</i> Sulphur Springs, TX	16,000 square feet
Dallas, TX	.,	Old Red Courthouse	40,000 square feet
<i>Sanctuario de Guadalupe Tower</i> Dallas, TX	6,000 square feet	Dallas, TX <i>Wylie Civic Center</i>	300,000 square feet
<i>Hunter's Glen Baptist Church</i> Fac Dallas, TX	cade Lighting Study	Ŵylie, TX	
St. Ignatius Church Exterior	24,000 square feet	HOSPITALITY	
St. Ignatius Church Master Plan	40,000 square feet	<i>Bohemian Club</i> San Francisco, CA	50,000 square feet
San Francisco, CA	10,000 course foot	<i>The Donatello Hotel</i> San Francisco, CA	15,000 square feet
San Francisco, CA	10,000 square leet	Joule Boutique Hotel	250,000 square fee
<i>St. Ignatius Upper Nave (PII)</i> San Francisco, CA	10,000 square feet	Delta Gamma House	2,000 square feet
St. Ignatius Parish Offices	3,700 square feet	berkeley, CA	
San Francisco, CA	-	MASTER PLANNING	
<i>St. Joan of Arc Catholic Church</i> San Ramon CA	10,500 square feet	<i>Fair Park Esplanade</i> Master Plan	1 million square feet
Sanctuario de Guadalupe Dallas TX	20,000 square feet	Dallas, TX	(0.000
Sanctuario de Guadalupe Tower Dallas, TX	6,000 square feet	Waasworth Publishing Master Plan San Mateo, CA	60,000 square feet

# L I N D S L E Y Architectural Lighting

<i>One Market Plaza</i> Disabled Access Study	1,445,000 square feet	Dallas, TX Commission Hall, USE	Exterior Lighting
San Francisco, CA		San Francisco, CA	Exterior Lighting
AT&T Gateway Tower Architectural Design Guide	1,000,000 square feet	RESEARCH LABS	
St. Ignatius Church Master Pla San Francisco, CA	<i>n</i> 40,000 square feet	<i>Berkeley Wireless Research Center</i> 8,000 square feet Berkeley, CA	
SITE/FACADE LIGHTING		<i>Electronic Design Automation Center</i> 18,000 square feet	
Lake Fork Pump Station	75,000 square feet	Berkeley, CA	
Sanctuario de Guadalupe Dallas, TX	South Facade	ORDINANCES	55/ LIGHTING
<i>St. Ignatius Church</i> San Francisco, CA	Exterior Lighting	<i>Yountville Municipal Golf Course</i> Light Trespass & Installation Mitigati Yountville, CA	on
<i>Larkspur Landing Lobby</i> Larkspur, CA	5,000 square feet	Pan v. City & County of San Francisc Trip and Fall Litigation	20
<i>Grace Cathedral</i> East Facade Lighting San Francisco, CA		San Francisco, CA Carondelet High School Light Trespass Analysis	
Daniels & Fisher Tower	19,000 square feet	Concord, CA	
Denver, CO	= 1 000	Verizon Cell Phone Tower	
Masonic Building Denver, CO	54,000 square feet	Concord, CA	
<i>Loyola-Marymount Campanile</i> Los Angeles, CA		<i>City of Concord</i> Lighting Ordinance Draft Review Concord, CA	
<i>90 New Montgomery Street</i> San Francisco, CA	110,000 square feet	Alpine Hills Tennis & Swimming Clu Light Trespass Analysis	b
<i>Emerystation Office Building</i> Emeryville, CA	250,000 square feet	et Portola Valley, CA	
<i>St. Peter's &amp; Paul</i> San Francisco, CA		THEATRICAL	
Yountville Golf Course Driving Range		Palace/ Heritage Theaters 15,000 square feet Grapevine, TX	
International House Berkeley, CA	Facade/ Entry Lighting	<i>Jinks Theater</i> 12,000 square feet San Francisco, CA	
595 Market Street Lobby San Francisco, CA	5,000 square feet	MEDICAL	
<i>Hunter's Glen Baptist Church</i> Dallas, TX	Facade Lighting Study	DTC Eye Associates Outpatient Surgery	8,400 square feet
123 Townsend Street San Francisco, CA	Lobby Remodel	Denver, CO	
<i>Azure Residence Tower</i> Dallas, TX	400,000 square feet	EDUCATIONAL	
1530/1526 Main Street	Exterior Lighting	Lighting Demonstration Facility	

261 La Espiral, Suite B Orinda, California 94563-1832 925.254.1860 Fax 888.695.3699

# L I N D S L E Y

#### San Francisco, CA

San Francisco Art Institute Tower 125th Anniversary Celebration San Francisco, CA	
<i>Kalmanovitz Hall</i> University of San Francisco San Francisco, CA	10,000 square feet
<i>Thacher Gallery</i> University of San Francisco San Francisco, CA	1,000 square feet
<i>Tenderloin Elementary School</i> San Francisco, CA	30,000 square feet
MUSEUM DISPLAY	
Petro-Lewis Mineral Collection	
Denver, CO	
<i>Leland Stanford Museum</i> Sacramento, CA	16,000 square feet
<i>Bohemian Club</i> San Francisco, CA	50,000 square feet
<i>Thacher Gallery</i> San Francisco, CA	10,000 square feet
<u>SIGNAGE</u>	
3COM 3D Site Signage Santa Clara, CA	
<i>EPRI Site Signage</i> San Mateo, CA	
MULTI-USE PROJECTS	
<b>116 University Avenue</b> Palo Alto, CA	16,000 square feet

**102 University Avenue** San Mateo, CA 10,400 square feet



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV\_

#### APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE PALEN SOLAR POWER PLANT PROJECT

### Docket No. 09-AFC-7

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 8/27/10)

#### **APPLICANT**

Alice Harron Senior Director of Project Development \*1111 Broadway, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Oakland, CA 94607 harron@solarmillenium.com

\*Michael Cressner, Project Development & Permitting Solar Millennium, LLC 1111 Broadway, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Oakland, CA 94709 <u>cressner@solarmillennium.com</u>.

Arrie Bachrach AECOM Project Manager 1220 Avenida Acaso Camarillo, CA 93012 arrie.bachrach@aecom.com

Ram Ambatipudi Chevron Energy Solutions 150 E. Colorado Blvd., Ste. 360 Pasadena, CA 91105 rambatipudi@chevron.com.

#### Co-COUNSEL

Scott Galati, Esq. Marie Mills Galati/Blek, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com mmills@gb-llp.com

#### Co-COUNSEL

Peter Weiner, Matthew Sanders Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP 55 2nd Street, Suite 2400-3441 San Francisco, CA 94105 peterweiner@paulhastings.com matthewsanders@paulhastings.com

#### **INTERVENORS**

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) c/o Tanya A. Gulesserian, Marc D. Joseph Jason W. Holder Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com iholder@adamsbroadwell.com\*

Michael E. Boyd, President Californians for Renewable Energy (CARE) 5439 Soquel Drive Soquel, CA 95073-2659 <u>michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net</u>

Alfredo Figueroa Californians for Renewable Energy (CARE) 424 North Carlton Blythe, CA 92225 lacunadeaztlan@aol.com

Basin and Range Watch Kevin Emmerich Laura Cunningham P.O. Box 153 Baker, CA 92309 atomictoadranch@netzero.net

Lisa T. Belenky, Senior Attorney Center for Biological Diversity 351 California St., Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>Ibelenky@biologicaldiversity.org</u> Ileene Anderson Public Lands Desert Director Center for Biological Diversity PMB 447, 8033 Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90046 ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org

#### INTERESTED AGENCIES California ISO

e-recipient@caiso.com

Holly L. Roberts, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office 1201 Bird Center Drive Palm Springs, CA 92262 <u>CAPSSolarBlythe@blm.gov</u>

#### ENERGY COMMISSION

ROBERT WEISENMILLER Commissioner and Presiding Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

KAREN DOUGLAS Chairman and Associate Member kldougla@energy.state.ca.us.

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer. rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Alan Solomon Siting Project Manager. asolomon@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa DeCarlo Staff Counsel Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings Public Adviser's Office <u>e-mail service preferred</u> publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, <u>Sabrina Savala</u>, declare that on <u>October 11, 2010</u>, I served and filed copies of the attached <u>CEC Staff's Rebuttal</u> <u>Testimony</u> dated <u>October 11, 2010</u>. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solar\_millennium\_palen]

The documents have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

#### (Check all that Apply)

#### FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:

- x sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
- \_\_\_\_\_ by personal delivery;
- x by delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses **NOT** marked "email preferred."

AND

#### FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:

<u>x</u> sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (*preferred method*);

OR

\_\_\_\_\_ depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

#### CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. <u>09-AFC-7</u>

1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Original Signature in Dockets Sabrina Savala