

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

September 27, 2010

John Kalish Field Manager Palm Springs South Coast Field Office Bureau of Land Management

1201 Bird Center Drive

Palm Springs, California 92262

Subject: Final Environmental Impact Statement for the NextEra Energy Resources Genesis

Solar Energy Project, Riverside County, California (CEQ #20100339)

Dear Mr. Kalish:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed the above-referenced document pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and our NEPA review authority under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act.

EPA reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and provided comments to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) on July 12, 2010. We rated the DEIS as *Environmental Objections – Insufficient Information* (EO-2) because the proposed action included wet cooling and the extraction of over 500 million gallons of groundwater, while similar proposed projects within the vicinity (e.g. the Blythe and Palen Solar Power Projects) would employ less-water-consumptive dry cooling technology. We also expressed concerns regarding impacts to habitats and ephemeral drainages, and requested additional information regarding project purpose, need, and alternatives; tribal consultation; and impacts to and from climate change.

The FEIS indicates that BLM has selected the Dry Cooling Alternative as the agency's Preferred Alternative (p. 2-38). EPA commends BLM for this selection, which would reduce project water use by 1,426 acre-feet per year (afy) during the operational phase, representing an 87% reduction in water use. This is a significant improvement and addresses our concerns regarding impacts to groundwater resources. The need for an entitlement of water from the Colorado River was not addressed in the Response to Comments. We recommend that BLM ensure that the necessary water entitlements are secured prior to project construction. Regarding impacts to habitats, we understand that BLM is working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and a Biological Opinion is expected before the Record of Decision (ROD) is signed.

EPA appreciates the reduction in impacts to 21 acres of State jurisdictional waters/ephemeral drainages (Table 4.17-3). We remained concerned, however, regarding the direct and indirect impacts to 90 acres of ephemeral drainages and the loss of associated hydrological and biological functions. The FEIS concludes that impacts from water and wind erosion as a result of site grading would be mitigated to less than significant levels; however, this

DOCKET

09-AFC-8

RECD. 09/28/10

09/27/10

DATE

is not supported because the drainage report and channel erosion and maintenance plans are deferred to a later time, and their viability and potential effectiveness are not known. We remain concerned because the FEIS identifies obstacles to achieving effective mitigation for these impacts, including the incompatibility of the erosion control structures with wildlife traversability requirements (p. 4.19-9). Because this mitigation development is being deferred until after the ROD is signed, the ROD should identify the specific mitigation goals, specified in terms of measurable performance standards, to the greatest extent possible (Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Draft Guidance on NEPA Mitigation and Monitoring, February 18, 2010). Mitigation commitments should be structured to include adaptive management in order to minimize the possibility of mitigation failure. The ROD should also include the response to be taken by BLM if a substantial mitigation failure is detected. This could include conditioning the right-of-way approval to require the applicant to restore any severely impacted watersheds that may result from mitigation failure.

BLM dismissed many of EPA's comments on the DEIS by responding that they were not considered substantive as defined in BLM's NEPA Handbook, Section 6.9.2.1, or were beyond the scope of the FEIS. We disagree, and request that BLM reconsider some of these comments, since we believe they are relevant and appropriate. For example, our recommendation to explore the availability of reclaimed water in the project area is supported by 40 CFR 1502.14 (f), which directs agencies to include appropriate mitigation measures not already included in the proposed action or alternatives. Our recommendations to discuss the details and effectiveness of the mitigation for site drainage alternation and to discuss the availability of mitigation compensation lands in the Chuckwalla Valley watershed are appropriate. BLM responded to our request that the findings of the Army Corps of Engineers' Jurisdictional Delineation be included and discussed in the FEIS by stating that this process is independent and separate from NEPA and that it will be completed in accordance with relevant statutory and regulatory requirements (p. 5-60). EPA strongly encourages the integration of NEPA with the Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 process, to streamline permitting and to align the alternatives analyses of these processes. In the interest of avoiding unnecessary delays in renewable energy development and facilitating the development of the most environmentally sound renewable energy projects, we encourage BLM to view these other regulatory requirements as an essential part of the NEPA process.

EPA appreciates the opportunity to review this FEIS. If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 972-3843, or contact Kathleen Goforth, Manager of the Environmental Review Office, at 415-972-3521 or goforth.kathleen@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Enrique Manzanilla, Director Communities and Ecosystems Division cc:

Jim Abbott, Bureau of Land Management, California State Office Michael Picker, California Governor's Office Allison Schaffer, Bureau of Land Management, Project Manager Shannon Pankratz, US Army Corps of Engineers Tannika Engelhard, United States Fish and Wildlife Service Becky Jones, California Department of Fish and Game Mike Monasmith, California Energy Commission



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE GENESIS SOLAR ENERGY PROJECT

Docket No. 09-AFC-8

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 8/5/10)

APPLICANT

Ryan O'Keefe, Vice President Genesis Solar LLC 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> Ryan.okeefe@nexteraenergy.com

Scott Busa/Project Director Meg Russel/Project Manager Duane McCloud/Lead Engineer NextEra Energy 700 Universe Boulvard Juno Beach, FL 33408 Scott.Busa@nexteraenergy.com Meg.Russell@nexteraenergy.com Duane.mccloud@nexteraenergy.com e-mail service preferred Matt Handel/Vice President Matt.Handel@nexteraenergy.com e-mail service preferred Kenny Stein, **Environmental Services Manager** Kenneth.Stein@nexteraenergy.com

Mike Pappalardo
Permitting Manager
3368 Videra Drive
Eugene, OR 97405
mike.pappalardo@nexteraenergy.com

Kerry Hattevik/Director West Region Regulatory Affairs 829 Arlington Boulevard El Cerrito, CA 94530 Kerry.Hattevik@nexteraenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Tricia Bernhardt/Project Manager Tetra Tech, EC 143 Union Boulevard, Ste 1010 Lakewood, CO 80228 Tricia.bernhardt@tteci.com James Kimura, Project Engineer Worley Parsons 2330 East Bidwell Street, Ste.150 Folsom, CA 95630 James.Kimura@WorleyParsons.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Scott Galati, Esq.
*Marie Mills
Galati/Blek, LLP
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95814
sqalati@qb-llp.com
mmills@qb-llp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California-ISO <u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

Allison Shaffer, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management Palm Springs South Coast Field Office 1201 Bird Center Drive Palm Springs, CA 92262 Allison_Shaffer@blm.gov

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o: Tanya A. Gulesserian,
Rachael E. Koss,
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joesph
& Cardoza
601 Gateway Boulevard,
Ste 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
rkoss@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Budlong 3216 Mandeville Cyn Rd. Los Angeles, CA 90049-1016 tombudlong@roadrunner.com Mr. Larry Silver
California Environmental
Law Project
Counsel to Mr. Budlong
<u>e-mail preferred</u>
<u>larrysilver@celproject.net</u>

Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. (CARE) Michael E. Boyd, President 5439 Soquel Drive Soquel, CA 95073-2659 michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net

Lisa T. Belenky, Senior Attorney Center for Biological Diversity 351 California St., Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 |belenky@biologicaldiversity.org

Ileene Anderson
Public Lands Desert Director
Center for Biological Diversity
PMB 447, 8033 Sunset Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90046
ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org

OTHER

Alfredo Figueroa 424 North Carlton Blythe, CA 92225 lacunadeaztlan@aol.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

JAMES D. BOYD Commissioner and Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

ROBERT WEISENMILLER Commissioner and Associate Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

Kenneth Celli Hearing Officer kcelli@energy.state.ca.us Mike Monasmith
Siting Project Manager
mmonasmi@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes Staff Counsel cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Robin Mayer Co-Staff Counsel rmayer@energy.state.ca.us *Jared Babula Co-Staff Counsel jbabula@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us</u>

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>Maria Santourdjian</u>, declare that on <u>September 28, 2010</u>, I served and filed copies of the attached <u>U.S. EPA Letter Regarding Genesis Solar Energy Project</u>, dated <u>September 27, 2010</u>. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/genesis_solar].

The documents have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

	FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:
Х	sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
	by personal delivery;
X	by delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred."
AND	
	FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:
Х	sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (<i>preferred method</i>);
OR	
	depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:
	CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. <u>09-AFC-8</u>

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

Originally Signed by
Maria Santourdjian