

Memorandum

Date:	September 13, 2010	DOO	CKET
	Homero Rodriguez and Leonard Scandura, SJVAPCD Gregory Skannal, HECA	08-/	AFC-8
	Dale Shileikis, URS Mike Carroll, Latham & Watkins	DATE	SEP 13 2010
		RECD.	SEP 22 2010
From:	Julie Mitchell, URS		

Subject: **HECA Project Planned Rectisol Flare Usage and Maximum Design Capacity for Each Flare**

As a follow-up to our previous discussions, this memo presents the maximum planned Rectisol flare usage for the HECA Project. The emissions from the addition of this planned flaring were modeled and determined to not result in any significant air quality impacts. This memo also outlines the maximum design capacity of each flare for the **HECA** Project.

Planned Rectisol Flare Usage

The Rectisol flare may be used for off-specification carbon dioxide (CO_2) during gasifier start-up or shut-down events. It is expected that a maximum of 40 hours per year of flaring for this purpose would be required by this flare. The flare would operate for a maximum of 8 hours at any given time, but much shorter durations are expected. The maximum emissions from this planned use of the Rectisol flare were estimated and added to the emissions from continual use of the pilot to obtain total annual Rectisol flare emissions, as presented in Table 1. The emission calculations and the assumptions used to calculate these emissions are presented in Attachment A to this memo.

	Emissions (tons/year)				
Pollutant	Pilot	Total			
NOx	0.158	1.032	1.19		
CO	0.105	0.688	0.79		
VOC	0.002	0.0112	0.01		
SO ₂	0.003	0.300	0.30		
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$	0.004	0.0258	0.03		

Table 1 Total Annual Portical Flaro Emissions



New modeling was conducted using the maximum normal operations modeling analysis that had been previously conducted with the addition of the emissions from the newly planned Rectisol flaring. Emission rates for each averaging time are included in Attachment A. The stack parameters used in the AERMOD model for the flare are based on the heating rate and actual stack height. The effective stack height and diameter were estimated using the calculations outlined in Attachment B.

The flare stack parameters for the gasifier and SRU flares are also presented in Attachment B. These were re-evaluated to ensure the most recent data were used as the basis of determining these stack parameters. The stack parameters vary from those provided in the modeling files due primarily to the increased heat rate at the gasifier flare for flaring during a CTG wash.

The model results are presented in Table 2. The concentrations predicted are very similar to those predicted by SJVAPCD without the planned Rectisol flaring and predicted by HECA and presented in HECA's response to CEC Workshop Request 40, June 2010. Table 2 shows that the modeled impacts due to the Project emissions, in combination with conservative background concentrations, will not cause a violation of any Ambient Air Quality Standard (AAQS) and will not significantly contribute to the existing violations of the federal and state PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} standards. These are the same conclusions as presented in previous analyses.



Table 2 **AERMOD Modeling Results for Project Operations (All Project Sources Combined)**

5	Averaging	Model Predicted Concentration	Class II Significance Level	% of SIL	Background Concentration	Monitoring Station Description	CAAQS	NAAQS	Total Concentration
Pollutant	Period	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	%	(µg/m³)		(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)
NO ₂ ⁽¹⁾	1-hour (OLM) ^(1,2)	132.69	NA	NA	143.4	а	339	NA	276
1102	Annual (OLM) ⁽¹⁾	0.66	1	87%	39.6	а	57	100	40
~~~	1-hour ⁽²⁾	2,075.51	2,000	71%	4,025	а	23,000	40,000	6,101
CO	8-hour ⁽²⁾	574.95	500	43%	2,444	а	10,000	10,000	3,019
	1-hour ⁽²⁾	25.27	NA	NA	33.8	b	655	NA	59
<u> </u>	3-hour (2)	16.36	25	31%	26	b	NA	1,300	42
SO ₂	24-hour (2)	1.63	5	18%	11.7	b	105	365	13
	Annual	0.13	1	14%	4.28	b	NA	80	4
PM ₁₀	24-hour (2)	4.08	5	58%	267.4	а	50	150	-
	Annual	0.57	1	59%	56.5	а	20	Revoked	-
рм	24-hour ⁽²⁾	2.63	-	44%	154	а	NA	35	-
PM _{2.5}	Annual	0.41	-	45%	25.2	а	12	15	-

Source: HECA Project

Notes:

¹ The Ozone Limiting Method (OLM) was applied using hourly  $O_3$  data. ² For short-term (1-, 3-, 8-, and 24-hour) modeling, only one emergency generator will be operational at any one time, at most two gasifier heaters are expected to be operational at any one time. any one time, and the auxiliary boiler does not operate at the same time as the CTG/HRSG.

³ Monitoring station for the maximum background concentration is described below:

a) CARB, Maximum of 2006-2008, Bakersfield Golden State Highway

b) CARB, Maximum of 2007-2009, Fresno – 1st Street

CAAQS = California Ambient Air Quality Standards CARB = California Air Resources Board CO = carbon monoxide  $\mu g/m^3$  = micrograms per cubic meter NA = not applicable.NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards

 $NO_2$  = nitrogen dioxide OLM = ozone limiting method PM_{2.5} = particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter PM₁₀ = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter SIL = Significant Impact Level  $SO_2 = sulfur dioxide$ 



# Maximum Design Capacity for Each Flare

The three flares are designed to handle the maximum possible, unplanned emergency upset conditions that could happen at the facility. These unintentional events should not occur, but the flares must be designed to safely dispose of the maximum, worst-case gas stream. The gasification flare is designed to handle the maximum instantaneous syngas production from two gasifiers that could occur due to a downstream failure event (or events). The sulfur recovery unit flare is designed to handle the unlikely case of both Claus trains failing simultaneously. The Rectisol flare is designed to handle total flow from an unlikely equipment failure event, such as a major failure in the acid gas removal (AGR) unit. The duration of these upset events is difficult to predict although HECA will do everything reasonably possible to correct the problem that has caused unplanned flaring in a timely manner and begin actions to minimize emissions and the amount of gas flared. These maximum upset flaring events are outlined below in Table 3.

Maximum Design Capacity for Each Flare at HECA					
	Gasification Flare	SRU Flare	Rectisol Flare		
	Emergency	Emergency	Emergency		
Parameter	Syngas Relief	Acid Gas Relief	Shut-Down Vent		
Maximum Heat Rate, 10 ⁶ Btu/hr HHV	2000	1229	430		

Table 3 Maximum Design Capacity for Each Flare at HECA

The events outlined in Table 3 are unplanned upset conditions that are never expected to occur, but the flares are designed to safely dispose of the maximum stream that might occur. Due to the fact these could only occur as an emergency event and would be reported to SJVAPCD as such, HECA does not believe that these events should be modeled and compared to AAQS. These events have been examined to ensure that possible worker exposures to airborne pollutants are below the worker safety limits.



Attachment A Rectisol Flare Emission Calculations Hydrogen Energy California LLC HECA Project

## **Rectisol - Normal Operating Emissions from Pilot**

Total Hours of Operation	8,760	hr/yr
Rectisol Flare Pilot Firing Rate	0.3	MMBtu/hr

Hours	per Qtr	Pilot Op	eration	
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
2190	2190	2190	2190	

## Pilot Pollutant Emission Factors

NOx (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.12
CO (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.08
VOC (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.0013
SO ₂ (lb/MMBtu, HHV) (12.65 ppm)	0.002
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$ (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.003

## Pilot Pollutant Emission Rates

	Pilot Emissions				
Pollutant	lb/hr	lb/day	lb/yr	ton/qtr	ton/yr
NOx	0.036	0.86	315.36	0.04	0.2
со	0.024	0.58	210.24	0.03	0.1
VOC	0.0004	0.01	3.42	0.0004	0.002
SO ₂	0.0006	0.01	5.37	0.0007	0.003
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$	0.0009	0.02	7.88	0.00	0.004

Assuming equal operation in each

quarter

9/9/2010



**Emissions Summary** 

Rectisol Flare - Operating Emissions During Gasifier Startup and Shutdown

Total Hours of Operation	40	hr/yr	<u> </u>		-	- ·
Rectisol Flare Power Rate (heat input), HHV	430	MMBtu/hr				
	400	WWDta/III	J			
						hours at a given
Flare Pollutant Emission Factors			Flare oper	ates up to	8	time
NOx (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.12					
CO (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.08					
VOC (lb/MMBtu, HHV)	0.0013					
SO ₂ (lb/hr)	15					
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$ (Ib/MMBtu, HHV)	0.003					
$SO_2$ emissions based on 50 ppmv sulfur concentration in the flare gas, with						
a maximum flare gas flow of 4,542 lbmol/hr.						
Flare Pollutant Emission Rates						
	Emissions					
Pollutant	lb/hr	lb/day	lb/yr	ton/qtr	ton/yr	
NOx	51.60	412.80	2,064.00	0.258	1.032	
СО	34.40	275.20	1,376.00	0.172	0.688	
VOC	0.56	4.47	22.36	0.003	0.011	
SO ₂	15.00	120.00	600.00	0.075	0.300	
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$	1.29	10.32	51.60	0.006	0.026	

## **Emissions Summary**

## **Rectisol Flare - Total Annual Emissions**

	Emissions				
Pollutant	Pilot (ton/yr)	Start-Up/ Shut-Down (ton/yr)	Total (ton/qtr)	Total (ton/yr)	
NOx	0.158	1.032	0.30	1.19	
со	0.105	0.688	0.20	0.79	
VOC	0.002	0.0112	0.003	0.01	
SO ₂	0.003	0.300	0.076	0.30	
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$	0.004	0.0258	0.007	0.03	

## **Modeling Worst-Case 1 hr Emissions**

NOx (g/sec)	6.506
CO (g/sec)	4.337
SO ₂ (g/sec)	1.8901

Only NOx, CO, and SO2 are considered for an average 1-hour Ambient Air Quality Standard.

NOx, CO, and SO2 one (1) hr rates are from taken from the natural gas pilot and flare emissions

## Modeling Worst-Case 3 hr Emissions

SO ₂ (lb/3-hr)	45.00
SO ₂ (g/sec)	1.8901

Only SO₂ is considered for an average 3-hour Ambient Air Quality Standard.

Pounds per 3-hr assumes approximately 3 hours the natural gas pilot and flare emissions.

### **Modeling Worst-Case 8 hr Emissions**

CO (lb/8-hr)	275.39
CO (g/sec)	4.337

Only CO is considered for an average 8-hour Ambient Air Quality Standard.

Pounds per 8-hr assumes approximately 8 hours of pilot and flare operation.

# **Emissions Summary**

## **Modeling Worst-Case 24 Hour Emissions**

SO ₂ (lb/24-hr)	120.01
SO ₂ (g/sec)	0.6301
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5} (Ib/24-hr)$	10.34
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5} (g/sec)$	0.0543

Only  $SO_2$  and PM are considered for an average 24-hour Ambient Air Quality Standard.

 $SO_2$  and PM pounds per 24-hr assume approximately 24 hours of pilot operation and 8 hours of flare operation.

## **Modeling Annual Average Emissions**

NOx (g/sec)	0.034
CO (g/sec)	0.023
SO ₂ (g/sec)	0.009
$PM_{10} = PM_{2.5} (g/sec)$	0.001

Pounds per year assumes contributions from both 8760 hrs of pilot operation and 40hrs of SU/SD flaring



Attachment B Flare Stack Parameter Calculations



# **Flare Stack Parameters**

Hydrogen Energy California LLC

HECA Project

Parameter	Rectisol Flare (during startup and shutdown)	Gasification Flare (during CTG wash)	SRU Flare (during Gasifier Startup and Shutdown)
Heat release rate for flare+pilot, (10 ⁶ Btu/hr HHV)	430.3	1695.5	36.3
H = Total Heat release rate (cal/s)	3.01E+07	1.19E+08	2.54E+06
Fb = Buoyancy flux	5.00E+02	1.97E+03	4.22E+01
$Q_{H}$ = sensible heat release rate	1.36E+07	5.34E+07	1.14E+06
Hs = Stack height (m) AERMOD Input parameters	76.2	76.2	76.2
He = Effective stack height (m) as calculated in SCREEN3	93.33	109.20	81.45
T = Stack temperature (K)	1273	1273	1273
v = Exit velocity (m/s)	20	20	20
d = effective stack diameter (m)	3.637	7.220	1.056

Flare stack parameters are based on calculated using the SCREEN3 technique

 $Fb = Buoyancy flux = 1.66 \times 10-5 \times H$ 

QH = sensible heat release rate = 0.45 x H

He = Effective stack height (m) = Hs +  $4.56E-03 * H^{0.478}$ 

9/9/2010



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT

Docket No. 08-AFC-8

PROOF OF SERVICE LIST (Rev. 8/18/10)

## **APPLICANT**

Gregory D. Skannal Tiffany Rau Rick Harrison Hydrogen Energy International LLC One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 Long Beach, CA 90831 gregory.skannal@hydrogenenergy.com tiffany.rau@hydrogenenergy.com rick.harrison@hydrogenenergy.com

Asteghik Khajetoorians, Senior BP Legal Attorney BP America, Inc. 6 Centerpointe Drive, LPR 6-550 La Palma, CA 90623 Asteghik.Khajetoorians@bp.com

## **APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT**

Dale Shileikis, Vice President Energy Services Manager Major Environmental Programs URS Corporation One Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104-4538 dale_shileikis@urscorp.com

## **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Michael J. Carroll Latham & Watkins, LLP 650 Town Center Drive, 20th FI. Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925 michael.carroll@lw.com

## **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

## **INTERVENORS**

*California Unions for Reliable Energy Thomas A. Enslow Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Frantz Association of Irritated Residents 30100 Orange Street Shafter, CA 93263 <u>tfrantz@bak.rr.com</u>

Kern-Kaweah Chapter of the Sierra Club Babak Naficy Law Offices of Babak Naficy 1504 Marsh Street San Luis Obispo, California 93401 babaknaficy@sbcglobal.net

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) Timothy O'Connor, Esq. 1107 Ninth St., Suite 540 Sacramento, CA 95814 toconnor@edf.org Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) George Peridas 111 Sutter Street, 20th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94104 gperidas@nrdc.org

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair and Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Associate Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Kristy Chew Adviser to Commissioner Boyd <u>e-mail service preferred</u> kchew@energy.state.ca.us

Rod Jones Project Manager rjones@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa De Carlo Staff Counsel Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings Public Adviser's Office <u>e-mail service preferred</u> <u>publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us</u>

## **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, <u>Dale Shileikis</u>, declare that on <u>September 22</u>, 2010, I served and filed copies of the attached <u>Flare Memo to</u> <u>SJVAPCD</u>, dated <u>September 13</u>, 2010. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/hydrogen_energy].

The documents have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

## (Check all that Apply)

#### FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:

 X
 sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;

 by personal delivery;
 X

 X
 By delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred."

 AND

 FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:

X sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (*preferred method*);

#### OR

depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

## **CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION**

Attn: Docket No. <u>08-AFC-8</u> 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Da Aklika