Docket Optical System - Fwd: SOLARTWO-LIST: Applicant's Submittal of Estimated First YearConstruction Water Use Summary

From: Edie Harmon desertharmon@gmail.com

To: Raoul Renaud < rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us >, Christopher Meyer

<CMeyer@energy.state.ca.us>, Jennifer Jennings <JJenning@energy.state.ca.us>

Date: 8/9/2010 11:16 AM

Subject: Fwd: SOLARTWO-LIST: Applicant's Submittal of Estimated First YearConstruction

Water Use Summary

CC: "Loulena A. Miles" < lmiles@adamsbroadwell.com>, Larry Silver

<larrysilver@earthlink.net>, Donna Tisdale <donnatisdale@hughes.net>, Tom Beltran

<tbeltran@cox.net>, Fred Cagle <lorcalon@me.com>

Attachments: Exhibit 599 Declining water levelsin Ocotillo-CoyoteWells SSA graph NW to SE &

map.pdf; Exhibit 599A Declining waterlevels in Ocotillo-CoyoteWells SSA graph#2

W to ENE.pdf

Hearing Officer Renaud, and CEC staff,

So, I see that the Applicant's intended use of groundwater is more than 42 AF/Y for the first year, more than the maximum allowed pumping bfrom the intended well. Does anyone care but the Intervenors, me and the other downgradient groundwater users? What a terrible waste of groundwater for such a speculative project with such serious adverse air quality impacts in a county with already poor quality air! No amount of groundwater for dust suppression could ever eliminate the potential for more serious dust storms such as those in the video I forwarded last week.

Yes, I know that no one wanted to see the graphic representation of my written testimopny and the Table with USGS monitoring data that I tried to submit at the Evidentiary Hearing, but once again I am sending the graphic representation of what is happening in hte groundwater basin. If the applicant can provide information after the close of the Evidentiary Hearing, why can't I when I tried to earlier? It is merely the graphic representation of the numbers in the Table of USGS monitoing data for the groundwater basin. The downgradient portion of the basin near Coyote Wells has very shallow depth to water and there is phreatophytic vegetation in the area. As USGS scients keep reminding me, when the water is gone, it is gone because it is follsil groundwater from the end of the last ice age.

I am in the process of commenting on the even greater cumulativbe impacts posed by the nearby downgradient proposal to pump 65 AF/Y at the proposed Wind Zero site just to the SW of the Imperial Valley Solar Project site.

Edie Harmon 619-729-7178

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Energy Commission** < <u>listenergia@listserver.energy.ca.gov</u>>

Date: Mon, Aug 9, 2010 at 10:31 AM

Subject: SOLARTWO-LIST: Applicant's Submittal of Estimated First Year Construction Water Use

Summary

To: SOLARTWO@listserver.energy.ca.gov

Applicant's Submittal of Estimated First Year Construction Water Use Summary.

For more information:

http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solartwo/documents/index.html#applicant

DOCKET 08-AFC-5

DATE AUG 09 2010

RECD. AUG 09 2010

To reply to listserver e-mails, DO NOT HIT THE REPLY BUTTON. Instead please send an e-mail to: mediaoffice@energy.state.ca.us
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
You are subscribed to this list server run by the California Energy Commission. To unsubscribe, please go to: http://www.energy.ca.gov/listservers/
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Farideh Namjou California Energy Commission Web Team

(If link above doesn't work, please copy entire link into your web browser's URL)





