

DOCKET

08-AFC-8

DATE JUL 16 2010

RECD. JUL 16 2010

July 16, 2010

Dockets Unit California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street, MS 4 Sacramento, CA 95814

> RE: Hydrogen Energy California Project Application for Certification 08-AFC-8

On behalf of HECA LLC, the applicant for the above-referenced Hydrogen Energy California AFC, we are pleased to submit the enclosed document:

One print copy of Responses to CEC Questions from July 6, 2010 Correspondence.

This document is being submitted to Dockets electronically, and one printed copy is provided. One print copy is also being sent to each party on the Proof of Service list not marked "email preferred."

The enclosed document is being submitted to the CEC for docketing.

URS Corporation

Alison Druny

Alison Drury

Project Manager/Senior Environmental

Planner

Enclosures

CC: Rod Jones (w/o enclosure)

Responses to CEC Questions from July 6, 2010 Correspondence

Revised
Application for Certification
(08-AFC-8)
for
HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA
Kern County, California

Prepared for:

Hydrogen Energy International LLC



Submitted to:

California Energy Commission





Technical Area: Biological Resources

Technical Lead: Amy Golden

QUESTION

1. Figures 7-1 and 7-2 (Swainson's hawks nest trees and locations) were omitted from the Data Responses – please provide at your convenience.

RESPONSE

The missing figures from the response to Workshop Request 7 were inadvertently omitted from the electronic file of the Responses to the April 12, 2010 California Energy Commission (CEC) Data Response and Issues Resolution Workshop. They were subsequently docketed on July 8, 2010.

QUESTION

2. San Joaquin bluecurls (Trichostema ovatum) were indicated as observed in Table 7-2 but were not included in Table 7-3. Please confirm that this species was observed, its location, and estimated number of plants to be impacted.

RESPONSE

As noted in Table 7-2 in the response to Workshop Request 7, this species was seen within the Natural Gas/Potable Water linear alignment and its corresponding CEC buffer (i.e., 1,000 feet either side of the linear alignment right-of-way, consistent with CEC's rules, regulations, and requirements). Approximately 250 individuals were seen in total. This was inadvertently omitted from Table 7-3.

QUESTION

3. Table 9-1, Transmission line impact area – please clarify how 55.0 acres of temporary impact were calculated and 0.7 acres of permanent impact were calculated and what those impacts consist of.

RESPONSE

The transmission line impact disturbance areas that were presented in the response to Workshop Request 9 are clarified as follows.

- 1. The temporary disturbance of 55 acres along the entire transmission line route is calculated from the sum of the following:
 - A 150-foot by 150-foot area required for each of the 60 structures, resulting in a disturbance area calculation of 31 acres; and
 - A 25-foot temporary roadway required along the entire 8-mile line, resulting in a disturbance area calculation of 24 acres.
- 2. The permanent disturbance of the 0.7 acre is calculated from each of 60 poles needing a circular disturbed area with a diameter of 25 feet.

QUESTION

4. Also in Table 9–1, Project site impact area – please clarify how 473 acres of temporary impact and 250 acres of permanent impact calculations were made and what those impacts consist of. Is the 250 acres of impact within the 473 acre area or is it in addition to?

RESPONSE

The Project Site impact areas that were presented in the response to Workshop Request 9 are clarified as follows.

During construction of the power facilities, 473 acres will be disturbed for laydown, staging, parking, and construction of the Project facilities. Of the 473 acres, 250 acres will be permanently disturbed as part of the power facility, support structures, etc. The remaining 223 acres will not be permanently disturbed or used for HECA operations, but will be within the Project Site fenceline. The 250 acres of permanent disturbance is within the 473-acre Project Site.



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT Docket No. 08-AFC-8

PROOF OF SERVICE LIST (Rev. 6/22/10)

APPLICANT

Gregory D. Skannal
Tiffany Rau
Rick Harrison
Hydrogen Energy International LLC
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
Long Beach, CA 90831
gregory.skannal@hydrogenenergy.com
tiffany.rau@hydrogenenergy.com
rick.harrison@hydrogenenergy.com

Asteghik Khajetoorians, Senior BP Legal Attorney BP America, Inc. 6 Centerpointe Drive, LPR 6-550 La Palma, CA 90623 Asteghik.Khajetoorians@bp.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT

*Dale Shileikis, Vice President Energy Services Manager Major Environmental Programs URS Corporation One Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104-4538 dale_shileikis@urscorp.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Michael J. Carroll Latham & Watkins, LLP 650 Town Center Drive, 20th FI. Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925 michael.carroll@lw.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

Tom Frantz
Association of Irritated Residents
30100 Orange Street
Shafter, CA 93263
tfrantz@bak.rr.com

Kern-Kaweah Chapter of the Sierra Club Babak Naficy Law Offices of Babak Naficy 1504 Marsh Street San Luis Obispo, California 93401 babaknaficy@sbcglobal.net

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) Timothy O'Connor, Esq. 1107 Ninth St., Suite 540 Sacramento, CA 95814

toconnor@edf.org

ENERGY COMMISSION

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair and Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Associate Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Kristy Chew Adviser to Commissioner Boyd kchew@energy.state.ca.us

Rod Jones Project Manager rjones@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa De Carlo Staff Counsel Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Alison Drury, declare that on July 16, 2010, I served and filed copies of the attached *Responses to CEC Questions from July 6, 2010 Correspondence*, dated July, 2010. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/hydrogen_energy].

The documents have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

FOR	SE	RVICE	TO	ALL	OTI	IER	PAF	RTIE	<u>s</u> :
		_				_			

Χ	sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list				
Х	by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail at <u>San Francisco, CA</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred."				
AND					
	FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:				
X	sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (<i>preferred method</i>);				
OR					
	depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:				

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Alison Druny

Attn: Docket No. <u>08-AFC-8</u> 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.