

455 Capitol Mall Suite 350 Sacramento CA 95814 Tel· 916.441.6575 Fax· 916.441.6553

DOCKET

10-CRD-1

**DATE** JUN 04 2010

**RECD.** JUN 04 2010

June 4, 2010

California Energy Commission Docket Unit 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Subject: GENESIS SOLAR, LLC REPLY BRIEF

DOCKET NOs. (08-AFC-13, 09-AFC-8, 08-AFC-5, 09-AFC-6, 09-AFC-7, 09-AFC-8, 40-CRD-4)

**AFC-9 & 10-CRD-1)** 

Enclosed for filing with the California Energy Commission is the original of **GENESIS SOLAR, LLC REPLY BRIEF**, for the Consolidated Hearing on Issues Concerning US Bureau of Land Management Cultural Resources Data (10-CRD-1).

Sincerely,

Marie Mills

Ganilfills

Scott A. Galati
GALATIBLEK, LLP
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 441-6575

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Energy Resources
Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of: Application for Certification for the

Calico Solar (SES Solar One) Project, Genesis Solar Energy Project, Imperial Valley (SES Solar Two) Project, Solar Millennium Blythe Project, Solar Millennium Palen Project, and Solar Millennium Ridgecrest Project

Consolidated Hearing on Issues Concerning US Bureau of Land Management Cultural Resources Data DOCKET NOs.

08-AFC-13

09-AFC-8

08-AFC-5

09-AFC-6

09-AFC-7

09-AFC-9

10-CRD-1

GENESIS SOLAR, LLC REPLY BRIEF

#### INTRODUCTION

Genesis Solar, LLC (Genesis) is the Applicant for the Genesis Solar Energy Project (GSEP) which is currently pending before the California Energy Commission (Commission). In accordance with the Notice and Orders For Consolidated Hearing on Issues Concerning US Bureau of Land Management Cultural Resources Data, dated May 21, 2010, Genesis files this Reply Brief. Genesis did not file an Opening Brief because prior to reading the Opening Brief of the California Unions For Reliable Energy (CURE), Genesis did not oppose nor support the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) objection to CURE's Petition for Copying and Inspection of Confidential Cultural Resources Materials (Petition) docketed at the Commission for the GSEP. However, after reading CURE's Opening Brief, Genesis hereby files this Reply Brief in response to CURE's assertions that it needs the Commission to provide it access to the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials in order to fully participate in the GSEP Proceedings. Genesis strongly disagrees.

For the reasons discussed below we recommend that the Commission and BLM enter into a confidentiality agreement whereby the Commission be given the Confidential Cultural Resource Materials subject to non-disclosure to the public and interveners. CURE simply does not need the material to participate in the Genesis Proceedings.

#### **ANALYSIS**

#### **APPLICABLE REGULATORY GUIDANCE**

CURE's Opening Brief makes the broad assumption with no legal support that it is a party to the Genesis Proceedings because it is an intervener and as a party is entitled to the same information as Staff. While Genesis agrees that CURE is a party to the Genesis Proceedings<sup>1</sup>, any rights derived from the status as an Intervener must come from either the Commission's authorizing Statute or its regulations.<sup>2</sup>

CURE elected to pursue obtaining the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials through its Petition made pursuant to 20 CCR Section 2506 rather than a Data Request pursuant to 20 CCR Section 1716. It is important to note that Section 2506 is a procedural regulation that determines whether *any person* can "inspect" a record while Section 1716 governs whether *a party* "needs" and can therefore "use" a record in an AFC Siting Proceeding. It is well settled where there are two applicable authorities, specific provisions override general provisions. Therefore, while Genesis neither opposes nor supports CURE's Petition to "inspect" the records, it contends that the Committee should use the standards outlined in Section 1716 to determine whether CURE needs the information to fully participate in the Genesis Proceedings.

Section 1716 governs the substance and process for a party to the proceeding to obtain information. While Genesis, Staff and CURE are all "parties", Section 1716 does not treat all "parties" equally. Section 1716 (a) allows Staff to obtain information that "is necessary for a complete staff assessment". Parties on the other hand are governed by Section 1716 (b) and (d) and are limited to only obtaining information that is "reasonably available" to the responding party, information that "cannot otherwise be obtained" by the requesting party and "is relevant to the proceeding" or "reasonably necessary to make any decision on the notice or application".

#### **RELEVANT QUESTIONS**

Using the language of Section 1716, Genesis believes that the relevant questions are:

- 1. Is the Confidential Cultural Resources Material necessary for Staff to complete its Staff Assessment?
- 2. Is the Confidential Cultural Resources Material available to CURE under Section 1716 because it is a party?
  - a. Is the Confidential Cultural Resources Material reasonably available to Staff?
  - b. Is the Confidential Cultural Resources Material information which cannot otherwise be obtained by CURE?
  - c. Is the Confidential Cultural Resources Material relevant to the proceeding?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Title 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 1207 authorizes the Presiding Member to grant Intervener Status to CURE, which occurred on \_\_\_\_\_\_; 20 CCR 1702 defines a "party" to include Interveners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 25000 et seq; Title 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 1001 et seq.

d. Is the Confidential Cultural Resources Material reasonably necessary to make any decision on the notice or application?

In order to answer these important questions it is important for the Committee to understand the nature of the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials that are the subject of the dispute. The information contained in these materials is detailed descriptions of field observations including specific locations where the materials will be found. The public policy behind keeping the information confidential under all of the laws intended to protect these resources is to prevent looting and damage to the resources. Therefore the detailed information and the locations must be kept confidential. An example of the type of information that would be included in the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials would be a description of observations of lithic fragments scattered across the ground surface including its exact location. Another example is detailed information describing, including location of, a known archaeological site that has undergone previous excavation and evaluation and the report was discovered by the Applicant Cultural Resource experts. What Staff has done for decades is review that information and describe the resource in a general way so that the public and all parties understand the potential significance of the resource without revealing how many flakes and composition is contained in the lithic scatter or the exact artifacts that may have been left in place at the known archaeological dig. And in no case does Staff include a map of where these resources are. However, they do identify whether the construction activities could potentially impact such resources and propose mitigation measures that may include avoidance, minimization or further study to evaluate the significance of the resource to determine whether and under what circumstances it might be recovered, treated and archived. Members of the public and parties do not need to know the detailed information to be fully informed of the potential impacts and evaluate the mitigation measures including proposing additional mitigation. This approach is consistent with every other CEQA document prepared in the State of California and more importantly consistent with Commission regulations and decades of past practices.

#### 1. Necessary for Staff to Complete its Staff Assessment

Genesis agrees with Staff that the information is necessary for Staff to describe the environmental baseline of the project and to evaluate and analyze the potential impacts and feasible mitigation measures related to Cultural Resource impacts. Staff has used similar information and has an excellent track record (decades) of describing the setting and environmental baseline of projects as it relates to Cultural Resources in a detailed enough way to allow an understanding of potential impacts and feasible mitigation measures without disclosing the detailed information that must be kept confidential. Staff needs access to the Confidential Cultural Resources Information in order to fulfill its regulatory obligations. Our understanding is that the sole reason Staff is being denied access by BLM is the Commission's recent determination to share the information with CURE. Therefore, the central issue is whether CURE as a party to an AFC Proceeding has a right and is entitled to the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials.

#### **CURE Is Not Entitled To The Information Under Section 1716** 2.

#### **Reasonably Available** a.

Genesis agrees that the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials is "available" to Staff since it has been docketed to them. However, it should be noted that while it is available to Staff, BLM has required the Staff to keep and use the information without disclosure to CURE pursuant to several federal non-disclosure directives<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, although the information is available to Staff, it belongs to BLM and therefore should be treated like the information is really in the hands of a third party. In past proceedings when CURE has requested information from Applicants and such information was controlled by third parties, the Commission has upheld objections by Applicants that the information was not "reasonably" available to them. <sup>4</sup> The Commission should treat this information the same way.

#### b. Otherwise Available to CURE

Genesis is unaware of whether CURE's expert has access to the previously recorded information or has the ability to apply for a permit to BLM for authorization to conduct its own pedestrian surveys. CURE has stated in workshops that it did hire a biologist who conducted biological surveys on the GSEP site and therefore it may be possible for CURE to employ a similar approach for Cultural Resources and hire a archaeologist, obtain a permit from BLM, and conduct its own Cultural Resource surveys of BLM land.

#### C. Relevant to the Proceeding

Genesis contends that the details contained in the Confidential Cultural Resources Information is relevant to the proceeding but is relevant only to Staff. While Staff is an independent "party" to the proceeding<sup>5</sup> it is charged with a responsibility to perform an independent safety assessment and review of environmental issues<sup>6</sup>. The regulations do not impose any regulatory burden on an intervener to perform any assessment. Therefore Staff and CURE are not equal parties and therefore what information may be relevant for Staff can and is different from what information may be relevant to CURE.

<sup>5</sup> 20 CCR 1712.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See BLM letters attached to the Notice of this Proceeding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See SunriseXXXXXXXXXXXXX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 20 CCR 1742,1742.5 and 1743

Additionally, Genesis contends that the Commission use the balancing test employed by courts when determining the admissibility of evidence that may be relevant but highly prejudicial. Evidence Code Section 352 while not binding on the Commission can provide instructive guidance. The probative value of the detailed information to CURE is clearly outweighed by the prejudicial value to the Commission and BLM. If the material is provided to CURE, BLM has contended that the Commission will be violating federal law. This prejudicial affect can be averted by not providing the detailed information to CURE and instead providing a redacted version. That redacted version is the Staff Assessment of the information. It is that redacted version that has been acceptable to all interveners for decades and Genesis urges the Committee to reject CURE's contention that the information is "relevant" to its testimony in order to fully participate.

#### d. Reasonably Necessary to Make A Decision

CURE's Opening Brief is riddled with unsupported statements that the information is needed for its full participation in the proceedings. The Commission should reject this contention. First, CURE has not requested the detailed information in the past and yet it has fully participated.

While CURE will argue that the GSEP and other solar projects are different because they involve large cultural resource sites, this argument is a distraction. The detailed information concerning location and specific observations has not been needed by CURE for several tens of miles of pipelines, several miles of transmission lines and other lengthy linear facilities crossing BLM land in the past. It is simply not persuasive to believe that there is some fundamental threshold of the amount of cultural resource observations that now require independent review by CURE of the detailed information.

In fact, CURE cites in its Opening Brief that the GSPE will affect a Traditional Cultural Property (TCP). CURE's entire contention that the GSEP would affect that TCP is based on the general information described in the SA/DEIS by Staff without review of the Confidential Cultural Resources Material. Staff described the TCP in a general way that identified that the resource was not within the project footprint, included rock art or glyphs, and that was close enough to a linear facility that the potential impact may be increased public access and potential vandalism. Staff further elaborates on potential mitigation to be considered<sup>7</sup>. This information is sufficient for CURE to opine without CURE reviewing the detailed information about the size, specific description, or exact GPS coordinates.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See GSEP SA/DEIS Pages C.3-21

Staff treats other resources in a similar fashion describing specific impacts to resources with enough detail to enable careful consideration of mitigation without jeopardizing the locational or specific information that could enable looting. The detailed information contained in the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials is simply not necessary for CURE to provide an opinion to persuade the Commission to make a decision regarding mitigation or ultimately on the GSEP.

CURE also supports its contention that it needs the information by claiming it should have the same rights to Confidential Cultural Resources Materials as Native American Tribes. This contention should also be rejected on its face. CURE is merely a party to the proceeding whereby the Native American Tribes are recognized as governmental entities with specific interest in the cultural resources and as such are treated as a government under the federal laws cited by BLM in its letters to the Commission.

#### CONCLUSION

Ultimately, the Commission and BLM must come to some agreement regarding whether CURE can even inspect the Confidential Cultural Resources Materials. However, for the reasons discussed above, we urge the Committee to reject CURE's contention that the information is needed for them to fully participate in the GSEP Proceedings. If the Commission finds otherwise, CURE will use that decision to unnecessarily delay the GSEP and all other proceedings. Genesis hopes that the Commission will enter into an agreement with BLM which would allow the Confidential Cultural Resources Information to be shared with the Staff while denying access to all third parties, whether a recognized AFC Siting Case Intervener or not. If BLM determines the information can and should be shared with others such as Native Americans, the Commission should defer to BLM in the administration and interpretation of federal law.

Further, we request that the Commission issue a specific order to CURE to refrain from delay tactics such as those employed in the GSEP and other Proceedings.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: June 4, 2010

Scott A Galati

/original signed/

Scott A Galati Counsel to Genesis Solar, LLC



## BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION For the CALICO SOLAR (Formerly SES Solar One)

Docket No. 08-AFC-13

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### APPLICANT

Felicia Bellows, Vice President of Development Tessera Solar 4800 North Scottsdale Road, Ste. 5500 Scottsdale, AZ 85251 felicia.bellows@tesserasolar.com

Camille Champion
Project Manager
Tessera Solar
4800 North Scottsdale Road,
Suite 5500
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
camille.champion@tesserasolar.com

#### **CONSULTANT**

Angela Leiba
AFC Project Manager
URS Corporation
1615 Murray Canyon Rd., #1000
San Diego, CA 92108
Angela\_Leiba@URSCorp.com

#### APPLICANT'S COUNSEL

Allan J. Thompson Attorney at Law 21 C Orinda Way #314 Orinda, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net

Ella Foley Gannon, Partner Bingham McCutchen, LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111 ella.gannon@bingham.com

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com.

Jim Stobaugh
BLM – Nevada State Office
P.O. Box 12000
Reno, NV 89520
jim\_stobaugh@blm.gov.

Rich Rotte, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management Barstow Field Office 2601 Barstow Road Barstow, CA 92311 Richard Rotte@blm.gov.

Becky Jones California Department of Fish & Game 36431 41st Street East Palmdale, CA 93552 dfgpalm@adelphia.net

#### **INTERVENORS**

\* County of San Bernardino Ruth E. Stringer, County Counsel Bart W. Brizzee, Deputy County Counsel 385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415-0140 bbrizzee@cc.sbcounty.gov

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) c/o: Loulena A. Miles, Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Ste. 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 Imiles@adamsbroadwell.com

Defenders of Wildlife
Joshua Basofin
1303 J Street, Suite 270
Sacramento, California 95814
e-mail service preferred
jbasofin@defenders.org

Society for the Conservation of Bighorn Sheep Bob Burke & Gary Thomas P.O. Box 1407 Yermo, CA 92398 cameracoordinator@sheepsociety.com

Basin and Range Watch Laura Cunningham & Kevin Emmerich P.O. Box 70 Beatty, NV 89003 atomictoadranch@netzero.net

(Revised 5/28/10)

Patrick C. Jackson 600 N. Darwood Avenue San Dimas, CA 91773 e-mail service preferred ochsjack@earthlink.net

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

ANTHONY EGGERT
Commissioner and Presiding Member
aeggert@energy.state.ca.us

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Associate Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us.

Paul Kramer Hearing Officer pkramer@energy.state.ca.us.

Kristy Chew, Adviser to Commissioner Byron e-mail service preferred kchew@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes Staff Counsel <a href="mailto:cholmes@energy.state.ca.us">cholmes@energy.state.ca.us</a>.

Steve Adams Co-Staff Counsel sadams@energy.state.ca.us

Christopher Meyer Project Manager cmeyer@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings Public Adviser publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us



# BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE GENESIS SOLAR ENERGYPROJECT

#### Docket No. 09-AFC-8

### PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 5/20/10)

#### **APPLICANT**

Ryan O'Keefe, Vice President Genesis Solar LLC 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408 E-mail service preferred Ryan.okeefe@nexteraenergy.com

Scott Busa/Project Director Meg Russel/Project Manager Duane McCloud/Lead Engineer NextEra Energy 700 Universe Boulvard Juno Beach, FL 33408 Scott.Busa@nexteraenergy.com Meg.Russell@nexteraenergy.com Duane.mccloud@nexteraenergy.com E-mail service preferred Matt Handel/Vice President Matt.Handel@nexteraenergy.com Email service preferred Kenny Stein, **Environmental Services Manager** Kenneth.Stein@nexteraenergy.com

Mike Pappalardo
Permitting Manager
3368 Videra Drive
Eugene, OR 97405
mike.pappalardo@nexteraenergy.com

Kerry Hattevik/Director West Region Regulatory Affairs 829 Arlington Boulevard El Cerrito, CA 94530 Kerry.Hattevik@nexteraenergy.com

#### **APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS**

Tricia Bernhardt/Project Manager Tetra Tech, EC 143 Union Boulevard, Ste 1010 Lakewood, CO 80228 Tricia.bernhardt@tteci.com James Kimura, Project Engineer Worley Parsons 2330 East Bidwell Street, Ste.150 Folsom, CA 95630 James.Kimura@WorleyParsons.com

#### **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Scott Galati Galati & Blek, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Ste. 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California-ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

Allison Shaffer, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management Palm Springs South Coast Field Office 1201 Bird Center Drive Palm Springs, CA 92262 Allison Shaffer@blm.gov

#### **INTERVENORS**

California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o: Tanya A. Gulesserian,
Rachael E. Koss,
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joesph
& Cardoza
601 Gateway Boulevard,
Ste 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
rkoss@adamsbroadwell.com

\*Tom Budlong 3216 Mandeville Cyn Rd. Los Angeles, CA 90049-1016 tombudlong@roadrunner.com Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. (CARE) Michael E. Boyd, President 5439 Soquel Drive Soquel, CA 95073-2659 michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net

#### OTHER

Alfredo Figueroa 424 North Carlton Blythe, CA 92225 lacunadeaztlan@aol.com

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

JAMES D. BOYD Commissioner and Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

ROBERT WEISENMILLER Commissioner and Associate Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

Kenneth Celli Hearing Officer kcelli@energy.state.ca.us

Mike Monasmith
Siting Project Manager
mmonasmi@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes
Staff Counsel
<a href="mailto:cholmes@energy.state.ca.us">cholmes@energy.state.ca.us</a>

Robin Mayer Staff Counsel rmayer@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us



## BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 - WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

## Application for Certification for the Imperial Valley Solar Project

(formerly known as SES Solar Two Project)

IMPERIAL VALLEY SOLAR, LLC

Docket No. 08-AFC-5 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 5/10/10)

#### **APPLICANT**

Richard Knox
Project Manager
SES Solar Two, LLC
4800 N Scottsdale Road.,
Suite 5500
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
richard.knox@tesserasolar.com

#### **CONSULTANT**

Angela Leiba, Sr. Project Manager URS Corporation 1615 Murray Canyon Rd., Suite 1000 San Diego, CA 92108 Angela Leiba@urscorp.com

#### **APPLICANT'S COUNSEL**

Allan J. Thompson Attorney at Law 21 C Orinda Way #314 Orinda, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net

Ella Foley Gannon, Partner Bingham McCutchen, LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111 ella.gannon@bingham.com

### INTERESTED AGENCIES California ISO

e-recipient@caiso.com

Daniel Steward, Project Lead BLM – El Centro Office 1661 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street El Centro, CA 92243 daniel\_steward@ca.blm.gov Jim Stobaugh,
Project Manager &
National Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
BLM Nevada State Office
P.O. Box 12000
Reno, NV 89520-0006
jim stobaugh@blm.gov

#### **INTERVENORS**

California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o Tanya A. Gulesserian
Loulena Miles, Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph &
Cardozo
601 Gateway Blvd., Ste. 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
Imiles@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Budlong 3216 Mandeville Canyon Road Los Angeles, CA 90049-1016 TomBudlong@RoadRunner.com

Hossein Alimamaghani 4716 White Oak Place Encino, CA 91316 almamaghani@aol.com

\*California Native Plant Society Tom Beltran P.O. Box 501671 San Diego, CA 92150 cnpssd@nyms.net California Native Plant Society Greg Suba & Tara Hansen 2707 K Street, Suite 1 Sacramento, CA 5816-5113 gsuba@cnps.org

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Presiding Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

ANTHONY EGGERT Commissioner and Associate Member aeggert@energy.state.ca.us

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Kristy Chew, Adviser to Commissioner Byron e-mail service preferred kchew@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes, Staff Counsel Christine Hammond, Co-Staff Counsel cholmes@energy.state.ca.us chammond@energy.state.ca.us

Christopher Meyer
Project Manager
cmeyer@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings Public Adviser publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us



# BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE BLYTHE SOLAR
POWER PLANT PROJECT

Docket No. 09-AFC-6

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 5/3/10)

#### **APPLICANT**

Alice Harron
Senior Director of Project
Development
1625 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 270
Berkeley, CA 94709-1161
harron@solarmillennium.com

Elizabeth Ingram, Associate Developer, Solar Millennium, LLC 1625 Shattuck Avenue Berkeley, CA 94709 ingram@solarmillennium.com

Carl Lindner
AECOM Project Manager
1220 Avenida Acaso
Camarillo, CA 93012
carl.lindner@aecom.com

Ram Ambatipudi Chevron Energy Solutions 150 E. Colorado Blvd., Ste. 360 Pasadena, CA 91105 rambatipudi@chevron.com

#### Co-COUNSEL

Scott Galati, Esq.
Galati/Blek, LLP
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95814
sqalati@qb-llp.com

#### Co-COUNSEL

Peter Weiner
Matthew Sanders
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky &
Walker LLP
55 2nd Street, Suite 2400-3441
San Francisco, CA 94105
peterweiner@paulhastings.com
matthewsanders@paulhastings.com

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

Calfornia ISO <u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

Holly L. Roberts, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office 1201 Bird Center Drive Palm Springs, CA 92262 Office CAPSSolarBlythe@blm.gov

#### **INTERVENORS**

\* California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o: Tany A. Gulesserian,
Elizabeth Klebaner
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph &
Cardozo
601 Gate Way Boulevard,
Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
eklebaner@adamsbroadwell.com

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

KAREN DOUGLAS
Chairman and Presiding Member kldougla@energy.state.ca.us

ROBERT WEISENMILLER Commissioner and Associate Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Alan Solomon
Siting Project Manager
asolomon@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa DeCarlo Staff Counsel Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us



# BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE PALEN SOLAR POWER PLANT PROJECT

#### Docket No. 09-AFC-7

## PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 4/19/10)

#### **APPLICANT**

Alice Harron
Senior Director of Project
Development
1625 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 270
Berkeley, CA 94709-1161
harron@solarmillenium.com

Elizabeth Ingram, Associate Developer, Solar Millennium, LLC 1625 Shattuck Avenue Berkeley, CA 94709 ingram@solarmillennium.com.

Arrie Bachrach AECOM Project Manager 1220 Avenida Acaso Camarillo, CA 93012 arrie.bachrach@aecom.com

Ram Ambatipudi Chevron Energy Solutions 150 E. Colorado Blvd., Ste. 360 Pasadena, CA 91105 rambatipudi@chevron.com.

#### Co-COUNSEL

Scott Galati, Esq. Galati/Blek, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com

#### Co-COUNSEL

Peter Weiner, Matthew Sanders
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky &
Walker LLP
55 2nd Street, Suite 2400-3441
San Francisco, CA 94105
peterweiner@paulhastings.com
matthewsanders@paulhastings.com

**INTERVENORS** 

California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o Tanya A. Gulesserian,
Marc D. Joseph
\*Jason W. Holder
Adams Broadwell Joseph &
Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard,
Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
jholder@adamsbroadwell.com\*

Michael E. Boyd, President Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. 5439 Soquel Drive Soquel, CA 95073-2659 michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net

Alfredo Figueroa Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. 424 North Carlton Blythe, CA 92225 lacunadeaztlan@aol.com

\*Basin and Range Watch Kevin Emmerich Laura Cunningham P.O. Box 153 Baker, CA 92309 atomictoadranch@netzero.net

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO <u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u> Holly L. Roberts, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office 1201 Bird Center Drive Palm Springs, CA 92262 CAPSSolarBlythe@blm.gov

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

ROBERT WIESENMILLER Commissioner and Presiding Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

KAREN DOUGLAS
Chairman and Associate Member
kldougla@energy.state.ca.us.

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Alan Solomon
Siting Project Manager
asolomon@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa DeCarlo Staff Counsel Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us



## BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 - www.energy.ca.gov

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION For the RIDGECREST SOLAR POWER PROJECT

#### APPLICANT

Billy Owens
Director, Project Development
Solar Millenium
1625 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 270
Berkeley, CA 94709-1161
owens@solarmillennium.com

Alice Harron Senior Director, Project Development 1625 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 270 Berkeley, CA 94709-1161 harron@solarmillennium.com

Elizabeth Copley
AECOM Project Manager
2101 Webster Street, Suite 1900
Oakland, CA 94612
elizabeth.copley@aecom.com

Scott Galati Galati/Blek, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com

Peter Weiner
Matthew Sanders
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker
LLP
55 2nd Street, Suite 2400-3441
San Francisco, CA 94105
peterweiner@paulhastings.com
matthewsanders@paulhastings.com

#### **INTERVENORS**

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)
Tanya A. Gulesserian
Elizabeth Klebaner
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph &
Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
eklebaner@adamsbroadwell.com

Desert Tortoise Council Sidney Silliman 1225 Adriana Way Upland, CA 91784 ssilliman@csupomona.edu

Basin and Range Watch Laura Cunningham & Kevin Emmerich P.O. Box 70 Beatty, NV 89003 bluerockiguana@hughes.net

Western Watersheds Project Michael J. Connor, Ph.D. California Director P.O. Box 2364 Reseda, CA 91337-2364 mjconnor@westernwatersheds.org

\*Kerncrest Audubon Society Terri Middlemiss & Dan Burnett P.O. Box 984 Ridgecrest, CA 93556 <u>catbird4@earthlink.net</u> <u>imdanburnett@verizon.net</u> Docket No. 09-AFC-9

## PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 5/12/2010)

\*Center for Biological Diversity lleene Anderson Public Lands Desert Director PMB 447, 8033 Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90046 ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org

\*Center for Biological Diversity Lisa T. Belenky, Senior Attorney 351 California Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 |belenky@biologicaldiversity.org

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO *E-mail Preferred*<u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

Janet Eubanks, Project Manager, U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management California Desert District 22835 Calle San Juan de los Lagos Moreno Valley, California 92553 Janet Eubanks@ca.blm.gov

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION**

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair and Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

ANTHONY EGGERT
Commissioner and Associate Member
aeggert@energy.state.ca.us

Kourtney Vaccaro Hearing Officer kvaccaro@energy.state,ca.us Eric Solorio Project Manager <u>esolorio@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Tim Olson Advisor to Commissioner Boyd tolson@energy.state.ca.us

Jared Babula Staff Counsel <u>ibabula@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Jennifer Jennings Public Adviser <u>publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us</u>

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, Marie Mills, declare that on June 4, 2010, I served and filed copies of the attached **GENESIS SOLAR, LLC REPLY BRIEF**, dated **June 4**, **2010**. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service lists, located on the following web pages for this project at:

[www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solarone.com]
[http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/genesis\_solar]
[http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solartwo/index.html]
[http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solar\_millennium\_blythe]
http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solar\_millennium\_palen/index.html
[http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solar\_millennium\_ridgecrest]

The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

	FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:
_X	sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
_X	by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail at with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses <b>NOT</b> marked "email preferred."
AND	FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:
_X	sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below ( <i>preferred method</i> );
OR ——	depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:
	CALIEODNIA ENEDOV COMMISSION

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket Nos. **08-AFC-13**, **09-AFC-8**, **08-AFC-5**, **09-AFC-6**, **09-AFC-7**, **09-AFC-9** & **10-CRD-1**1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

	Gani Gills
·	Marie Mills