DOCKET 07-AFC-5DATE MAR 16 2010

RECD. MAR 22 2010

California Native Plant Society 2707 K Street, Suite 1 Sacramento CA, 95816

STATE OF CALIFORNIA State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of:	
The Application for Certification)	DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-5
for the Ivanpah Solar Electric)	
Generating System)	

INTERVENOR CALIFORNIA NATIVE PLANT SOCIETY

Supplemental Testimony of California Native Plant Society Docket 07-AFC-5

March 16, 2010

Greg Suba, Tara Hansen, Jim André California Native Plant Society 2707 K Street, Suite 1 Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: 916-447-2677 x 206 gsuba@cnps.org thansen@cnps.org granites@telis.org

CNPS Supplemental Testimony in response to Mitigated Ivanpah 3 proposal

The applicant proposes that the Mitigated Ivanpah 3 (MI3) proposal, in combination with management measures outlined in their previously submitted ISEGS Special-Status Plant Avoidance and Protection Plan (Exhibit 81, referenced multiple times within the MI3 proposal), will reduce project impacts to native rare plants to less than CEQA significant. The applicant claims that the removal of approximately 433 acres from the northern boundary of Ivanpah powerblock 3 (Block 3) from the project area, where higher densities of rare plant taxa exist will reduce overall project impacts to rare plants. The applicant claims additional rare plant avoidance will occur as a result of management measures outlined in their previously submitted ISEGS Special-Status Plant Avoidance and Protection Plan (Exhibit 81), which focuses on maintaining localities of rare plants identified during Spring 2007 and 2008 surveys within heliostat fields during construction and operational phases of the project.

The California Native Plant Society (CNPS) maintains that neither approach (MI3 or Exhibit 81) nor both approaches in combination reduces impacts to native rare plants to a level of CEQA insignificance, nor represents measures that will "support healthy, self-sustaining populations of the avoided rare plants with local distributions similar to pre-project conditions" (Exhibit 81, p. 2-3), for the following reasons:

- 1. The types of direct and indirect impacts to on-site plant populations that will occur as a result of this project are known to increase mortality, decrease both size and fitness, decrease evolutionary functions, and increase the probability of extinction of impacted populations both in the short and long terms. Breaking the intact nature of pre-project plant population conditions into separate islands of locations, or islands of plant occurrences is known as fragmentation. Extensive scientific literature on the detrimental effects of fragmentation on plant and animal populations exists (e.g., CNPS Exhibit 1007). The applicant has provided no scientific foundation for their claims that measures in Exhibit 81 will support self-sustaining populations of rare plants within heliostat fields, nor for how these measures represent anything resembling impact "avoidance", particularly with respect to the following types of impacts:
- altered hydrology resulting from 2.5 gallons of water dripping within every 8-foot heliostat shadow every 2 weeks,
- altered soil nutrient chemistry resulting from changes in nutrient uptake by mowed vegetation and by increased nitrogen soil inputs from composted, mulched cuttings
- altered light regime from shading of heliostats
- introduction of invasive species
- altered hydrology of landscape runoff

On what basis should we conclude that measures described in Exhibit 81 provide "avoidance" of these impacts to a level of insignificance to on-site rare plant populations?

2. Removing the northern portion of Block 3 from the project as described in MI3 does represent localized avoidance of rare plants occurring in that region as identified during Spring 2007 and 2008 surveys. Nevertheless, localized avoidance in the Block 3 area provides no reduction to project impacts to on-site Mojave Milkweed (*Asclepias nyctaginafolia*) occurrences. Mojave Milkweed is a CNPS List 2 species that meets the definition of rare under CEQA and requires project impacts to be mitigated to a less than significant level. Mojave Milkweed is also ranked by the State Heritage as an S1.3 taxa. S1 species are considered Critically Imperiled within California.

What's more, removing the northern acreage from Block 3 does not represent avoidance of, or provide mitigation for the landscape-scale impacts the project will have on functional integrity of the Ivanpah Valley ecosystem. The ability of a population of plants to grow from seeds, propagules (e.g., cuttings), underground root systems, etc., and to produce more seeds that have the opportunity to establish themselves in a variety of habitat conditions over time, are examples of evolutionary processes essential to the long-term viability plant populations. The proposed MI3

plan will not result in the avoidance of impacts to the types of essential evolutionary processes described above when considering the scale of impacts from Block 1, Block 2, and Block 3 (original or reduced size) together. The nature of the evolutionary processes described above are alluded to in Exhibit 81 as a "biological contraint to rare plant avoidance" where, " [d]ue to seed dispersal, or germination within the pre-existing seed bank, rare plants that are annuals or short-lived perennials may occur later, outside previously established avoidance areas," (Exhibit 81, p. 2-4). Underlining the point that avoiding the northern clusters of rare plants, though potentially beneficial to those identified plant localities in the short-term, will not reduce the project's rare plant impacts at the landscape-scale to less than significant and does not represent mitigation for impacts to rare plants on site. This is particularly significant to those taxa that are underrepresented within the northern acreage of Block 3 (e.g., Mojave Milkweed).

As we have stated previously, the ISEGS project would be precedent setting as the first of several large proposed utility scale renewable energy projects of similar size (several thousand acres) to be constructed and operated within both the Ivanpah Valley and the greater California Desert Conservation Area. Impacts to biological resources associated with the proposed project, and related mitigation requirements would also be precedent setting for projects of this scale. The proposed Mitigated Ivanpah 3 plan, taken together with the Exhibit 81 plan or by itself, does not improve the project impacts to on-site rare plant populations to a less than significant level.



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE IVANPAH SOLAR ELECTRIC
GENERATING SYSTEM

DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-5 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 3/11/10)

APPLICANT.

Solar Partners, LLC John Woolard, Chief Executive Officer 1999 Harrison Street, Suite #500 Oakland, CA 94612

Todd A. Stewart, Project Manager Ivanpah SEGS sdeyoung@brightsourceenergy.com

E-mail Preferred

Steve De Young, Project Manager Ivanpah SEGS.
1999 Harrison Street, Ste. 2150
Oakland, CA 94612
tstewart@brightsourceenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

John L. Carrier, J. D. 2485 Natomas Park Dr. #600 Sacramento, CA 95833-2937 jcarrier@ch2m.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jeffery D. Harris Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P. 2600 Capitol Avenue, Ste. 400 Sacramento, CA 95816-5905 jdh@eslawfirm.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

Tom Hurshman,
Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
2465 South Townsend Ave.
Montrose, CO 81401
tom hurshman@blm.gov

Raymond C. Lee, Field Manager Bureau of Land Management 1303 South U.S. Highway 95 Needles, CA 92363 Raymond Lee@ca.blm.gov

Becky Jones
California Department of
Fish & Game
36431 41st Street East
Palmdale, CA 93552
dfgpalm@adelphia.net

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy ("CURE") c/o: Tanya A. Gulesserian
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Ste 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

Western Watersheds Project Michael J. Connor, Ph.D. P.O. Box 2364 Reseda, CA 91337-2364 mjconnor@westernwatersheds.org

Gloria Smith, Joanne Spalding Sidney Silliman, Devorah Ancel Sierra Club 85 Second Street, 2nd Fl. San Francisco, CA 94105 E-mail Service Preferred gloria.smith@sierraclub.org joanne.spalding@sierraclub.org gssilliman@csupomona.edu devorah.ancel@sierraclub.org

INTERVENORS CONT.

Joshua Basofin, CA Rep. Defenders of Wildlife 1303 J Street, Ste. 270 Sacramento, CA 95814 E-mail Service Preferred

jbasofin@defenders.org

Basin and Range Watch Laura Cunningham Kevin Emmerich P.O. Box 70 Beatty, NV 89003 atomictoadranch@netzero.net

Center for Biological Diversity
Lisa T. Belenky, Sr. Attorney
Ileene Anderson, Public Lands Desert Director
351 California Street, Ste. 600
San Francisco, CA 94104
E-mail Service Preferred
Ibelenky@biologicaldiversity.org
ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org

California Native Plant Society
Greg Suba, Tara Hansen & Jim Andre
2707 K Street, Suite 1
Sacramento, California, 95816-5113
E-mail Service Preferred
gsuba@cnps.org
thansen@cnps.org
granites@telis.org

County of San Bernardino
Bart W. Brizzee, Deputy Co. Counsel
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4th Fl.
San Bernardino, California, 92415
bbrizzee@cc.sbcounty.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Commissioner and Presiding Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chairman and Associate Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer
Hearing Officer
pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

John Kessler Project Manager jkessler@energy.state.ca.us

Dick Ratliff
Staff Counsel
dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

	CNPS
G	ireg Suba Supplemental Supplemental
Proof	, declare that on March 16, 2010, I served and filed copies of the attached, Testimony dated, 5, 2010. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent of Service list, located on the web page for this project at:
[www	v.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/ivanpah].
	locuments have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:
(Ched	ck all that Apply)
	FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:
X	sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
	by personal delivery;
X	by delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred."
AND	
	FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:
X	sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (<i>preferred method</i>);
OR	
	depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:
	CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. <u>07-AFC-5</u> 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
	13 10 INIIIII 301881, IVI3-4

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

Electronically signed by Greg Suba