

## BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 - WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

**DOCKET** 00-AFC-1C

DATE

FEB 17 2010

**DOCKET NO. 00-AFC-1C** RECD. FEB 22 2010

**ORDER No. 10-0217-02** 

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT AGAINST **GATEWAY GENERATING STATION** 

BROUGHT BY ACORN, LOCAL CLEAN ENERGY, ALLIANCE, CARE, ET AL.

## COMMISSION ADOPTION ORDER

This Commission Order adopts the Proposed Decision of the Siting Committee on the Gateway Generating Station Complaint for noncompliance. The Proposed Decision is based upon the evidentiary record of these proceedings and considers the comments received at the February 17, 2010 business meeting. The text of the attached Proposed Decision contains a summary of the proceedings, the evidence presented, and the rationale for the findings reached and fines imposed.

## **FINDINGS**

The Commission hereby adopts the following findings in addition to those contained in the accompanying text:

- 1. The Complaint filed by Californians for Renewable Energy ("CARE"), Bob Sarvey, and Rob Simpson, is dismissed based upon a determination of the insufficiency of the complaint and a determination of lack of merit.
- The Gateway Generating Station (GGS) was constructed with a preheater 2. different from the preheater approved in the Decision.
- 3. GGS was constructed with a diesel engine instead of the electric engine approved in the Decision.
- 4. The PSD permit is a federal requirement, so it is for the appropriate federal authorities, not the Energy Commission, to determine whether GGS was constructed or operated in violation of PSD requirements.
- 5. There is no substantive harm from the unapproved substitution of the preheater, because the new preheater has fewer emissions than the preheater approved in the Decision.

- 6. The substitution of the diesel engine for the approved electrical engine appears to have been made in good faith; that is, the diesel engine was installed in order to meet the directives of the local fire marshal. Moreover, although the diesel engine will probably cause greater adverse environmental impacts than the electric engine would have, the difference is not significant.
- 7. A fine of \$10,000 is appropriate.

## **O**RDER

Therefore, the Commission **Orders** the following:

PG&E is ordered to pay \$10,000.00 to the Commission within 30 days of the date of this Order.

Dated: February 17, 2010, at Sacramento, California.

KAREN DOUGLAS Chairman

JEFFREY D. BYRON

Commissioner

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair

ANTHONY EGGERT

Commissioner

ROBERT WEISENMILLER

Commissioner