


2009 IEPR Workshop California Energy Demand 2010-2020 Staff Revised Forecast

## Statewide Forecast Results for Electricity and Natural Gas

September 21, 2009

Chris Kavalec Demand Analysis Office Electricity Supply Analysis Division



Chris.Kavalec@energy.state.ca.us 916-654-5184



# Staff Revised CED Forecast

- http://www.energy.ca.gov/2009\_energypolicy/ documents/index.html#092109
- Agenda
  - Statewide results for electricity and natural gas
  - Conservation/Efficiency, self-generation
  - Results and forecast comparisons for 5 major planning areas
  - Uncommitted forecast



# **Summary of Results**

- Reduced electricity consumption vs. previous forecast (for 2007 IEPR)
  - Economy
  - Increased efficiency impacts
  - Higher electricity rates
- Drop in peak electricity demand not as dramatic
- Forecast up relative to CED 2009 Draft



# **Demand Forecast Methodology**

### 8 Planning Areas for Electricity

- Burbank/Glendale
- Imperial Irrigation District
- LA Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
- Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E)
- Pasadena
- Southern California Edison (SCE)
- San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E)
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)



# **Demand Forecast Methodology**

Individual sector models for:

- Residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Agricultural
- Transportation, communications, and utilities (TCU) and street lighting



# **Changes in Demand Forecast**

- Residential lighting broken out as separate end use
- Increased effort to capture the impacts of utility efficiency programs, including POUs
- Economic/demographic scenarios
- Slightly increasing electricity rates (15% by 2020); flat rates in 2007 forecast




# **Reduced Economic Growth**

- Projected real personal income down 2.6% statewide relative to 2007 forecast by 2018
- Projected total commercial floor space down 2.4% statewide relative to 2007 forecast by 2018
- However, key economic indicators up relative to CED 2009 Draft forecast




### Statewide Personal Income Grows at CED 2007 Levels after 2013




8

### Statewide Commercial Floor Space up to CED 2007 Levels by 2020






### Statewide Electricity Consumption Short-term Drop, Slightly Lower Long-term Growth vs. CED 2007






### Electricity Consumption per Capita Less Decline than in 2009 Draft Forecast






#### **Statewide Electricity Peak** Grows at higher rate than consumption





### **Peak Electricity per Capita** Begins to rise at end of forecast period






# **Statewide Electricity Forecast**

- Consumption down by 6% by 2018 vs. CED 2007
- Peak down by 4.5% in 2018
- Growth rates 2010-2018: consumption 1.1% vs. 1.2% for CED 2007; peak 1.25 vs. 1.3% for CED 2007
- Economy responsible for most of the difference




# Causes of Reduced Consumption in 2010: CED 2009 Revised vs. CED 2007






# Causes of Reduced Consumption in 2018: CED 2009 Revised vs. CED 2007






# Statewide Electricity Consumption by Sector

#### Most of the reduction in residential and commercial





### **Statewide Electricity Peak by Sector** Most of the reduction in residential and commercial





# **Statewide Electricity by Sector**

- Residential consumption down by 9.3% in 2018 vs. CED 2007
- Residential peak down 4.8% in 2018
- Commercial consumption down by 7.1% in 2018
- Commercial peak down by 5.7% in 2018




# **Economic Scenario Analysis**

- Staff examined the impacts of two alternative economic scenarios
  - Global Insight optimistic case
  - Economy.com "aborted recovery" pessimistic case
- Scenarios differ based on assumed impact of stimulus package, projected business investment, projected consumer demand, etc.
- Scenarios provide California-specific projections




#### CA Total Employment by Scenario 4% Higher/Lower vs. Base in 2020





#### CA Personal Income by Scenario 5% higher/8% lower vs. base in 2020






## **Economic Scenario Methodology**

- Econometric models estimated for electricity consumption by planning area for the three major sectors
  - Residential
  - Commercial
  - Industrial
- Forecast results match closely with CED 2009 Revised



### CED 2009 Revised Elec. Consumption vs. Econometric Base Forecast Less than 0.1% difference in 2020






## **Economic Scenarios**

- Econometric models run for the "base case" and two alternative scenarios
- Base case means same econ/demo inputs as
  *CED 2009 Revised*
- Percentage difference in alternative scenarios vs. base case applied to CED 2009 Revised
- Peak demand estimated by applying CED 2009 Revised load factors by planning area and sector to consumption results



# Statewide Electricity Consumption by Economic Scenario


#### ~ 2 percent higher or lower by 2020





# Statewide Peak Demand by Economic Scenario

#### Slightly more change than in consumption





# **Economic Scenario Results**

- Annual electricity consumption growth 2010-2020 increases to 1.2% in optimistic case, decreases to 0.9% in pessimistic
- Peak growth 2010-2020 increases to 1.4% in optimistic case, decreases to 1.1% in pessimistic
- Largest change by sector: industrial for optimistic, residential for pessimistic
- Narrow spread of scenarios




# **End-User Natural Gas Forecast**

- By planning area: PG&E, SCG, SDG&E, and other
- Does not include natural gas used by utilities or others for electric generation
- Assumes mid-rate natural gas prices from draft forecast



### End-User Natural Gas Forecast Lower starting point, higher growth





# **Additional Analysis**

- Model Performance
  - Backcasts vs. Actual History
  - Forecasts vs. Subsequent Consumption
- Climate Change Impact on Peak Demand
  - High and Low Temperature Change Scenarios
  - 1.5%-2.2% Increase in Peak by 2020 in High Scenario
  - 0.4%-1% Increase in Peak by 2020 in Low Scenario



## **Preliminary Electric Vehicle Forecast**

- Calcars Model
  - Vehicle choice/quantity model
  - Choices among conventional gasoline, hybrid, diesel, natural gas, ethanol, dedicated electric, plug-in hybrid
  - Choice based on vehicle and HH characteristics
  - Estimates VMT and fuel use by vehicle type
- Critical input: projected vehicle characteristics
- Two scenarios: high gasoline price, low alternative fuel price, and vice versa




## **Preliminary Electric Vehicle Forecast**

- By 2020, 12 classes of plug-in hybrids (PHEVs), 11 classes of dedicated EVs
- Electricity costs: 13 cents/kWh, 6 cents/kWh
- Average EV purchase price ~ \$6,000 higher than gasoline
- Average range for dedicated EVs = 85 miles; average efficiency ~ 2 miles/kWh
- PHEVs on average 60% more fuel efficient than gasoline (44 mpg)



### Projected Number of Electric Vehicles on the Road Majority are plug-in hybrid



### Projected EV Electricity Consumption PHEVs assumed to operate 50% on electric

