


2009 IEPR Workshop California Energy Demand 2010-2020 Staff Revised Forecast

Statewide Forecast Results for Electricity and Natural Gas

September 21, 2009

Chris Kavalec Demand Analysis Office Electricity Supply Analysis Division



Chris.Kavalec@energy.state.ca.us 916-654-5184



Staff Revised CED Forecast

- http://www.energy.ca.gov/2009_energypolicy/ documents/index.html#092109
- Agenda
 - Statewide results for electricity and natural gas
 - Conservation/Efficiency, self-generation
 - Results and forecast comparisons for 5 major planning areas
 - Uncommitted forecast



Summary of Results

- Reduced electricity consumption vs. previous forecast (for 2007 IEPR)
 - Economy
 - Increased efficiency impacts
 - Higher electricity rates
- Drop in peak electricity demand not as dramatic
- Forecast up relative to CED 2009 Draft



Demand Forecast Methodology

8 Planning Areas for Electricity

- Burbank/Glendale
- Imperial Irrigation District
- LA Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
- Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E)
- Pasadena
- Southern California Edison (SCE)
- San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E)
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)



Demand Forecast Methodology

Individual sector models for:

- Residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Agricultural
- Transportation, communications, and utilities (TCU) and street lighting



Changes in Demand Forecast

- Residential lighting broken out as separate end use
- Increased effort to capture the impacts of utility efficiency programs, including POUs
- Economic/demographic scenarios
- Slightly increasing electricity rates (15% by 2020); flat rates in 2007 forecast




Reduced Economic Growth

- Projected real personal income down 2.6% statewide relative to 2007 forecast by 2018
- Projected total commercial floor space down 2.4% statewide relative to 2007 forecast by 2018
- However, key economic indicators up relative to CED 2009 Draft forecast




Statewide Personal Income Grows at CED 2007 Levels after 2013




8

Statewide Commercial Floor Space up to CED 2007 Levels by 2020






Statewide Electricity Consumption Short-term Drop, Slightly Lower Long-term Growth vs. CED 2007






Electricity Consumption per Capita Less Decline than in 2009 Draft Forecast






Statewide Electricity Peak Grows at higher rate than consumption





Peak Electricity per Capita Begins to rise at end of forecast period






Statewide Electricity Forecast

- Consumption down by 6% by 2018 vs. CED 2007
- Peak down by 4.5% in 2018
- Growth rates 2010-2018: consumption 1.1% vs. 1.2% for CED 2007; peak 1.25 vs. 1.3% for CED 2007
- Economy responsible for most of the difference




Causes of Reduced Consumption in 2010: CED 2009 Revised vs. CED 2007






Causes of Reduced Consumption in 2018: CED 2009 Revised vs. CED 2007






Statewide Electricity Consumption by Sector

Most of the reduction in residential and commercial





Statewide Electricity Peak by Sector Most of the reduction in residential and commercial





Statewide Electricity by Sector

- Residential consumption down by 9.3% in 2018 vs. CED 2007
- Residential peak down 4.8% in 2018
- Commercial consumption down by 7.1% in 2018
- Commercial peak down by 5.7% in 2018




Economic Scenario Analysis

- Staff examined the impacts of two alternative economic scenarios
 - Global Insight optimistic case
 - Economy.com "aborted recovery" pessimistic case
- Scenarios differ based on assumed impact of stimulus package, projected business investment, projected consumer demand, etc.
- Scenarios provide California-specific projections




CA Total Employment by Scenario 4% Higher/Lower vs. Base in 2020





CA Personal Income by Scenario 5% higher/8% lower vs. base in 2020






Economic Scenario Methodology

- Econometric models estimated for electricity consumption by planning area for the three major sectors
 - Residential
 - Commercial
 - Industrial
- Forecast results match closely with CED 2009 Revised



CED 2009 Revised Elec. Consumption vs. Econometric Base Forecast Less than 0.1% difference in 2020






Economic Scenarios

- Econometric models run for the "base case" and two alternative scenarios
- Base case means same econ/demo inputs as
 CED 2009 Revised
- Percentage difference in alternative scenarios vs. base case applied to CED 2009 Revised
- Peak demand estimated by applying CED 2009 Revised load factors by planning area and sector to consumption results



Statewide Electricity Consumption by Economic Scenario


~ 2 percent higher or lower by 2020





Statewide Peak Demand by Economic Scenario

Slightly more change than in consumption





Economic Scenario Results

- Annual electricity consumption growth 2010-2020 increases to 1.2% in optimistic case, decreases to 0.9% in pessimistic
- Peak growth 2010-2020 increases to 1.4% in optimistic case, decreases to 1.1% in pessimistic
- Largest change by sector: industrial for optimistic, residential for pessimistic
- Narrow spread of scenarios




End-User Natural Gas Forecast

- By planning area: PG&E, SCG, SDG&E, and other
- Does not include natural gas used by utilities or others for electric generation
- Assumes mid-rate natural gas prices from draft forecast



End-User Natural Gas Forecast Lower starting point, higher growth





Additional Analysis

- Model Performance
 - Backcasts vs. Actual History
 - Forecasts vs. Subsequent Consumption
- Climate Change Impact on Peak Demand
 - High and Low Temperature Change Scenarios
 - 1.5%-2.2% Increase in Peak by 2020 in High Scenario
 - 0.4%-1% Increase in Peak by 2020 in Low Scenario



Preliminary Electric Vehicle Forecast

- Calcars Model
 - Vehicle choice/quantity model
 - Choices among conventional gasoline, hybrid, diesel, natural gas, ethanol, dedicated electric, plug-in hybrid
 - Choice based on vehicle and HH characteristics
 - Estimates VMT and fuel use by vehicle type
- Critical input: projected vehicle characteristics
- Two scenarios: high gasoline price, low alternative fuel price, and vice versa




Preliminary Electric Vehicle Forecast

- By 2020, 12 classes of plug-in hybrids (PHEVs), 11 classes of dedicated EVs
- Electricity costs: 13 cents/kWh, 6 cents/kWh
- Average EV purchase price ~ \$6,000 higher than gasoline
- Average range for dedicated EVs = 85 miles; average efficiency ~ 2 miles/kWh
- PHEVs on average 60% more fuel efficient than gasoline (44 mpg)



Projected Number of Electric Vehicles on the Road Majority are plug-in hybrid



Projected EV Electricity Consumption PHEVs assumed to operate 50% on electric

