

DOCKET

09-IEP-1K

DATE AUG 24 2009 RECD AUG 25 2009

Petroleum Fuel Import and Pipeline Export Forecasts


Joint Transportation and IEPR Committee Workshop
Transportation Fuel Forecasts and Analyses
Sacramento, California
August 24, 2009

Gordon Schremp
Fuels and Transportation Division
California Energy Commission

CALI FORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION



Interstate Dependence for Transportation Fuel Supply



Source: Argonne National Laboratory




Factors Impacting California Fuel Imports

- Trends in consumer demand, California refinery output, and exports of petroleum products to neighboring states determine the rate at which California's imports of transportation fuels will increase during the forecast period
- Demand growth projections first developed for AZ & NV
- Second, pipeline exports from California estimated
- Third, amount of additional refinery production calculated based on specific refinery expansion assumptions
- Finally, both of these trends are compared to forecasted demand (both Low and High Cases) to quantify the change in volumes of transportation fuel imports



Southwest Regional Fuel Market





Growth of Pipeline Exports

- Future demand resurgence and growth in AZ and NV will be achieved primarily through increased quantities of pipeline exports
- The quantity of additional pipeline exports of transportation fuels will vary for Arizona depending on the portion of incremental supplies that originate from refineries in West Texas
- The construction of a new petroleum product pipeline from Utah to Las Vegas was assessed as an alternative scenario

 reduces pipeline exports from California and reduces
 marine imports into Southern California




AZ & NV Dependence on Pipeline Supplies

- Nevada receives nearly 100 percent of its fuel via petroleum product pipelines originating in California
- In 2006, approximately 55 percent of Arizona's demand was met by products exported from California
- However, that percentage dropped to just 35 percent by 2008 as refiners and other marketers shifted source of supply away from California and over to Texas and New Mexico
- Regional drop in transportation fuel demand has resulted in lower pipeline export volumes – a source of indirect supply for California



Increased Indirect Supply for California



08/24/09



AZ & NV Demand Forecasts

- The April 2009 forecasted growth of commercial passenger jet activity by the FAA was used to obtain an estimate for jet fuel demand for Arizona and Nevada
- Diesel fuel demand for the neighboring states was estimated using specific cases from the 2009 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) forecast by the U.S. EIA for the Mountain census region
- Gasoline demand forecasts used the same approach as that employed for diesel fuel these initial forecasts had to be revised to reflect the additional use of renewable fuel (mainly ethanol) that is mandated by RFS2
- Ethanol blend limit assumed to be 10 percent (E10)



Arizona Transportation Fuel Demand

Historical and Forecast (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

					\					
	Gas	oline	E	85	Diese	l Fuel	Jet	Tot	als	
Year	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Fuel	Low	High	
2006	177.0	177.0	0.0	0.0	58.0	58.0	33.8	268.9	268.9	
2007	184.5	184.5	0.0	0.0	57.9	57.9	35.5	277.9	277.9	
2008	177.1	177.1	0.0	0.0	60.2	60.2	33.1	270.4	270.4	
2010	186.9	187.4	0.0	0.0	59.5	62.1	28.5	274.8	278.0	
2020	177.3	210.6	23.3	21.4	74.0	79.2	36.9	311.5	348.1	
2030	175.7	233.6	33.5	30.6	90.9	101.6	51.9	351.9	417.6	

Incremental Demand Versus 2008 (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

					(<u> </u>	<u>/</u>
2010	9.8	10.3	0.0	0.0	-0.7	1.9	-4.6	4.5	7.6
2020	0.2	33.5	23.3	21.4	13.7	19.0	3.9	41.2	77.7
2030	-1.4	56.5	33.5	30.6	30.6	41.4	18.8	81.5	147.2

Percentage Change Compared to 2008

2010	5.5%	5.8%	NA	NA	-1.2%	3.1%	-13.9%	1.7%	2.8%
2020	0.1%	18.9%	NA	NA	22.8%	31.5%	11.7%	15.2%	28.7%
2030	-0.8%	31.9%	NA	NA	50.8%	68.7%	56.9%	30.1%	54.5%

Gasoline demand flat in Low Case Demand growth for total fuels of 15 to 28 percent by 2020 – compared to 2008

CALIFORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION



Nevada Transportation Fuel Demand

Historical and Forecast (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

					(
	Gas	oline	E	85	Diese	l Fuel	Jet	Tot	als	
Year	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Fuel	Low	High	
2006	76.1	76.1	0.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	34.7	159.8	159.8	
2007	73.5	73.5	0.0	0.0	47.7	47.7	35.2	156.4	156.4	
2008	70.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	47.7	47.7	34.2	151.8	151.8	
2010	73.8	74.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	49.1	29.1	150.0	152.3	
2020	70.1	83.2	9.2	8.4	58.5	62.7	39.9	177.7	194.2	
2030	69.4	92.3	13.2	12.1	71.9	80.4	61.1	215.6	245.8	

Incremental Demand Versus 2008 (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

2010	3.9	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.6	1.5	-5.1	-1.8	0.5
2020	0.1	13.2	9.2	8.4	10.9	15.0	5.7	25.9	42.4
2030	-0.6	22.3	13.2	12.1	24.2	32.7	26.9	63.8	94.0

Percentage Change Compared to 2008

2010	5.5%	5.8%	NA	NA	-1.2%	3.1%	-14.9%	-1.2%	0.3%
2020	0.1%	18.9%	NA	NA	22.8%	31.5%	16.8%	17.1%	27.9%
2030	-0.8%	31.9%	NA	NA	50.8%	68.7%	78.5%	42.0%	61.9%

Similar to AZ results, but higher jet fuel demand growth Demand growth for total fuels of 17 to 28 percent by 2020 – compared to 2008

CALIFORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION



Pipeline Exports from California - Low Case

Historical and Forecast (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

	Gas	oline	Diesel Fuel		Jet	Fuel	Tot	als
Year	AZ	NV	AZ	NV	AZ	NV	AZ	NV
2006	63.2	71.7	38.7	49.0	31.2	34.7	133.1	155.4
2007	50.3	70.9	30.0	47.7	32.4	35.2	112.7	153.8
2008	21.8	67.4	25.0	47.7	29.2	34.2	75.9	149.3
2010	21.4	66.4	24.6	47.1	25.2	29.1	71.2	138.7
2020	20.8	64.4	30.7	58.5	32.6	39.9	84.1	155.5
2030	20.8	64.4	37.6	71.9	45.9	61.1	104.2	182.2

Incremental Exports Versus 2008 (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

								7 /
2010	-0.4	-1.0	-0.4	-0.6	-4.0	-5.1	-4.7	-10.6
2020	-1.0	-3.0	5.7	10.9	3.4	5.7	8.1	6.3
2030	-1.0	-3.0	12.6	24.2	16.7	26.9	28.3	32.9

Percentage Change Compared to 2008

2010	-1.8%	-1.5%	-1.5%	-1.2%	-13.6%	-14.9%	-6.2%	-7.1%
2020	-4.5%	-4.5%	22.8%	22.8%	11.7%	16.8%	10.7%	4.2%
2030	-4.8%	-4.4%	50.4%	50.8%	57.3%	78.5%	37.2%	22.1%

Low Export Case assumes low fuel demand forecasts in AZ & NV in conjunction with the East Line supplying barrels into AZ preferentially over barrels being supplied from California

CALI FORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION



Pipeline Exports from California - High Case

Historical and Forecast (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

	Gas	oline	Diesel Fuel		Jet	Fuel	Tot	als
Year	AZ	NV	AZ	NV	AZ	NV	AZ	NV
2006	63.2	71.7	38.7	49.0	31.2	34.7	133.1	155.4
2007	50.3	70.9	30.0	47.7	32.4	35.2	112.7	153.8
2008	21.8	67.4	25.0	47.7	29.2	34.2	75.9	149.3
2010	21.5	66.6	25.7	49.1	25.2	29.1	72.3	141.0
2020	34.7	76.1	35.6	62.7	32.6	39.9	102.8	171.4
2030	58.9	84.9	51.9	80.4	45.9	61.1	156.7	211.2

Incremental Exports Versus 2008 (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

2010	-0.3	-0.8	0.7	1.5	-4.0	-5.1	-3.6	-8.3
2020	12.9	8.7	10.6	15.0	3.4	5.7	26.9	22.1
2030	37.1	17.4	26.9	32.7	16.7	26.9	80.7	61.9

Percentage Change Compared to 2008

2010	-1.5%	-1.2%	2.9%	3.1%	-13.6%	-14.9%	-4.7%	<i>-</i> 5.6%
2020	59.0%	12.9%	42.5%	31.5%	11.7%	16.8%	35.4%	14.8%
2030	170.1%	25.9%	107.9%	68.7%	57.3%	78.5%	106.3%	41.4%

High Export Case assumes high fuel demand forecasts in AZ & NV in conjunction with the East Line supplying barrels into AZ preferentially over barrels being supplied from California

CALIFORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION




Product Pipelines – Maximum Capacity Timing

	2009	Year that Maximum Capacity				
Pipeline Section	Capacity	Of Pipeline is Reached				
From California	TBD	Low Case High Case				
Sacramento to Reno	45	Beyond 2030	2025			
Colton to Las Vegas	156	2026	2021			
Colton to Phoenix	204	Beyond 2030 Beyond 203				
Pipeline Section						
From Western Texas						
El Paso to Tucson	170	Beyond 2030	Beyond 2030			
Tucson to Phoenix	155	Beyond 2030	Beyond 2030			


Most segments of the Kinder Morgan Southwest system are not expected to exceed maximum pumping capacity over the forecast period due to the recent, significant drop in transportation fuel demand and lower demand outlooks linked to increased use of renewable fuels and improved fuel economy standards for motor vehicles



California Refinery Operations - Outlook



08/24/09



08/24/09



California Incremental Refinery Production

(Thousands of Barrels per Day)

	Lo	w Import Ca	ase	High Import Case			
Transportation Fuel	2015	2020	2025	2015	2020	2025	
California Gasoline	36.4	55.7	71.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Export Gasoline	5.0	7.6	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
California Diesel Fuel	12.6	19.3	24.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EPA Diesel Fuel	3.4	5.2	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Jet Fuel	11.6	17.8	22.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Totals	69.0	105.6	135.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	

California refinery production is forecast to continue growing for the Low Import Case scenario only – purpose is to minimize additional imports

Continued refinery creep will yield additional refinery blendstocks that can be converted to transportation fuels for use in California and for export to neighboring states and other locations



California Marine Imports & Exports - Outlook



California Marine Imports - Forecast

- Under the Low Import Case scenario, the growing imbalances between gasoline and the other transportation fuels are extreme, resulting in a net decline of imports of at least a 250,000 barrels per day by 2015
- This latter type of outcome is unlikely to materialize as refiners will adjust operations to decrease the ratio of gasoline components that are produced for each barrel of crude oil processed
- Such measures could include:
 - Elimination of gasoline blendstock imports
 - Reduction of unfinished oil purchases



California Imports of Transportation Fuels

Net Change (Thousands of Barrels per Day)

		Low Import Case			High Import Case		
Transportation Fuel	2008	2015	2020	2025	2015	2020	2025
Gasoline	51.3	-191.8	-328.0	-386.6	43.3	-19.3	-66.2
Diesel Fuel	-65.9	-71.0	-59.1	-42.4	-33.6	-8.0	20.0
Jet Fuel	-6.9	11.5	45.6	88.3	29.1	77.7	136.8
Totals	-21.6	-251.3	-341.5	-340.6	38.9	50.5	90.6

Reduced Imports of Gasoline Blendstocks

		Low Import Case			High Import Case		
Transportation Fuel	2008	2015	2020	2025	2015	2020	2025
Gasoline	51.3	-138.0	-274.2	-332.8	43.3	0.0	-12.4
Diesel Fuel	-65.9	-71.0	-59.1	-42.4	-33.6	-8.0	20.0
Jet Fuel	-6.9	11.5	45.6	88.3	29.1	77.7	136.8
Totals	-21.6	-197.5	-287.7	-286.8	38.9	69.7	144.4
Gasoline Blendstocks	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.8	34.5	0.0

Reduced Receipts of Unfinished Refinery Feedstocks


		Low Import Case			High Import Case			
Transportation Fuel	2008	2015	2020	2025	2015	2020	2025	
Gasoline	51.3	0.0	-120.4	-178.9	43.3	0.0	0.0	
Diesel Fuel	-65.9	-62.4	-49.5	-32.7	-33.6	-8.0	20.7	
Jet Fuel	-6.9	11.5	45.6	88.3	29.1	77.7	136.8	
Totals	-21.6	-50.8	-124.3	-123.4	38.9	69.7	157.6	
Gasoline Blendstocks	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.8	34.5	0.0	
Refinery Feedstocks	192.3	19.8	0.0	0.0	192.3	192.3	176.8	

CALIFORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION



New Petroleum Product Pipeline Project

- UNEV pipeline could become operational as early as the fall of 2010 with an initial pumping capacity of 62,000 BPD
- Over time, the pipeline system could be expanded to a maximum pumping capacity of up to 118,000 BPD
- Could displace approximately
 50 percent of the forecasted
 pipeline deliveries to Las
 Vegas from California by 2015



CALI FORNIA EN ERGY COMMISSION



Summary of Import Forecast & Additional Factors

Incremental Imports of Transportation Fuels (Thousands of BPD)

·	Low Case			High Case		
	2015	2020	2025	2015	2020	2025
Transportation Fuels Forecast Results	-251.3	-341.5	-340.6	38.9	50.5	90.6

Refinery Projects and Operations

New UNEV Pipeline (CalNev Line Only)	-313.3	-403.5	-458.6	-23.1	-11.5	-27.4
No California Refinery Creep	-182.3	-235.9	-205.2	38.9	50.5	90.6

There are no refinery expansion projects examined as alternative scenarios during this IEPR cycle

UNEV project could significantly increase the California refinery imbalance under the Low Import Case

Elimination of refinery creep could decrease the California refinery imbalance under the Low Import Case