David Warner
Director of Permit Services
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
1990 East Gettysburg Ave
Fresno, CA 93726
(559) 230-6000

DOCKET 01-AFC-21CDATE July 13 2009

RECD. July 13 2009

STATE OF CALIFORNIA State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 01-AFC-21C
TESLA POWER PROJECT; Request for Extension of License)))	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
)	

The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) was accepted by the California Energy Commission (CEC) as an Intervenor in these proceedings on February 20, 2002, and offers the following Rebuttal Testimony. The District's concerns have solely been in the areas of Air Quality and Public Health, and our testimony will be limited to these areas.

On May 6, 2009, the District offered comments to the CEC (letter to Steve Munro, Project Manager, attached) that the Air Quality Mitigation Settlement Agreement (AQMA) between the Applicant and the District, and required by Condition AQ-C7 of the CEC Certification for this project, needs to be revisited.

Both the Staff and the Applicant, in their respective testimony, offer answers to Questions 3.g. and 3.k. of the Notice of Evidentiary Hearing and Committee Order. They appropriately discuss most of the items that would need to be amended should the Applicant proceed with construction, but neither testimony includes a discussion of the AQMA.

Since the project was originally licensed in 2004, not only have standards and rules changed (as detailed in Applicant and Staff's testimonies), but the District has gained a better understanding of how to mitigate emissions from projects that impact our Air Basin but are not subject to our permitting requirements. With these changes to standards and rules, the fact that PG&E did not acquire all of the Emission Reduction Credits that Midway Power, the original applicant, owned, and the District's better understanding of how to mitigate projects like

this, a condition requiring the application to renegotiate the AQMA before construction commences is necessary.

We note that CEC Order 08-0813-8 approving an extension of the deadline for commencement of construction for the East Altamont Energy Center, another project which entered into an AQMA with the District, requires them to renegotiate that AQMA as a condition of the extension. Such a condition should be imposed in this case also, should the CEC approve the extension.

The District will make available Jim Swaney, Permit Services Manager, as witness for these topics, should the need arise.

Respectfully submitted,

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

Dated: 13/2009



May 6, 2009

Mr. Steve Munro Project Manager California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: CEC Docket No. 01-AFC-21, Tesla Power Plant

Comments on petition for ownership change and extension of license

Dear Mr. Munro:

The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) has been notified of Pacific Gas & Electric's (PG&E's) petition to transfer the ownership of the Tesla Power Plant (TPP) and extend the start of construction date for the project by an additional five (5) years. As you are aware, TPP entered into an Air Quality Mitigation Settlement Agreement (AQMA) with the District, which is included in Condition AQ-C7 of the CEC certification of this project. The District offers the following comment on this petition:

As more than 5 years have passed without starting any construction, the AQMA needs to be revisited. Since the project was first certified, the District has gained a better understanding of how to mitigate emissions from projects that impact the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin, but that are not subject to District permitting requirements.

This is evidenced by the adoption of District Rule 9510 (Indirect Source Review) by the District's Board on December 15, 2005. This Rule includes a method of analyzing development projects not subject to District permitting, and includes a specific fee structure for any un-mitigated emissions reductions required from such sources. Additionally, our experience in achieving surplus emission reductions has grown over the past five years, with our Emissions Reduction Incentive Program granting less than \$10 million dollars per year from Carl Moyer and DMV funds when the AQMA was signed, to currently granting over \$100 million dollars per year through a number of different funding sources.

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Mr. Steve Munro May 6, 2009 Page 2

Additionally, if the project should change from that which was originally licensed, or if there have been any changes to the project's offsetting package, those changes should be analyzed to determine the amount of un-mitigated impacts.

We note that CEC Order 08-0813-8 approving an extension of the deadline for commencement of construction for the East Altamont Energy Center, another project which entered into an AQMA with the District, requires them to renegotiate that AQMA as a condition of the extension. We fully support that condition of approval and request to have a similar condition be imposed for this petition.

The District looks forward to working with PG&E to properly amend the AQMA to ensure that the citizens in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin are not unfairly impacted by the location of this project.

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please contact myself or Rupi Gill, Permit Services Manager, at (209) 557-6400, or Mr. Gill at rupi.gill@valleyair.org.

Sincerely,

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

DW:rg

CC:

Supervisor Leroy Ornellas San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber, Room 701 Stockton, CA 95202



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE **Tesla Power Project**

DOCKET No. 01-AFC-21C

PROOF OF SERVICE

APPLICANT'S COUNSEL

Scott Galati Galati-Blek LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Ste. 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board Attn: Micheal Kummer 3443 Routier Road, Suite A Sacramento, CA 95827-3098 kummerm@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov

Bay Area Air Qualify Management District Attn: Dennis Jang 939 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109 djang@baagmd.gov

Alameda County Community
Development Agency, Planning
Department
Attn: Bruce H. Jensen, Planner
399 Elmhurst Street, Room 136
Hayward, CA 94544
Bruce.Jensen@acgov.org

City of Tracy
City Manager:
Leon Churchill, Jr.
City of Tracy, City Attorney:
Daniel Sodergren
333 Civic Center Plaza
Tracy, CA 95376
leon.churchill@ci.tracy.ca.us
daniel.sodergren@ci.tracy.ca.us

INTERVENORS

Robert Sarvey 501 W. Grantline Road Tracy, CA 95376 SarveyBob@aol.com

CURE

Attn: Marc D. Joseph, Esq. Adams Broadwell et al 601 Gateway Blvd., Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Californians for Renewable Energy (CARE) Attn: Michael Boyd 5439 Soquel Drive Soquel, CA 95073 MichaelBoyd@sbcglobal.net

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Attn: Dave Warner Director of Permit Services 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Seyed.Sadredin@valleyair.org Rupi.gill@valleyair.org

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON Presiding Member Siting Committee jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

KAREN DOUGLAS Associate Member Siting Committee kdouglas@energy.state.ca.us

Gary Fay Chief Hearing Officer gfay@energy.state.ca.us

Steve Munro Compliance Project Manager smunro@energy.state.ca.us

Jonathan Blees Assistant Chief Counsel jblees@energy.state.ca.us

Kevin W. Bell Staff Counsel kwbell@energy.state.ca.us

Elena Miller
Public Adviser
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

, <u>Jim Swaney</u> , declare that on <u>July 13, 2009</u> , I served and filed copies of the attached <u>Rebuttal</u> <u>Testimony, dated July13, 2009</u> . The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at:
[www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/tesla].
The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:
(Check all that Apply)
FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:
X sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail atwith first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred."
FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:
x sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (<i>preferred method</i>);
ORdepositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 01-AFC-21C 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.