

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512
www.energy.ca.gov



DOCKET
07-AFC-5

June 17, 2009

DATE June 17 2009

RECD. June 17 2009

Ivanpah AFC Siting Committee
Commissioner Jeffrey Byron, Presiding Member
Commissioner James Boyd, Associate Member

**Re: Response to June 8, 2009, letter from Brightsource regarding
Scheduling Order for Ivanpah Solar Project AFC (07-AFC-5)**

Commissioners:

Brightsource's June 8, 2009, letter exhorts the Committee to order the Energy Commission staff (Staff) to rush the publication of its environmental assessment before that assessment is complete, based on the general need of the state to develop renewable energy and the specific need of Brightsource to qualify for economic stimulus money. With overheated rhetorical flourish it claims that "the proceeding is at a dead stand still" and implies that Staff is "holding the FSA/DEIS hostage." These claims are wrong. As the Siting Committee knows from the discussions at the May 18, 2009, scheduling conference, the scheduling issues for the Ivanpah project are much more complex than the Brightsource missive would indicate.

Perhaps the most important thing missing from the Brightsource letter is that the environmental analysis for this project is a joint federal and state document that must satisfy both the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This is because Brightsource needs both a state permit from the Energy Commission and a federal right of way permit from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Staff is working cooperatively with BLM to satisfy the analytic needs of both the federal and state statutes. This requires the environmental document to serve the purpose of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for BLM as well as the requirements of CEQA for which the Energy Commission is responsible. For legal sufficiency the DEIS must have a fixed project description, a complete analysis of impacts and mitigation, and a federal permit consistent with a federal schedule. The Energy Commission staff (in fact, the Energy Commission itself) cannot, and would not, force the publication of a federal DEIS document before BLM believes that document to be complete and legally sufficient. The DEIS is BLM's NEPA document, and it will be obligated to defend its legal sufficiency under federal law. Even now, significant issues that must be analyzed in that document remain incomplete in several ways for a number of reasons.

Much of the delay in this case, and the problems for the environmental analysis, results from the Ivanpah site itself. The site is on a substantial slope below a large mountain range that is subject to severe rainfall events. Thus, the site will be subject to serious drainage and erosion problems that Brightsource has had difficulties developing a sufficient plan for. In addition, the site is habitat for a state and federally listed endangered species—the desert tortoise—that may be greatly affected by large solar developments in the desert. Mitigation for such requires a federal Biological Opinion, which is still in process at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, a draft of which Brightsource recently submitted. Finally, Brightsource’s frequent description of the site as “degraded” is misleading, inasmuch as the site is richly endowed with a number of rare plant species not commonly found in the desert or elsewhere. These site selection issues have forced Brightsource to develop elaborate drainage proposals, species relocation proposals, and habitat mitigation proposals; these proposals must in turn be evaluated by BLM and Staff in the joint environmental document. Significant changes to site drainage schemes have complicated the environmental analysis for biological impacts. These evaluations are reaching maturity, but are not yet concluded, and have delayed publication of the DEIS.

Staff shares Brightsource’s desire to see renewable generation, and wants to accommodate Brightsource’s desire to qualify for federal stimulus money. These are important goals that Staff is working to meet. Staff continues to work with sister agencies, including the California Department of Fish and Game, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and other agencies to find closure on outstanding issues. Brightsource has recently filed a relocation plan for desert tortoise that live on the site, and BLM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Department of Fish and Game are commenting and reacting to it. Likewise, BLM is currently evaluating the latest site drainage proposal. Staff and its sister agencies are developing a proposal to mitigate impacts to rare plants, and have shared this concept with Brightsource. In other words, far from being “at a dead standstill,” there is much activity directed to finishing the DEIS/FSA and making it complete. Rhetorical suggestions of Staff malfeasance are no more than a distraction from that continuing effort.

Yours truly,

/s/ Richard C. Ratliff

RICHARD C. RATLIFF
Staff Counsel IV



**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV**

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE *IVANPAH SOLAR ELECTRIC
GENERATING SYSTEM*

DOCKET No. 07-AFC-5
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 5/27/09)

APPLICANT

Solar Partners, LLC
John Woolard,
Chief Executive Officer
1999 Harrison Street, Suite #500
Oakland, CA 94612

Steve De Young, Project Manager
Ivanpah SEGS.
Todd A. Stewart, Project Manager
sdeyoung@brightsourceenergy.com
E-MAIL PREFERRED
1999 Harrison Street, Ste. 2150
Oakland, CA 94612
tstewart@brightsourceenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

John L. Carrier, J. D.
2485 Natomas Park Dr. #600
Sacramento, CA 95833-2937
jcarrier@ch2m.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jeffery D. Harris
Ellison, Schneider
& Harris L.L.P.
2600 Capitol Avenue, Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95816-5905
jdh@eslawfirm.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

Tom Hurshman,
Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
2465 South Townsend Ave.
Montrose, CO 81401
tom_hurshman@blm.gov

Sterling White, Field Manager
Bureau of Land Management
1303 South Highway 95
Needles, CA 92363
sterling_white@blm.gov

Becky Jones
California Department of
Fish & Game
36431 41st Street East
Palmdale, CA 93552
dfgpalm@adelphia.net

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable
Energy ("CURE")
Tanya A. Gulesserian
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph &
Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Ste 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

*Western Watersheds Project
Michael J. Conner, Ph.D.
P.O. Box 2364
Reseda, CA 91337-2364
mjconner@westernwatersheds.org

Gloria Smith, Joanne Spalding
Sidney Silliman, Sierra Club
85 Second Street, 2nd Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94105
gloria.smith@sierraclub.org
joanne.spalding@sierraclub.org
gssilliman@csupomona.edu
E-mail Preferred

Joshua Basofin, CA Rep.
Defenders of Wildlife
1303 J Street, Ste. 270
Sacramento, CA 95814
jbasofin@defenders.org
E-MAILED PREFERRED

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Commissioner and Presiding
Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

JAMES D. BOYD
Vice Chairman and
Associate Member
jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer
Hearing Officer
pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

John Kessler
Project Manager
jkessler@energy.state.ca.us

Dick Ratliff
Staff Counsel
dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

Elena Miller
Public Adviser
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Lynn Tien-Tran, declare that on June 17, 2009, I served and filed copies of the attached **Response to June 8, 2009, letter from Brightsource regarding Scheduling Order for Ivanpah Solar Project AFC (07-AFC-5)**. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/ivanpah]. The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:

 X sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;

 X by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail at Sacramento with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses **NOT** marked "email preferred."

AND

FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:

 X sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (*preferred method*);

OR

 depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-5
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

 /s/ Lynn Tien-Tran