

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

DOCKET

07-AFC-4

DATE _____

RECD. June 16 2009

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoken out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,



ERIC MEYERS

OPERATIONS MANAGER

MODULO DESIGNS

3517 MAW ST suite 301

CHULA VISTA CA 91911

800-463-3860

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Lourdes K Rodriguez
2639 Alosta St
San Diego CA 92154

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Sandra Alden
1284 Calle Candeleo
Chula Vista CA 91910

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,


Osvaldo Amezcua
3916 Rene Drive
San Diego, CA 92154

JAMES D. BOYD

Vicepresidente y Miembro Asociado

Comité AFC Proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista

Comisión de Energía de California

1516 Calle 9na

Sacramento, Ca 95814

PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Estimado Honorable Comisionado James Boyd

La presente es con respecto al proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista folio NO. 07-AFC-4. En representación de la comunidad de Chula Vista, quisiera agradecerle por su recomendación de negar la aplicación de MMC.

Como miembro comunitario, Yo estoy muy preocupado por la ubicación propuesta para la planta de energía y por su cercanía a los hogares y escuelas. Según el Plan General Específico de Chula Vista, se requir una distancia mínima de 1000 pies entre un agente receptor sensitivo y un complejo energético.

La comunidad ha estado en oposición de la planta, esto queda demostrado por los casi 1000 residentes que han firmado las peticiones. Nos hemos congregado y denunciado la expansión de la planta. Estamos agradecidos y nos sentimos apoyados por su recomendación de negar la planta. Pedimos que su propuesta siga adelante en toda la Comisión de Energía de California

Sinceramente,



Esmeralda Tovar

1522C Ave

National City Ca 91950

JAMES D. BOYD

Vicepresidente y Miembro Asociado

Comité AFC Proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista

Comisión de Energía de California

1516 Calle 9na

Sacramento, Ca 95814

PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Estimado Honorable Comisionado James Boyd

La presente es con respecto al proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista folio NO. 07-AFC-4. En representación de la comunidad de Chula Vista, quisiera agradecerle por su recomendación de negar la aplicación de MMC.

Como miembro comunitario, Yo estoy muy preocupado por la ubicación propuesta para la planta de energía y por su cercanía a los hogares y escuelas. Según el Plan General Específico de Chula Vista, se requir una distancia mínima de 1000 pies entre un agente receptor sensitivo y un complejo energético.

La comunidad ha estado en oposición de la planta, esto queda demostrado por los casi 1000 residentes que han firmado las peticiones. Nos hemos congregado y denunciado la expansión de la planta. Estamos agradecidos y nos sentimos apoyados por su recomendación de negar la planta. Pedimos que su propuesta siga adelante en toda la Comisión de Energía de California

Sinceramente,

Aida A. Lefort
Aida A. Lefort

6875 Santa Place
San Diego CA, 92139

JAMES D. BOYD

Vicepresidente y Miembro Asociado

Comité AFC Proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista

Comisión de Energía de California

1516 Calle 9na

Sacramento, Ca 95814

PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Estimado Honorable Comisionado James Boyd

La presente es con respecto al proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista folio NO. 07-AFC-4. En representación de la comunidad de Chula Vista, quisiera agradecerle por su recomendación de negar la aplicación de MMC.

Como miembro comunitario, Yo estoy muy preocupado por la ubicación propuesta para la planta de energía y por su cercanía a los hogares y escuelas. Según el Plan General Específico de Chula Vista, se requir una distancia mínima de 1000 pies entre un agente receptor sensitivo y un complejo energético.

La comunidad ha estado en oposición de la planta, esto queda demostrado por los casi 1000 residentes que han firmado las peticiones. Nos hemos congregado y denunciado la expansión de la planta. Estamos agradecidos y nos sentimos apoyados por su recomendación de negar la planta. Pedimos que su propuesta siga adelante en toda la Comisión de Energía de California

Sinceramente,

Oscar Lefort

Oscar Lefort

3/10/2009

6875 San Place

San Diego CA, 92139

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,

Susana

Susana Gaxiola
1250 Santa Cora Ave #1025
Chula Vista CA 91913

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Letty Aguirre

837 Del Mar Ave
Chula Vista, CA 91911

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,

Janina M. Fernandez
JANINA M. FERNANDEZ
1906 Rue Michelle
Chula Vista, CA

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Beatrice A. Dominguez

1678 Seabrook Lane

San Diego, CA 92139

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Eduardo Hernandez
817 Briarpoint Place
San Diego, CA 92154

JAMES D. BOYD

Vicepresidente y Miembro Asociado

Comité AFC Proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista

Comisión de Energía de California

1516 Calle 9na

Sacramento, Ca 95814

PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Estimado Honorable Comisionado James Boyd

La presente es con respecto al proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista folio NO. 07-AFC-4. En representación de la comunidad de Chula Vista, quisiera agradecerle por su recomendación de negar la aplicación de MMC.

Como miembro comunitario, Yo estoy muy preocupado por la ubicación propuesta para la planta de energía y por su cercanía a los hogares y escuelas. Según el Plan General Específico de Chula Vista, se requir una distancia mínima de 1000 pies entre un agente receptor sensitivo y un complejo energético.

La comunidad ha estado en oposición de la planta, esto queda demostrado por los casi 1000 residentes que han firmado las peticiones. Nos hemos congregado y denunciado la expansión de la planta. Estamos agradecidos y nos sentimos apoyados por su recomendación de negar la planta. Pedimos que su propuesta siga adelante en toda la Comisión de Energía de California

Sinceramente,



Viviana Garay
1801 Cedar St.
San Diego, CA
92154

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,

Brandie Price
Brandie Price
427 Deep Dell Rd. Apt C
San Diego, CA 92114

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,


Aime' Ortega
4228 Marchade Dr.
San Diego, CA 92154

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Mercedes G. Carrillo
573 Flower st. # 5
Chula Vista Ca. 91910

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,

Martha M. Ortega Martha M. Ortega
4228 Marcwade Drive, San Diego, CA 92154

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Jessica Gutierrez
1458 Platano Ct
Chula Vista CA 91911

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Ana M. Jones
22 Sandalwood Drive
Chula Vista Ca 91910

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,


JONI CONTRERAS
6584 PINECONE LN.
SAN DIEGO CA 92139

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,



Lidia Alcaraz
3978 Via Del Bardo
San Ysidro CA 92173

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,


Ruth Gaconelli
256 Tremont St.
Chula Vista, CA 91911

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

Sincerely,

Donna Wilson
DONNA WILSON
6584 PINECONE LANE
SAN DIEGO, CA
92139

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,

| Oscar Rios

JAMES D. BOYD

Vicepresidente y Miembro Asociado

Comité AFC Proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista

Comisión de Energía de California

1516 Calle 9na

Sacramento, Ca 95814

PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Estimado Honorable Comisionado James Boyd

La presente es con respecto al proyecto de Ampliación de la Planta de Energía en Chula Vista folio NO. 07-AFC-4. En representación de la comunidad de Chula Vista, quisiera agradecerle por su recomendación de negar la aplicación de MMC.

Como miembro comunitario, Yo estoy muy preocupado por la ubicación propuesta para la planta de energía y por su cercanía a los hogares y escuelas. Según el Plan General Específico de Chula Vista, se requir una distancia mínima de 1000 pies entre un agente receptor sensitivo y un complejo energético.

La comunidad ha estado en oposición de la planta, esto queda demostrado por los casi 1000 residentes que han firmado las peticiones. Nos hemos congregado y denunciado la expansión de la planta. Estamos agradecidos y nos sentimos apoyados por su recomendación de negar la planta. Pedimos que su propuesta siga adelante en toda la Comisión de Energía de California

Sinceramente,



JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,



MIGUEL LOPEZ

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us


Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James D. Boyd", with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

|

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,



|

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,

Cathia Gomez

|

JAMES D. BOYD,
Vice-Chair and Associate Member
Chula Vista Energy Upgrade AFC Committee
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814
PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us

Dear Honorable Commissioner James Boyd:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. On behalf of the Chula Vista community I would like to thank you for your recommendation to deny MMC's application.

As a community member I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 ft buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

The community is in opposition of this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We have rallied and spoke out against the expansion of this plant. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask that your recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

| Sincerely,



|

California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, Ca 95814


Dear Honorable Members of the California Energy Commission:

This letter is in Regards to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (C.V.E.U.P) Docket NO. 07-AFC-4. We are thankful and supportive of your recommendation to deny the plant and ask for this CEC recommendation move forward to the full California Energy Commission.

As a community member and energy consumer, I am very concerned about the proposed location of the peaker plant and its proximity to homes and schools. Chula Vista has a specific general plan that requires a 1000 feet buffer between a sensitive receptor and an energy facility.

Many members of the Chula Vista and San Diego community are in opposition to this plant and over 1000 residents have signed petitions asking the CEC to deny this application. We urge you to uphold our local laws and regulations and deny the CVEUP application.

| Sincerely,


2305 Bay Hill Rd.
Chula Vista, CA 91915
(619) 421-9000