DOCKET

07-AFC-3

RECD. 05/08/09

05/07/09

DATE



CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512 www.energy.ca.gov

May 7, 2009

Mr. Mark Turner Competitive Power Ventures, Inc. 55 2nd Street, Suite 525 San Francisco, California 94105

Dear Mr. Turner:

Subject: Status of your Application for Certification and Schedule for Obtaining Needed Air Quality Offsets

The Application for Certification (AFC) for the CPV Sentinel Peaker Project (07-AFC-3) was filed with the Energy Commission on June 26, 2007. The AFC states that CPV Sentinel, LLC is proposing to use the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD) Priority Reserve Credit program to offset the proposed generation facility's criteria air pollutant emissions. However, the Priority Reserve program is no longer available to power plants. Consequently, it is uncertain as to whether your project will soon have a clear path forward to obtain the necessary emission reduction credits to mitigate project impacts and to allow for eventual certification by the Commission. Since the project as proposed may not have sufficient offsets without use of the Priority Reserve, the staff believes CPV Sentinel, LLC should provide information that indicates how the project can be licensed and why the Commission should continue to process the application. Upon receipt of your response, staff will determine if further work on the project is warranted at this time, or whether it would be appropriate to ask the project siting committee to suspend the project until such time as there is a clear path forward to certification.

Background

In August 2007, the SCAQMD amended its Priority Reserve Rules by establishing air quality and economic criteria that allowed these offsets to be purchased from the Priority Reserve program for new power plants licensed by the Energy Commission. The SCAQMD, under Rule 1309.1, limited these power plant credits, requiring developers to have a one-year power sales contract and a license from the Energy Commission to construct their facility before the SCAQMD Board would release any credits for that facility. Plants being proposed by municipal utilities were allowed only enough credits to build projects that would serve their native load. Alternatively, they would also be considered for credits if they had a signed long term contract with Southern California Edison or San Diego Gas & Electric, or they could apply for a Board waiver of the contract requirement. The SCAQMD also limited the total amount of new electricity generating capacity that could access Priority Reserve credits to no more than 2,700 megawatts.

Mr. Mark Turner May 7, 2009 Page 2

፞ኯ

The SCAQMD Priority Reserve Rule was challenged in Superior Court and in July 2008, the court decision found the air district's environmental analysis for the rulemaking was inadequate under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The court's decision concluded that a legally sufficient environmental document would require significant new analysis. To date, there is no indication that the SCAQMD will commence another rulemaking to cure the deficiencies the court found in the environmental document. As a consequence, the SCAQMD is unable to issue any offsets for power plants in need of a permit at this time. The SCAQMD is now working to modify its regulations to allow permits for non-power plant facilities, but has no plans to develop new rules applicable to power plants.

Your Offset Strategy and Schedule

Before deciding whether to recommend suspension of the application, the staff wishes to extend you the opportunity to demonstrate that you have an effective strategy and schedule for obtaining the needed offsets through valid programs. This strategy should also include a plan to secure the applicable Determination of Compliance (i.e., a revised Final Determination) from the SCAQMD which identifies the sources and timing of offsets. Staff will review your response and your schedule and forward its recommendation to the Executive Director, and subsequently to the Committee overseeing the licensing proceeding for your project. Please respond by June 8, 2009.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please feel free to call Eileen Allen, Siting & Compliance Office Manager at (916) 654-4082 or contact her by e-mail at <u>eallen@energy.state.ca.us</u>.

Sincerely,

MELISSA JONES Executive Director

MJ/jcm

cc: Mohsen Nazemi, P.E., Deputy Executive Officer South Coast Air Quality Management District



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – <u>WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV</u>

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE CPV SENTINEL ENERGY PROJECT BY THE CPV SENTINEL, L.L.C

DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-3

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 2/26/2009)

APPLICANT

CPV Sentinel, LLC Mark O. Turner, Director Competitive Power Ventures, Inc. 55 2nd Street, Suite 525 San Francisco, CA 94105 <u>mturner@cpv.com</u>

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT

Dale Shileikis - URS Corporation 221 Main Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94105-1916 dale_shileikis@urscorp.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Michael J. Carroll LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925 michael.carroll@lw.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO <u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

Mohsen Nazemi, PE South Coast AQMD 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4178 <u>mnazemi@aqmd.gov</u>

INTERVENORS

ENERGY COMMISSION

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair and Presiding Member <u>iboyd@energy.state.ca.us</u>

*JULIA LEVIN Commissioner and Associate Member <u>ilevin@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Kenneth Celli, Hearing Officer <u>kcelli@energy.state.ca.us</u>

John Kessler, Project Manager jkessler@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes, Staff Counsel cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Elena Miller Public Adviser publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>Maria Santourdjian</u>, declare that on <u>May 8, 2009</u>, I served and filed copies of the attached <u>CEC Letter RE Status of AFC and Obtaining Needed Air Quality Offsets</u>. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/sentinel/index.html] the document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

For service to all other parties:

__x__ sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;

___x__ by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento</u>, <u>California</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses **NOT** marked "email preferred."

AND

For filing with the Energy Commission:

___x__ sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (preferred method);

OR

____depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-3 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Original Signature in Dockets Maria Santourdjian