DOCKET

08-WHCE-1

DATE <u>April 13 2009</u>
RECD. April 14 2009

Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Under AB 32

California Energy Commission
Electricity and Natural Gas Committee Workshop
April 13, 2009
Doug Thompson, California Air Resources Board



Annual GHG Reporting

- Regulation approved by ARB December 2007 now effective
- First reports due June 1, 2009
 - 2009 reports may rely on "best available" data for 2008
- Reporters will report to ARB using online GHG Reporting Tool

(http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/reporting/ghg-rep/ghg-tool.htm)

Who Reports?

- Oil refineries and hydrogen plants
- Cement plants
- Major stationary combustion sources (>25,000 MT CO₂)
- Electricity generating and cogeneration facilities (>1MW and >2,500 MT CO₂)
- Electricity retail providers and marketers
- Up to 800 reports expected overall

Reporting Basics

- Report Kyoto gases as specified by sector
- Regulation specifies quantification methods
 - Most methods adapted from California Climate Action Registry protocols
 - Up to 3 percent of emissions may be estimated using simplified de minimis methods, limited to 20,000 MT CO2e
- Report stationary combustion emissions
- Report process and fugitive emissions, indirect energy use where specified
- Mobile emissions are optional

4

April 13, 2009

Third Party Verification

- Verification required beginning 2010 (optional in 2009)
- ARB to train and accredit verifiers
- Both private firms and air districts may receive accreditation
- Reporters may choose their verification bodies, subject to ARB conflict-of-interest review

Reporting and Verification Costs

- \$5,000 to \$25,000 for most facilities
- More for larger and complex reporters
- Verification adds value of credibility in future cap-and-trade program
- Reporting tool will reduce costs in future years while assisting compliance

Cogeneration Facilities

- Report when ≥1MW and ≥2,500 MT CO₂ from electricity generating activities
- Distribute CO₂ from fossil fuels using specified equations
 - Efficiency methods for topping cycle and bottoming cycle
 - Both assign some emissions to electricity

Why Distribute Emissions?

- CCAR Protocols provided starting point
- Important for load-based approach to regulation
- Appropriate from energy flow perspective and for emissions inventory needs
- Policy decisions may lead to modified methods or different uses of data

April 13, 2009

Next Steps

- Complete first round of emissions reporting June 1
- · Data sharing and analysis
- Finalize reduction strategies (including cap-and-trade regulation)
- Augment reporting requirements as needed in future



April 13, 2009