

From: "Jeider, Bruno" <BJeider@ci.burbank.ca.us>
To: "Jim Woodward" <Jwoodwar@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 2/10/2009 4:51 PM
Subject: RE: CEC corrected S-1 and S-2 supply forms
Attachments: ldwpss08in.xls; CEC - Burbank's 2009 Supply Forms - Alt Format.xls; 2006 IR P for BWP - - Final Report in PDF.pdf

Jim,

Please find attached Burbank's response to your request. Unfortunately, I've lost the services of my trusty servant Himanshu and am swamped negotiating contracts for renewable energy. So what I've done to be responsive to your request is attach several files. One is the WECC submission for Burbank - which is part of LADWP's. The second is a file which should have all the detailed info you're requesting. The third is a copy of our IRP which starting on page 21 has a description of our transmission contracts. Frankly, most of the information you're asking in that regard doesn't apply or make sense for non-CallSO parties like Burbank. Further all the Southern California munis have contracts from the same resources so perhaps if you find someone who understands the Forms you could take theirs and modify it for Burbank - adjusted for quantity.

I'm sending this out early as I'll unavailable until mid-next week. If you have any questions give me a call then.

Happy reading,
Bruno
818-238-3651

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Woodward [mailto:Jwoodwar@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 4:26 PM
To: Jeider, Bruno
Subject: CEC corrected S-1 and S-2 supply forms

Hi Bruno,

The attached S-1 and S-2 forms now have formatting in all column years (2007 through 2018) to calculate sums automatically, like the example data shown for 2009. This corrects a glitch (once) that would likely be frustrating (for many). I owe thanks to Fred Mason of Banning for the good catch. The older forms are still acceptable if work with them has already begun.

And if Burbank chooses to use the WECC loads and resources forms, none of this matters. But we will still need an S-5 form on each bilateral contract or purchase power agreement over 90 days duration.

These filings are due by Friday, February 13, 2009.

Friendly reminders:

On the S-1 Monthly and S-2 Monthly forms, LSEs may choose 2009 or 2010

DOCKET

09-IEP-1B

DATE FEB 10 2009

RECD. FEB 11 2009

for the forecast of monthly loads and resources. If 2009 is reported, an estimate of monthly peak loads in 2010 is also required on line 1a of the S-1 Monthly form. Add columns or a separate tab as you wish. (For LSEs in CAISO we will use this data in the forecast of 2010 coincident peak demand in CAISO.)

An S-5 form is required for each electricity supply contract or power purchase agreement that exceeds 90 days. This only applies to the procurement (not the sale) of capacity and/or energy. If the LSE has any Firm Sale Obligations, the numbers should be listed on line 13 of form S-1 and line 10 of form S-2.

Instructions for completing and submitting these forms are
<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2008publications/CEC-100-2008-010/CEC-100-2008-010-CMF.PDF>.

If there are changes to the formally adopted resource adequacy policies or the procurement protocols, we want to include that information in our next report, especially the narrative information described on page 58 of our instructions.

Here is a link to our report from last year summarizing how each publicly owned LSE has policies, plans, and procurement protocols to remain resource adequate:
<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-200-2007-016/CEC-200-2007-016-SF.PDF> . We'll update the numbers based on the filings we receive. Other suggestions to the summary of your POU are welcome, preferably on a page using track changes.

If you'd like a printed copy of these instructions or our report on resource adequacy progress among POUs, I can put these in the mail today. Please reply or call or write with questions or concerns. Happy Trails,

Jim Woodward
Electric Generation System Specialist 1
Electricity Analysis Office, CEC
1516 Ninth Street MS 20