CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 1



| Energy Facilities Siting and Environmental Protection Division | | | | PROJECT TITLE: Beacon Solar Energy Project | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----|
| ☐ Telephone | | ☐ Email | | | ing l | _ocation: | | | | |
| NAME: | Eric Solorio | | | DATE: November 24, 2008 | | TIM | | 5:24 p.m. | | |
| WITH: | Kenneth Stein, Sara Head, Meg Russell | | | | | | | AFC-2 | | |
| SUBJECT: | CEC data request regarding alternative site layouts | | | | | | | DATE | NOV 24 2 | 008 |
| | | | | | | | | RECD. | DEC 02 20 | 008 |

On 11-24-08 I received the following email response from Kenneth Stein who represents the applicant:

Regarding your question about alternative layouts, while we would have loved to avoid re-routing the wash, it became clear in the early design stages of the project that it would not be possible (or practical) for the following reasons:

- 1. There is not sufficient space to locate the project entirely on one side of the wash or the other.
- 2. The project could be split into two uneven portions and straddle the wash, however such a layout would require multiple road, and pipe (therminol and gas) crossings that would require major disruption of the wash area during construction and significantly change the nature of the wash. Additionally, without the ability to further modify the wash, the layout would result in large portions of the field subject to flooding creating operations and insurance issues.
- 3. The split layout would also result in displacement of the power block significantly off-center of the field; this would have the impact of operational problems, longer plant startups, lower annual energy production and overall a much higher cost of electricity.
- 4. To the extent that the purpose of a split layout (i.e., keeping the existing wash running up the middle of the facility) would be to maintain the current (albeit extremely degraded) biological/habitat functions of the wash, this goal would be compromised due to:
- * the numerous road and pipe crossing that would be needed along the wash, and
- * difficulty in erecting tortoise fencing that would keep any wildlife from entering the plant boundary but still allow use of the wash.

Implementing a split layout to maintain the wash in the current location would result in habitat that is even more fragmented than currently exists. The re-routed wash would have the benefit of being completely outside the fenced-in power plant facilities and away from operational activities such that restoration efforts (both biological and hydrological) not only have a high potential of succeeding, but also have the potential of resulting in a wash with better biological and hydrological functions than the current highly degraded wash.

| cc: | Susan Sanders, Annette Tenneboe, | Prepared by: | Eric K. Solorio |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | Julie Vance, Rick York, Rod Jones | | |
| | | | |



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
For the BEACON SOLAR ENERGY
PROJECT

Docket No. 08-AFC-2

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 11/10/08)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS:</u> All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies <u>or</u> (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the Docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed <u>or</u> electronic copy of the document, <u>which includes a proof of service</u> <u>declaration</u> to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-9 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Kenneth Stein, J.D.,
Duane McCloud
Bill Narvaez, P.E.
Meg Russell
FPL Energy, LLC
700 Universe Blvd.
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Kenneth Stein@fpl.com
Guillermo_Narvaez@fpl.com
Duane_McCloud@fpl.com
Meg.Russell@fpl.com

Mike Argentine FPL Energy, LLC 1465 Oak Hill Way Roseville, CA 95661 michael.argentine@fpl.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Sara Head, Vice President ENSR Corporation 1220 Avenida Acaso Camarillo, CA 93012 SHead@ensr.aecom.com

Geoffrey R. Baxter, P.E.
Project Manager
Worley Parsons
2330 E. Bidwell Street, Suite 150
Folsom, CA 95630
Geoffrey.Baxter@worleyparsons.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jane Luckhardt, Attorney at Law Downey Brand Attorneys LLP 621 Capital Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 iluckhardt@downeybrand.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO P.O. Box 639014 Folsom, CA 95763-9014 e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

Tanya A. Gulesserian
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Presiding Member
KLdougla@energy.state.ca.us

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Commissioner and Associate Member
Jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

Kenneth Celli Hearing Officer kcelli@energy.state.ca.us

*Eric K. Solorio
Project Manager
esolorio@energy.state.ca.us

Jared Babula Staff Counsel jbabula@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser's Office publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>April Albright</u>, declare that on <u>December 03, 2008</u>, I deposited copies of the attached <u>ROC for CEC Data Request Re Alternative Site Layouts</u> in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento, CA</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Original signature in dockets

April Albright

Attachments