### State of California Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

| DOCKET<br>08-AFC-4 |             |  |
|--------------------|-------------|--|
| DATE               | Nov 25 2008 |  |
| RECD.              | Nov 25 2008 |  |

| In the Matter of:                   | )   | ł |
|-------------------------------------|-----|---|
|                                     | .)  |   |
| Application for Certification       | )   | l |
| for the Orange Grove Energy Project | . ) | 1 |

Docket No. 08-AFC-4

# STAFF'S PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT

In a notice dated November 6, 2008, the Energy Commission's committee overseeing the Orange Grove Power Plant Project ("Orange Grove") directed parties to file a prehearing conference statement for the December 1, 2008, prehearing conference. The Order stated that the Prehearing Conference Statements must be filed no later than November 25, 2008. This is Staff's Prehearing Conference Statement.

### 1. Topic areas that are complete and ready to proceed to evidentiary hearing

Staff's analyses in the following areas are complete and ready to proceed to hearing: Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, Geologic Hazards, Socioeconomics, Visual Resources, Hazardous Materials, Geology and Paleontological Resources, Land Use, Noise, Traffic and Transportation, Public Health, Transmission Line Safety, Worker Safety, Transmission Systems Engineering, Facility Design, and Reliability, Soil and Water, and Waste Management.

With respect to Biology, staff is currently consulting with Department of Fish and Game, US Department of Fish and Wildlife Service, and San Diego County on the merits of a creative mitigation measure that the applicant proposed at a November 20, 2008 workshop to address construction impacts on nesting birds. Staff does not take issue with the proposed mitigation. As of November 25, 2008, Department of Fish and Game and US Department of Fish and Wildlife Service have not commented on the newly proposed mitigation measure or on the Biology section of the Staff Assessment.

### 2. Topic areas that are incomplete and not yet ready to proceed to evidentiary hearing

There are no topic areas that are incomplete.

### 3. Topic areas that remain disputed and require adjudication

There are no topic areas that are in dispute.

### 4. The identity of each witness sponsored by each party

Staff will offer the Staff Assessment, as amended after the November 20, 2008 workshop, as an exhibit and proposes admitting staff's testimony in all uncontested areas into the record

through the declarations included within the Staff Assessment. A representative of the Air District will be available to confirm compliance with the District's rules and respond to any questions by the committee. In the event that biology becomes contested or additional testimony is required, Dr. Susan Sanders will be available to testify. Dr. Sanders' qualifications are presented in the Staff Assessment in the Preparation Team section. It is anticipated that Dr. Sanders would need to testify for 15 minutes, not including responding to questions, in the event additional testimony is required to supplement the biology Staff Assessment.

#### 5. Topic areas upon which a party desires to cross-examine witnesses

Staff does not anticipate needing to cross examine any witnesses offered by the applicant. At this time, staff is not aware of any witnesses being produced by the interveners. Staff reserves the right to cross examine interveners' witnesses, if any are offered, and to request a determination by the Committee as to whether the offered witness is an expert as defined in the California Evidence Code, section 720(a).

"A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates. Against the objection of a party, such special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education must be shown before the witness may testify as an expert."

# 6. A list of identifying exhibits and declarations that each party intends to offer into evidence

Staff identifies as Exhibit 200, the Staff Assessment, as amended after the November 20, 2008 workshop.

### 7. Proposals for briefing deadlines

Staff does not anticipate the need for any briefs to be filed.

# 8. Proposed modifications to the proposed Conditions of Certification based upon enforceability, ease of comprehension and consistency with the evidence

The Staff Assessment, as amended after the November 20, 2008 workshop, will include all changes agreed upon between the applicant and staff at the November 20, 2008 workshop.

With respect to the supplemental questions of the Hearing Officer, asking for clarifications in the Staff Assessment, staff appreciates the advance notice of the Hearing Officer's and Committee's questions. Staff will include in its amended Staff Assessment all the

2

clarifications based on responses to the Hearing Officer's supplemental questions. Staff plans to file its amended Staff Assessment, with changes and clarifications shown in underline-strikeout format, together with declarations of the witnesses sponsoring the changes, no later than December 12, 2008.

3

Date: November 25, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

M.

JARED J. BABULA Senior Staff Counsel California Energy Commission 1516 9<sup>th</sup> Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 Ph: (916) 651-1462 E-mail: jbabula@energy.state.ca.us



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION ORANGE GROVE POWER PLANT PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 08-AFC -4 PROOF OF SERVICE Revised 10/23/08

<u>INSTRUCTIONS:</u> All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies <u>or</u> (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the Docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed <u>or</u> electronic copy of the document, <u>which includes a proof of service</u> <u>declaration</u> to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 08-AFC-4 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

### APPLICANT

Stephen Thome J-Power USA Development 1900 East Golf Road, Suite 1030 Schaumberg, IL 60173 <u>sthome@jpowerusa.com</u>

Mike Dubois J-Power USA Development 1900 East Golf Road, Suite 1030 Schaumberg, IL 60173 mdubois@jpowerusa.com

### APPLICANT CONSULTANT

Joe Stenger, PG. REA TRC 2666 Rodman Drive Los Osos CA 93402 jstenger@trcsolutions.com

### **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Jane Luckhardt Downey Brand, LLP 555 Capitol Mall, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 jluckhardt@downeybrand.com

Wayne Song Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 300 S Grand Avenue, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 wsong@morganlewis.com

### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO P.O. Box 639014 Folsom, CA 95763-9014 <u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

Proof of Service (Revised \_\_\_\_\_) filed with original. Mailed from Sacramento on 11-2.5-0.8 Steve Taylor San Diego Gas & Electric 8306 Century Park Court San Diego, CA 92123 srtaylor@semprautilities.com

#### INTERVENORS

Anthony J. Arand 219 Rancho Bonito Fallbrook, CA 92028 tony@envirepel.com

\*Alliance for a Cleaner Tomorrow (ACT) c/o Arthur S. Moreau, Klinedinst, PC 501 West Broadway, Suite 600 San Diego, CA 92101 amoreau@klinedinstlaw.com

\*Archie D. McPhee 40482 Gavilan Mountain Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 <u>archied1@earthlink.net</u>

## ENERGY COMMISSION

JAMES D. BOYD Commissioner and Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

ARTHUR ROSENFELD Commissioner and Associate Member <u>pflint@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Kenneth Celli Hearing Officer kcelli@energy.state.ca.us

Felicia Miller Project Manager fmiller@energy.state.ca.us

Jared Babula Staff Counsel jbabula@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser's Office publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

## **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, <u>Pamela Guinn</u>, declare that on 11/25/08, I deposited copies of the attached <u>Staff's Prehearing</u> <u>Conference Statement</u> in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento, CA</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above. **OR** 

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Pame la Sunn

Attachments

2