BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:
The Application for Certification
for the CHULA VISTA ENERGY
UPGRADE PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-4

BRIEFING STATEMENT OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA SCOTT TULLOCH, INTERIM CITY MANAGER

November 5, 2008

DOCKET

07-AFC-4

DATE NOV 05 2008

RECD. NOV 05 2008

City of Chula Vista 276 Fourth Avenue Chula Vista CA 91910

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:
The Application for Certification
for the CHULA VISTA ENERGY
UPGRADE PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-4

BRIEFING STATEMENT OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA SCOTT TULLOCH, INTERIM CITY MANAGER

I. Introduction

On October 10, 2008, the California Energy Commission (CEC) assigned Commissioners (Committee) established the briefing topics and schedule. The due date for opening briefs is November 5, 2008 and Reply Briefs are due November 19, 2008. The Committee further requested the parties' draft proposed findings and conclusions for each of the issues addressed in their respective briefs.

City of Chula Vista Response

LORS:

- a. Does the proposed project comply with the land use policies in the City of Chula Vista General Plan and with the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Chula Vista? If not, are there any feasible alternative sites that would eliminate the noncompliance(s)?
- b. For each provision of the General Plan or the Zoning Ordinance for which there is noncompliance, can the Commission "override" the noncompliance pursuant to section 25525 of the Warren-Alquist Act and section 1752(k) of the Commission's regulations?

The following Exhibits introduced by the CEC Staff, Applicant and Interveners address these questions:

Exhibits: 21, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204 and 803.

Attachment C; Chula Vista Municipal Code Section 19.16.040 Height limitations – Exemptions from applicability designated.

CEQA:

- a. Will the proposed project cause any significant adverse environmental impacts? (This part of the briefs should include, but not be limited to, a discussion of (i) whether the existence of impacts should be assessed assuming 1200, 4400, or some other number of hours of operation, and (ii) what the "baseline" for assessing impacts should be.)
- b. For any such impact:

- (1) Is there feasible mitigation, or a feasible project alternative, that would reduce or avoid the impact?
- (2) If there is no such mitigation or alternative, can the Commission "override" the impact under section 21081(b) of CEQA and section 1755(d) of the Commission's regulations?

The following Exhibits introduced by the CEC Staff and Applicant address these questions:

Exhibits: 9, 11, 12, 17, 20, 21, 200, 201, 202, 203 and 204.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

a. Does the proposed project have environmental impacts that fall disproportionately on minority or low-income populations?

The following Exhibits introduced by the CEC Staff address these questions:

Exhibits: 200, 201, 202, and 203.

OTHER: Any other topic which a party wishes to address.

The City of Chula Vista reiterates its request to the Committee to incorporate the measures attached hereto as Attachment A into the Proposed Decision as conditions to the Application for Certification (AFC). Attachment A was submitted through the October 2, 2008 Evidentiary Hearing by the Applicant as Exhibit 21 and by the City as Exhibit 803. Securing the implementation of these measures as conditions to the AFC is fundamental to addressing community concerns.

After conducting five well attended public events and following the local debate, the CEC is aware that the community is divided in its position on the proposed project and its interpretation of the evidence presented. In such an environment the City is working diligently to represent all stakeholders in a balanced and professional manner. Should the CEC decide to grant the application, the CEC is urged to incorporate the proposed conditions into the Final Decision. The proposed measures are the product of the Issues Resolution and Preliminary Staff Assessment workshops, and the direction by CEC Staff to the Applicant and Interveners to work out their differences. The proposed or similar conditions would have been placed on the proposed project had the City been the lead agency in a local process.

The conditions identified in the record as Exhibits 21 and 803 to the October 2, 2008 hearing were distributed to the CEC staff and Interveners early in the process and made available to the public. The proposed conditions and the City's commitment to invest future project tax revenues in neighborhood infrastructure (Attachment B¹), respond directly to many of the concerns regarding the general condition of the neighborhood and proposed project voiced during the public process. The City's response to the CEC Staff's direction to resolve issues is the only proposal that was established during the public process that addresses local project effects should the project go forward. These conditions are recommended by the Applicant and the City.

The City is aware that the CEC Staff and Counsel previously believed that they were limited in their ability to incorporate the proposed conditions submitted by the Applicant and the City of Chula Vista. However, based upon the Applicant agreeing to incorporate the subject conditions and based upon the nexus and rough proportionality in applying the subject conditions to mitigate impacts to the community (see *Nolan/Dolan*), the Committee and ultimately the full Commission have express authority in addressing the community's concerns by incorporating the conditions in their respective Decisions. Whatever its final decision, the Commission is requested to address local issues since those issues are mutually agreed upon and recommended by the Applicant and the host jurisdiction, particularly since the proposed conditions have been subject to review through a series of publicly noticed and well attended workshops and hearings.

Finding of Fact

Exhibits 21 and 803 are the product of direction by the CEC Staff and a collaborative effort by the Applicant and Interveners to resolve issues established in the Issues Resolution and Staff Assessment Process. The Applicant and City have mutually agreed that the conditions be incorporated into the AFC as detailed in Exhibits 21 and 803.

Conclusion

Thoroughly incorporating the proposed measures as conditions to the AFC is consistent with the intent and spirit of the collaborative public process established by the CEC. Incorporating the mutually agreed upon conditions would address many of the unresolved issues in the assessment process. As the lead agency, the CEC has final authority and responsibility for the decision to grant or not grant the AFC. Should the Commission grant the AFC, fully incorporating the proposed measures as conditions would ensure their implementation and provide the community with the benefits of a publicly evaluated proposal that complements the CEC conditions with local value.

¹Attachment B is a map that identifies potential infrastructure improvements in the area immediately adjacent the proposed project. The City Manager approved the concept of reinvesting future tax increment generated from the proposed project into infrastructure improvements in the immediate area. Staff subsequently submitted the map to the Chula Vista Redevelopment Corporation along with the concept of utilizing Southwest United in Action, a neighborhood residential and business based review process, to establish project priorities for infrastructure investment, should the CEC decide to approve the project.



26 Broadway, Suite 907, New York, NY 10004

www.mmcenergy.com

August 4, 2008

Christopher Meyer Project Manager California Energy Commission 1516 9th Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (Docket No. 07-AFC-4): Agreement with the City of Chula Vista on Mitigation and Consistency of the Project with the Chula Vista General Plan

Dear Mr. Meyer:

This letter describes the specific mitigation MMC Energy, Inc. ("MMC") has agreed to provide to the City of Chula Vista ("City") for the benefit of the citizens of Chula Vista and the residents and businesses located close to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project ("Project"). The specified mitigation would be provided in conjunction with the proposed Project in connection with a California Energy Commission ("Commission") decision to grant a license to MMC for this Project. This letter also describes MMC's understanding of the City's position that the Project is consistent with the City's General Plan.

Agreements as to Specific Mitigation Measures

As you know, it is critical to the City to obtain focused benefits for the residents and businesses surrounding MMC's proposed Project. Therefore, MMC has agreed to the City's request that MMC provide all of the following mitigation measures:

- 1. Provide \$210,000 in direct funds to the City, in addition to the funds to be contributed as noted in Paragraph 2 below, for air quality related mitigation for the local area. This contribution from MMC will give the City the ability to use these funds in the affected local community for energy efficiency and related improvements to homes and local businesses. These funds are intended to directly benefit the local residents potentially most directly affected by the reconstructed plant and shall be payable to the City within 30 days of the CEC final decision regarding CVEUP.
- 2. MMC hereby agrees to fund the estimated cost of mitigating the air emissions from the Project to a 1:1 ratio at the level outlined in the Final Staff Assessment and at the fixed cost of \$210,000 established by the CEC. MMC also agrees that to the extent possible the mitigation should take place in southern Chula Vista. The City may propose specific projects to the Commission to achieve an equivalent level of emissions reductions. If these alternative project(s) are determined by the California Energy Commission Staff ("Staff") to provide legally enforceable and sufficient mitigation that satisfies the requirements of the Warren-Alquist Act and the California Environmental Quality Act, MMC will provide \$210,000 to the City in addition to the \$210,000 described in

Paragraph 1 above. Should the City of Chula Vista be unable to identify a project within two years of the final California Energy Commission decision on the Project that meets the CEC staff criteria for meeting the 1:1 offset requirements, the \$210,000 will be contributed to the Carl Moyer program administered by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District. MMC's obligation for this issue shall be limited to the timely payment of the funds.

- 3. MMC acknowledges and agrees that pursuant to the Chula Vista Municipal Code, the proposed Project is subject to the City's utility users' tax ("UUT"). MMC further acknowledges and agrees that MMC and its successors or assignees are subject to UUT and hereby agree to remit such tax to the appropriate franchise natural gas, electricity and/or telecommunications service provider in accordance with the City's Municipal Code.
 - Additionally, MMC agrees that in the event that, for whatever reason, the UUT payable by MMC directly to the City or through the franchise agent, direct access or other service provider, is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalidly imposed or collected, MMC agrees to comply with any and all appropriate modifications to the City's Municipal Code or franchise agreement to cure such invalidity so as to continue the payment of equivalent value or consideration to the City throughout the term of the CVEUP's operation.
- 4. MMC hereby agrees to provide the City with funding for the equipment, software and installation costs to establish an additional wireless Evapotranspiration weather station at an actual not to exceed cost of \$30,000. MMC's understanding is that its obligation for mitigating the use of potable water in the Project shall be limited by mutual agreement of the Commission and the City to providing the funding. MMC therefore expects that upon providing the funds that the City will implement the Water Conservation Program in a timely manner and upon request, the City shall provide MMC with copies of any data requested by the Commission to verify project implementation and/or the amount of water conserved. Funding for this measure shall be payable to the City within 30 days of receiving a detailed invoice from the City but no sooner than 30 days following CEC final decision regarding CVEUP
- 5. Once the new 100-MW Project is operational, MMC hereby agrees to shut down and remove the existing 44.5-MW facility. MMC will not seek permission from the Commission for any expansion of the Project beyond the generation capacity set forth in the AFC.
- 6. At this time MMC has elected not to proceed with upgrades to the existing transmission system on lines TL649A and TL644. Should MMC, SDG&E or the CAISO desire to upgrade the portion of TL 649A along Albany Avenue between Main Street and Orange Avenue in the future, MMC will consult with the City to determine if the City would like to underground this portion of TL 649A. Should the City desire to underground those lines, MMC will pay for half of the additional cost required to place those transmission lines below ground. This agreement will avoid half the undesirable outcome of MMC's improvements increasing the cost of future efforts by the City to underground these lines.

This agreement shall be included in a condition of certification from the Commission on the Project.

It is MMC's understanding that the City believes these benefits when combined with the requirements included in the Preliminary Staff Assessment will reduce and mitigate air quality and water use impacts, and provide specific, targeted benefits to the nearby residents and businesses.

Compliance of the Project with the City's General Plan

It is MMC's understanding that the City agrees with the following discussion and conclusions regarding the City's General Plan. The City evaluates a project's consistency with the General Plan by looking at whether the project is in harmony with the policies stated in the General Plan. Because the General Plan reflects a wide range of competing interests, the City weighs and balances the plan's policies when determining weather on balance a project is consistent with the General Plan. A project need not be in complete conformity with each and every policy of the General Plan to be deemed consistent with the General Plan because it is likely that no project would completely satisfy every policy stated in the General Plan. The policies of concern E6.4 and E6.15 address impacts to nearby sensitive receptors. Based upon the preliminary analyses and requirements presented by Staff in the Preliminary Staff Assessment, the analysis and requirements contained in the District's Final Determination of Compliance and the specific benefits and mitigation described above, we believe that the City will find that the Project is in harmony with and therefore, consistent with the City's General Plan.

By copy of this letter to Mr. Scott Tulloch of the City, we request that the City notify you of its concurrence with the proposed additional mitigation and conclusions regarding the City's General Plan contained in this letter.

Very truly yours,

Harry Scarborough

ce: Scott Tulloch (via e-mail)

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE CHULA VISTA ENERGY UPGRADE PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-4

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 7/14/08)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>: All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies or (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed or electronic copy of the document, which includes a proof of service declaration to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-4 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

Harry Scarborough	Douglas M. Davy, Ph.D.
Vice President	Senior Project Manager
MMC Energy Inc.	CH2M Hill
11002 Ainswick Drive	2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600
Bakersfield, CA 93311	Sacramento, CA 95833
hscarborough@mmcenergy.com	ddavy@ch2m.coom
Steven Blue	Jane Luckhardt, Esq.
Project Manager	Downey Brand LLP
Worley Parsons	555 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor
2330 E. Bidwell, Suite 150	Sacramento, CA 95814
Folsom, CA 95630	jluckhardt@downeybrand.com
steven.blue@worleyparsons.com	
California ISO	
P.O. Box 639014	
Folsom, CA 95763-9014	
e-recipient@caiso.com	

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)	City of Chula Vista, California
c/o Marc D. Joseph	c/o Charles H. Pomeroy
Gloria Smith	Caren J. Dawson
Suma Peesapati	McKenna, Long & Aldridge, LLP
Adams, Broadwell, Joseph & Cardozo	444 South Flower Street
601 Gateway Blvd., Suite 1000	Los Angeles, CA 90071
South San Francisco, CA 94080	cpomeroy@mckennalong.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com	cdawson@mckennalong.com
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com	
speesapati@adamsbroadwell.com	
Environmental Health Coalition	
Diane Takvorian & Leo Miras	
401 Mile of Cars Way, Suite 310	
National City, CA 91950	
DianeT@environmentalhealth.org	
LeoM@environmentalhealth.org	
ENERGY COMMISSION	Chris Meyer
	Project Manager
Jackalyne Pfannenstiel, Chair	cmeyer@energy.state.ca.us
Presiding Committee Member	
ipfannen@energy.state.ca.us	Kevin Bell
	Staff Counsel
James D. Boyd, Vice Chair	kbell@energy.state.ca.us
Associate Committee Member	
jboyd@energy.state.ca.us	Public Adviser's Office
	pao@energy.state.ca.us
Raoul Renaud	- · · ·
Hearing Officer	
rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Lois Navarrot, declare that on August 6, 2008, I deposited copies of the attached Letter from MMC to Christopher Meyer at CEC Regarding Agreement with the City of Chula Vista on Mitigation and Consistency of the Project with the Chula Vista General Plan in the United States mail at Sacramento, California with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5 and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Lois Navarrot



August 7, 2008

Christopher Meyer, Project Manager California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth St Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: CVEUP Project 07-AFC-04

Dear Mr. Meyer:

The City of Chula Vista Staff wants to express its appreciation to you and all the California Energy Commission (CEC) staff for their support as the City worked through the Application for Certification process. City Staff is grateful for the CEC's effort to compel all parties to work collaboratively to identify the best alternative for the community and local energy reliability. We also appreciate the open and inclusive approach that the CEC has taken in accommodating the City's requests for information and for providing local opportunities for the community to participate in the process. Community participation will continue to be a critical component of moving forward effectively.

The City Staff has used the opportunity established by the CEC and the input from the community as a basis for working with MMC Inc. (MMC) to craft a portfolio of local City Staff believes that adding this portfolio of local mitigation commitments. commitments to the CEC Staff Assessment conditions will address any potential inconsistencies with the General Plan and makes a good faith effort to address the community's primary concerns. The measures and commitments in the enclosed letter from MMC take into consideration the Certification Process and the San Diego Air Pollution Control District (District) Report statements regarding the impacts, or lack of impacts from the proposed project and the corresponding recommendations. Staff is particularly aware that the District has found that, "the project as proposed will comply with all applicable District rules and regulations if it is constructed and operated in accordance with the information submitted in conjunction with the application(s) for District Authority to Construct, the application for certification submitted to the CEC and the terms and conditions of the FDOC," and "Based on emissions calculations, emissions offsets are not required for this project, Rule 20.2(d)(5)- Emissions Offsets."

Pursuant to these findings by the CEC and San Diego APCD, City Staff joins MMC in recommending that, to the extent possible, the CEC include the measures and commitments contained in the MMC letter as conditions in the Final Staff Assessment, and ultimately the CEC final decision. Subsequent to the Commission adopting the

measures contained in the attached letter and/or the completion of a detailed written agreement between the City and MMC on any of the measures not included in the CEC proposed decision, and timely payment by MMC to implement the measures, the City concludes that any potential inconsistencies with the City's General Plan will have been addressed.

City Staff requests that the CEC notify the City and MMC whether or not staff is able to recommend that the Commission adopt the measures as conditions to the Project's approval, and whether or not they can be added as conditions prior to the next public workshop or hearing. Please feel free to call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Scott Tulloch, Assistant City Manager

City of Chula Vista

Enclosure

cc: David R. Garcia, City Manager,

Bart Meisfeld, City Attorney

Harry Scarborough, Vice President Development MMC Inc.



26 Broadway, Suite 907, New York, NY 10004 www.mmcenergy.com

August 4, 2008

Christopher Meyer Project Manager California Energy Commission 1516 9th Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re:

Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project (Docket No. 07-AFC-4): Agreement with the City of Chula Vista on Mitigation and Consistency of the Project with the Chula Vista General Plan

Dear Mr. Meyer:

This letter describes the specific mitigation MMC Energy, Inc. ("MMC") has agreed to provide to the City of Chula Vista ("City") for the benefit of the citizens of Chula Vista and the residents and businesses located close to the Chula Vista Energy Upgrade Project ("Project"). The specified mitigation would be provided in conjunction with the proposed Project in connection with a California Energy Commission ("Commission") decision to grant a license to MMC for this Project. This letter also describes MMC's understanding of the City's position that the Project is consistent with the City's General Plan.

Agreements as to Specific Mitigation Measures

As you know, it is critical to the City to obtain focused benefits for the residents and businesses surrounding MMC's proposed Project. Therefore, MMC has agreed to the City's request that MMC provide all of the following mitigation measures:

- 1. Provide \$210,000 in direct funds to the City, in addition to the funds to be contributed as noted in Paragraph 2 below, for air quality related mitigation for the local area. This contribution from MMC will give the City the ability to use these funds in the affected local community for energy efficiency and related improvements to homes and local businesses. These funds are intended to directly benefit the local residents potentially most directly affected by the reconstructed plant and shall be payable to the City within 30 days of the CEC final decision regarding CVEUP.
- 2. MMC hereby agrees to fund the estimated cost of mitigating the air emissions from the Project to a 1:1 ratio at the level outlined in the Final Staff Assessment and at the fixed cost of \$210,000 established by the CEC. MMC also agrees that to the extent possible the mitigation should take place in southern Chula Vista. The City may propose specific projects to the Commission to achieve an equivalent level of emissions reductions. If these alternative project(s) are determined by the California Energy Commission Staff ("Staff") to provide legally enforceable and sufficient mitigation that satisfies the requirements of the Warren-Alquist Act and the California Environmental Quality Act, MMC will provide \$210,000 to the City in addition to the \$210,000 described in

Paragraph 1 above. Should the City of Chula Vista be unable to identify a project within two years of the final California Energy Commission decision on the Project that meets the CEC staff criteria for meeting the 1:1 offset requirements, the \$210,000 will be contributed to the Carl Moyer program administered by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District. MMC's obligation for this issue shall be limited to the timely payment of the funds.

- 3. MMC acknowledges and agrees that pursuant to the Chula Vista Municipal Code, the proposed Project is subject to the City's utility users' tax ("UUT"). MMC further acknowledges and agrees that MMC and its successors or assignees are subject to UUT and hereby agree to remit such tax to the appropriate franchise natural gas, electricity and/or telecommunications service provider in accordance with the City's Municipal Code.
 - Additionally, MMC agrees that in the event that, for whatever reason, the UUT payable by MMC directly to the City or through the franchise agent, direct access or other service provider, is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalidly imposed or collected, MMC agrees to comply with any and all appropriate modifications to the City's Municipal Code or franchise agreement to cure such invalidity so as to continue the payment of equivalent value or consideration to the City throughout the term of the CVEUP's operation.
- 4. MMC hereby agrees to provide the City with funding for the equipment, software and installation costs to establish an additional wireless Evapotranspiration weather station at an actual not to exceed cost of \$30,000. MMC's understanding is that its obligation for mitigating the use of potable water in the Project shall be limited by mutual agreement of the Commission and the City to providing the funding. MMC therefore expects that upon providing the funds that the City will implement the Water Conservation Program in a timely manner and upon request, the City shall provide MMC with copies of any data requested by the Commission to verify project implementation and/or the amount of water conserved. Funding for this measure shall be payable to the City within 30 days of receiving a detailed invoice from the City but no sooner than 30 days following CEC final decision regarding CVEUP
- 5. Once the new 100-MW Project is operational, MMC hereby agrees to shut down and remove the existing 44.5-MW facility. MMC will not seek permission from the Commission for any expansion of the Project beyond the generation capacity set forth in the AFC.
- 6. At this time MMC has elected not to proceed with upgrades to the existing transmission system on lines TL649A and TL644. Should MMC, SDG&E or the CAISO desire to upgrade the portion of TL 649A along Albany Avenue between Main Street and Orange Avenue in the future, MMC will consult with the City to determine if the City would like to underground this portion of TL 649A. Should the City desire to underground those lines, MMC will pay for half of the additional cost required to place those transmission lines below ground. This agreement will avoid half the undesirable outcome of MMC's improvements increasing the cost of future efforts by the City to underground these lines.

This agreement shall be included in a condition of certification from the Commission on the Project.

It is MMC's understanding that the City believes these benefits when combined with the requirements included in the Preliminary Staff Assessment will reduce and mitigate air quality and water use impacts, and provide specific, targeted benefits to the nearby residents and businesses.

Compliance of the Project with the City's General Plan

It is MMC's understanding that the City agrees with the following discussion and conclusions regarding the City's General Plan. The City evaluates a project's consistency with the General Plan by looking at whether the project is in harmony with the policies stated in the General Plan. Because the General Plan reflects a wide range of competing interests, the City weighs and balances the plan's policies when determining weather on balance a project is consistent with the General Plan. A project need not be in complete conformity with each and every policy of the General Plan to be deemed consistent with the General Plan because it is likely that no project would completely satisfy every policy stated in the General Plan. The policies of concern E6.4 and E6.15 address impacts to nearby sensitive receptors. Based upon the preliminary analyses and requirements presented by Staff in the Preliminary Staff Assessment, the analysis and requirements contained in the District's Final Determination of Compliance and the specific benefits and mitigation described above, we believe that the City will find that the Project is in harmony with and therefore, consistent with the City's General Plan.

By copy of this letter to Mr. Scott Tulloch of the City, we request that the City notify you of its concurrence with the proposed additional mitigation and conclusions regarding the City's General Plan contained in this letter.

Very truly yours,

Harry Scarborough

ee: Scott Tulloch (via e-mail)

TAX INCREMENT (STAFF DRAFT) INVESTMENTS

HORIZONTAL DATUM: Checked By: By: _____ By: _____ By: ____ City Engineer TAX INCREMENT INVESTMENTS STAFF DRAFT 000-000

Chula Vista Municipal Code:

19.16.040 Height limitations – Exemptions from applicability designated.

Height limitations stipulated in this title shall not apply:

A. To church spires, belfries, cupolas and domes, monuments, <u>electric generating stations</u> and liquefied natural gas tanks, water towers, fire and hose towers, observation towers, distribution and transmission towers, lines and poles, windmills, chimneys, <u>smokestacks</u>, flagpoles, radio towers, masts and aerials, or to parapet walls extending not more than four feet above the limiting height of the building;



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

Application for Certification For the CHULA VISTA ENERGY UPGRADE PROJECT Docket No. 07-AFC-4

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised: 10/ 28/08)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>: All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies <u>or</u> (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the Docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed <u>or</u> electronic copy of the document, <u>which includes a proof of service declaration</u> to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-4 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

*Harry Scarborough Sr. Vice President MMC Energy Inc. 437 J Street, Suite 305 San Diego, CA 92101 hscarborough@mmcenergy.com

APPLICANTS CONSULTANT

Douglas M. Davy, Ph.D.
Senior Project Manager
CH2M Hill
2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600
Sacramento, CA 95833
ddavy@ch2m.com

<u>APPLICANTS ENGINEER</u>

Steven Blue
Project Manager
Worley Parsons
2330 E. Bidwell, Suite 150
Folsom, CA 95630
Steven.blue@worleyparsons.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jane Luckhardt, Esq.
Downey Brand Law Firm
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
iluckhardt@downeybrand.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO
P.O. Box 639014
Folsom, CA 95763-9014
e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)
c/o Marc D. Joseph
Gloria Smith
Suma Peesapati
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com
speesapati@adamsbroadwell.com

City of Chula Vista, California c/o Charles H. Pomeroy Caren J. Dawson McKenna, Long & Aldridge, LLP 444 South Flower Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 cpomeroy@mckennalong.com cdawson@mckennalong.com

Environmental Health Coalition
Diane Takvorian & Leo Miras
401 Mile of Cars Way, Suite 310
National City, CA 91950
DianeT@environmentalhealth.org
LeoM@environmentalhealth.org

ENERGY COMMISSION

Jackalyne Pfannenstiel Chairman and Presiding Member jpfannen@energy.state.ca.us

James D. Boyd Vice Chair and Associate Member <u>iboyd@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Raoul Renaud Hearing Officer rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

Chris Meyer
Project Manager
cmeyer@energy.state.ca.us

Kevin Bell Staff Counsel kwbell@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser's Office publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>Candy Uvero</u>, declare that on <u>November 5, 2008</u> I deposited copies of the attached <u>BRIEFING STATEMENT OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA</u>, <u>Docket No.07-AFC-4</u>, in the United States mail at <u>Chula Vista</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Candy Uvere Attachments